ABSTRACT

The Norwegian Antarctic Research Expedition 1978-79 landed on 24 tabular icebergs and flew over many others in the South Atlantic and the Weddell Sea between latitudes 54 and 76°S. Data were obtained on surface mass balance, stratigraphy, density, 10 m temperatures, crevassing, distribution, and age. Ice thicknesses were measured by airborne radio echo-sounding.

All icebergs had experienced surface melting. However, on icebergs south of 66°5S, the annual surface melting was only a few centimetres of water equivalent. The average surface mass balance was near zero. Typically the 10 m temperature had increased from about -20°C at the time of calving to -10°C. Only icebergs that had moved northwards from the continent into the west wind drift had snow temperatures close to 0°C. Internal temperatures are increased mainly by the refreezing of percolating melt and rain water. This increased the densities of the upper layers by 100 to 150 kg m⁻³ above those of nearby ice shelves.

All icebergs measured by radio echo-sounding showed variations in thickness of about 20% of the mean thickness. Nearly all had a convex profile across the short axis and were tilted. An average thickness/freeboard curve indicates that icebergs less than 225 m thick will have permeable layers below sea-level. The ratio of freeboard to thickness varied from 0.21 for a 100 m thick berg to 0.14 for a 350 m thick iceberg.

All icebergs showed systematic surface crevassing parallel with their sides, the crevasse intensity decreasing with distance from the edge. Icebergs with their smallest dimension greater than 400 m usually had a central zone with little crevassing. Grounded icebergs showed severe crevassing, and could not thereafter survive long periods in open water. Bottom crevasses were not detected.

INTRODUCTION

This paper is based mainly on field work conducted during the Norwegian Antarctic Expedition (NARE) 1978-79. Some iceberg statistics are also included from NARE 1976-77. Both expeditions operated in the South Atlantic-Weddell Sea region, using the small Norwegian icebreaker/sealer Polarstirkel, and were organized and led by Norsk Polarinstittutt (Figs. 1 and 2). The 1978-79 expedition also carried two Bell 206B (Jet Ranger) helicopters, which enabled landings to be made on 24 icebergs (Fig. 3).
TABLE 1. RESEARCH ON ICEBERGS DURING NARE 1978-79.

(R-E is radio echo-sounding, PRT-S is precision radiation thermometer, SSS is side-scan sonar, CTD is conductivity-temperature-density.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of iceberg</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Position (lat.,'S, long.,'W)</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Freeboard (m)</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>R-E</th>
<th>PRT-S</th>
<th>5.5%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>Density (g.cm-3)</th>
<th>Sampled</th>
<th>Depth of temp. oil. (m)</th>
<th>Boy</th>
<th>Stakes</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>51 18 3 20</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>69 52 0 23</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>70 30 10 20</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>70 30 10 20</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>69 50 20 42</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>69 52 20 42</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>70 36 20 17</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>70 58 24 08</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>74 00 39 15</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>75 40 33 15</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>74 53 29 30</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>74 59 29 17</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>75 19 26 55</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>75 55 27 29</td>
<td>3900</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>74 50 26 08</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>74 42 26 13</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>73 22 26 24</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>72 40 23 24</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>71 50 16 30</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>71 48 16 34</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>71 38 15 23</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>71 40 15 50</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>69 44 09 29</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>69 40 09 25</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were visited briefly. Radio echo-sounding flights were made over nine bergs, and radiometric measurements made on eleven. Automatic instrument stations transmitting over the NOAA-N/ARGOS satellite system were placed on eight bergs. In all, 2119 icebergs were observed from Polarstrekol and classified according to size and other properties, and, in addition, 528 icebergs were classified during seven helicopter surveys. Also included are 2623 icebergs which were counted on NARE 1976-77, giving statistics on a total of 5270 icebergs. Other results of the NARE iceberg studies are given in Foldvik and classified as surfaces of the 1976-77 and 1978-79 summers. The average annual surface net balance for these bergs was 0.26 m water equivalent, with a range of 0.12 to 0.41 m. However, the net balance of bergs where the upper 2 m consisted of ice was probably negative or near-zero during recent years. Thus, on the

Fig. 2. Cruise tracks of NARE 1976-77 and 1978-79.

others (1980 [a], [b], and [c]), Klepsvik and Fossum (1980), and Vinje (1980).

