Glaciar Upsala, Patagonia: rapid calving retreat in fresh water

CHARLES R. WARREN, DEBBIE R. GREENE,
Department of Geography, University of Edinburgh, Drummond Street, Edinburgh EH8 9XP, Scotland

NEIL F. GLASSER
English Nature, Northminster House, Peterborough PE1 1UA, England

ABSTRACT. The calving rates and calving styles of temperate glaciers that calve into fresh water are distinctively different from those of temperate tide-water glaciers. These contrasts are important for interpreting and predicting the response of ice masses to climate change. Glaciar Upsala is a large calving outlet of Hielo Patagónico Sur (southern Patagonia ice field). Its twentieth-century retreat has been climate-driven but significantly modulated by calving dynamics and by the transition from melting to calving at its eastern terminus. Here