**STRATIGRAPHY, DENSITY, AND MASS BALANCE**

In the absence of any other information, it has been assumed that a tabular iceberg has the same properties as the ice shelf from which it calved (Weeks and Mellor 1978). Our measurements show that this concept must be modified, even in the case of an iceberg close to the Antarctic continent, because an iceberg experiences above-freezing temperatures and melt-water percolation. Shallow pits, usually between one and two metres deep, were dug on 11 bergs. In addition, ice cores of a maximum length of 6.5 m were collected from three bergs. The stratigraphy revealed considerably more ice layers than are found on neighbouring ice shelves (Schytt 1958). The proportion of ice layers in the pits varied between 6 and 40%, with an average of 14%. In addition, the upper one or two metres of some icebergs consisted of near-solid ice, so that no pits could be dug. However, drilling on these icebergs revealed layers of looser firm underneath the compact ice.

The stratigraphy of six icebergs consisted of thin ice layers and depth hoar, which were classified as surfaces of the 1976-77 and 1978-79 summer seasons. The average annual surface net balance for these bergs was 0.26 m water equivalent, with a range of 0.12 to 0.41 m. However, the net balance of bergs where the upper 2 m consisted of ice was probably negative or near-zero during recent years. Thus, on the
whole, the icebergs have less positive mass balance than the nearby ice shelves, which are quoted at about 0.5 m (Swithinbank 1957, Lunde 1961). The main reason for this difference is deflation. Probably the annual surface net balance of most icebergs visited was between +0.2 and -0.2 m.

The above data all refer to icebergs south of 69°S. One iceberg was visited near Bouvetøya, at 54°S. Here the snow was very wet and had low bearing strength down to 1.8 m depth, where ice was encountered (N. Nergaard, personal communication). This iceberg had drifted for several months in the open sea with air temperatures of about 0°C, and percolating melt water and rain had raised the temperature of the snow and firn, at an unknown depth, to melting point. No density measurements were made on the iceberg off Bouvetøya. Near-surface measurements of five icebergs south of 69°S. showed consistently higher densities than those reported from neighbouring ice shelves (Schytt 1958), with a difference usually between 100 and 150 kg m⁻³. However, no density measurements were made at a depth greater than 2.5 m.

![Fig. 3. Location of icebergs visited during NARE 1978-79.](image)

**Fig. 3.** Location of icebergs visited during NARE 1978-79.

**ICE TEMPERATURES AND HEAT FLOW**

Temperatures were measured on six icebergs by means of thermistor chains. Measurements were generally made at three or four levels with maximum depths ranging from 4 to 10 m (Table I). All measurements covered periods of one or two hours there may be errors due to residual drift. However, it is likely that errors are less than 1°C. All temperature profiles were obtained in February and all had similar shapes, with gradients ranging from 1.0 to 1.6°C m⁻¹. Maximum range of temperature at any depth was 5°C.

Figure 4 shows a combined curve averaged from all measurements, and a comparison with the ice-shelf data from Maudheim at 71°S., 11°W. (Schytt 1960) and from Norway station at 70°30'N., 2°30'W. (Lunde 1965). The stratigraphy, density, and temperature data show that the icebergs differ from the ice shelves in one important feature: the percolation and refreezing of water in the snow and firm. The ice layers indicate an average annual refreezing of 0.03 to 0.04 m and similar values are suggested by the density data. The heat released by this refreezing would be adequate to raise the temperature of an annual snow layer of 0.5 m thickness more than 25°C, i.e. to the melting point.

![Fig. 4. Temperature measurements on icebergs and ice shelves.](image)

**Fig. 4.** Temperature measurements on icebergs and ice shelves.
the combined effects of surface melt, rain water, sea spray, and condensation to add this amount of liquid water and so to bring the permeable part of the iceberg to the melting point.

THICKNESS AND SHAPE OF ICEBERGS

Radio echo-sounding of nine bergs was carried out, using a Scott Polar Research Institute Mark IV echo-sounder, fitted with a Honeywell oscillograph recorder. The equipment was mounted inside the Jet Ranger helicopter, leaving sufficient room for a technician and a navigator in addition to the pilot. A 3.8 m antenna was provided by the Technical University of Denmark, and was mounted, using fibreglass supports, alongside the helicopter at a distance of 2 m.

Most icebergs were profiled in one flight along the long axis and two flights across the berg at an elevation of 30 to 50 m above the surface. The sections were then repeated at about 400 m elevation. For seven of the icebergs the records were good to fair and yielded fairly reliable thickness values. For one iceberg the record was mediocre, and one record was poor. An example of a good record is given in Figure 5.

The results of all the echo-soundings are shown in Figure 6. The icebergs have commonly an arched cross-section from the time of formation, because the ice shelf fractured along depressions or zones of thinner ice which represent zones of weakness (Fig. 7). However, the icebergs show less under-water arching than expected from the above-water shapes if the bergs were in isostatic equilibrium at all points. Thus there are internal stresses set up by the arching in addition to the disequilibrium at the free faces described by Reeh (1968).

Surface crevassing parallel to the free faces was generally observed, usually with orthogonal crevasse patterns at the corners of the bergs. The frequency of surface crevasses decreases with distance from the edge, and, on many of the bergs, crevasses were not seen at distances of more than 100 m from the edges.

Although the radio echo-sounding clearly showed bottom crevasses on nearby ice shelves (Fig. 8) no bottom crevasses were observed on any of the icebergs. The reason for this may be that the icebergs studied were unusually free of faults and bottom crevasses.

The iceberg thicknesses measured by radio echo-sounding varied between 200 and 340 m. From this a density curve was constructed which gives the best fit between freeboard and thickness. The curve is based on the assumption that the submerged iceberg walls are vertical and the bottom is flat. The upper few metres of the iceberg density curve are 100 to 150 kg m\(^{-3}\) higher than for the ice shelf at Maudheim (Schytt 1958), but below this the two curves asymptotically approach one another, reaching 917 kg m\(^{-3}\) at 200 m depth. The iceberg density curve was used to calculate the relationship between freeboard and thickness for an "average" berg and the results are shown in Figure 9. Although these curves probably represent real icebergs better than corresponding curves based on ice-shelf data (Weeks and Mellor 1978) it must be stressed that the observed thicknesses show deviations of up to 18 m from those determined from the computed average thickness/freeboard curve. Although these variations may be partly caused by varying density profiles it seems more likely that the main cause is incorrect assumption of the underwater shape. The observations of Klepsvik and Fossum (1980) indicate that the walls may not be vertical, and our observations of arching suggest that the base may not be a plane.

Fig. 5. Radio echo-sounding of iceberg no. 13. Both records have the same horizontal and vertical scales. The iceberg is 300 m thick. The profiles were flown about 30 m above the iceberg, so the surface return was masked by the outgoing signal. Both records show the base of the iceberg and (in some places) a double echo from the base.
Obviously the danger of calving means that the edge of an iceberg is not the safest place to work. However, the likelihood of calving may be estimated from the degree of under-cutting and the frequency of crevasse, thus allowing visits near to the edge under suitable circumstances and with safeguards (Fig. 10). Five holes of 0.05 m diameter were drilled to a depth of 6 m in a line approximately parallel to the edge, and at a distance of between 3 and 8 m from the free face, and with 5 m between them. Each hole was filled with 30 kg of explosive (TNT), and the resulting blast yielded a clean cut except for one hole that crossed a crevasse and "leaked". About 500 m³ was blasted off, giving a yield of 3.3 m³/kg TNT.

ICBERG STATISTICS

Figure 11 shows the size distribution for 2,119 icebergs observed during NARE 1978-79. Most icebergs were observed from the ship, and at a distance, so that only one horizontal dimension was recorded and used for statistical purposes. When both horizontal dimensions were recorded the shortest has been used. However, the size distribution in Figure 11 does not change significantly when the length is substituted for the width. The important feature of this size distribution is that the number of icebergs increases with decreasing size. This implies that, in addition to minor calving around the edges, splitting must be an important process.

The observed size distribution differs markedly from those based on earlier field observations which have implied approximately equal numbers of icebergs in each class of size and have therefore suggested that attrition is the dominant process of iceberg breakdown. These include Romanov (1973) with 720 observations, Gordienko (1960) with 397 observations (stated in Nesbyha 1980), Nazarov (1962) with 407 observations, and Dmitrash (1965) with 139 observations, totalling 1,663. These were mostly around east Antarctica and it is possible that there are differences in size between icebergs of that region and of the present study area in the Weddell Sea and off western Dronning Maud Land. However, the explanation may be that the earlier statistics do not describe the total iceberg population. They include observations from any ships over many seasons, yet the number of observations is smaller than the number of observations made in each of the two NARE seasons. The earlier published statistics probably represent the size distribution of large icebergs only; the smaller bergs have generally not been included. Unless the observer has very strict instructions to include all icebergs there will be a tendency to record only the large bergs; smaller ones in the same area may be ignored or missed.

The earlier statistics have been used to make some conclusions on iceberg melt rates and breakdown processes. It would now appear that these are unreliable. Nesbyha (1980) seems to have recognized that they record the small icebergs inadequately.

Size distributions based on Landsat-1 imagery have been published by Hult and Ostrander (1974). They give data on 672 icebergs within the fast ice and pack ice of the Bellingshausen Sea, and 74 at the edge of the pack ice. The size distributions of the two groups were markedly different, with a larger mean and a lack of small icebergs for the group near the coast. Again, these statistics may not describe a typical iceberg population. One obvious source of error was the limited resolution of the Landsat imagery, which, for Landsat-1, was about 100 m. Small icebergs were therefore not observed. But there is another problem which was not remarked on when their data were recalculated by Weks and Mellor (1978). Hult and Ostrander's data are based on clusters of icebergs observed in eight images. Two-thirds of the 672 icebergs seen near the coast were from the area off the highly active Thwaites Glacier; more than half of these were in the complex fast-ice/iceberg/ice-shelf zone between Thwaites Glacier and Bear Peninsula. These had in fact not yet become true icebergs and may later become part of an ice shelf in the manner known to occur in the area north-east of Halley Bay (Thomas, 1973). This is an atypical situation, and measured sizes of the icebergs from this area cannot be taken to be representative of average Antarctic icebergs.

Figure 12 shows the relation between length and width of the 24 icebergs that were landed on and studied in detail during NARE 1978-79. The ratio length/width varies between 1:1 and 4:1,
Fig. 8. Radio echo-sounding records from the central part of Riiser-Larsenisen, showing numerous bottom crevasses.

averaging 1.6:1, which is the same as the value quoted by Dmitrash (1973). There is no correlation between freeboard and horizontal dimensions, that is to say there is no reason to expect an iceberg with large horizontal dimension to be especially thick.

Figure 13 shows numbers of icebergs encountered on the crossings to and from Antarctica on Polariskel, plotted against distance from the coast. There is strong evidence that the number of icebergs does not decrease regularly with distance from the coast as suggested by statistics which have been used to derive melt rates. Rather, the number is uniformly higher in the east wind drift. Then there is a zone of very few icebergs and little current, followed by an increasing number in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. This picture will be different in other areas around Antarctica depending on the regional circulation. For the area of this investigation it is clear that flow into the central area of the gyre, and therefore the number of icebergs there, is very low. The variations in distribution pattern with time should be noted. Large numbers of icebergs were encountered each season in January, whereas the number was very much smaller in March. This suggests that many ice-

Fig. 9. Relationship between freeboard and thickness for the icebergs generalized from the observed freeboards and ice thicknesses.

Fig. 10. Personnel drilling holes for the blasting experiment near the edge of iceberg no. 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freeboard (m)</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Weight of unit column (kg)</th>
<th>Mean density (kg m⁻³)</th>
<th>Density at sea-level (kg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24 316</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>76 715</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>144 562</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>227 850</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>313 131</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>861</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Orheim: Characteristics and life expectancy of Antarctic icebergs

Fig.11. Size distribution of observed icebergs.

Fig.12. Relationship between length and width of the icebergs visited.

Fig.13. Number of icebergs encountered on crossings to and from Antarctica.

Fig.14. Relationship between size distribution and distance from Antarctica of observed icebergs.

Fig.15. Proportion of overturned or small icebergs encountered at various localities during NARE 1978-79.

Berger do not survive two months in the open sea. Figure 14 shows the distribution by size; this again emphasizes the absence of icebergs in the zone about 700 km from the coast in the central area of the Weddell gyre. This confirms the evidence from iceberg drift (Tchernia 1974, Vinje 1980). Figure 15 demonstrates further the evidence for rapid destruction in open water. The solid part of the circles represents the proportion of icebergs that have not been overturned, and the proportion of such bergs drops very rapidly with increasing distance from the coast. However, there are also numerous observations of larger bergs, including those monitored by the Norwegian automatic buoys, that survive for long periods in open water. The paradox is resolved if it is accepted that those icebergs that contain flaws will probably break up fairly rapidly under the action of waves and swell, but those that have fewer internal weaknesses and survive this initial filtering have the potential for surviving for at least one to two years in the open sea. Thus, icebergs that have survived a season in the open sea are likely
Orheim: Characteristics and life expectancy of Antarctic icebergs

to be fairly free of faults, and it seems that
the radio-echo-sounding was carried out on this
kind of iceberg. Icebergs for towing should be
selected from the group that has proved its
resistance to fracturing by surviving for months
in the open sea. It is reasonable to expect
that different ice shelves produce icebergs with
different degrees of internal weakness, depend­
ing on the flow and stress history of the ice
shelf and the inland ice.
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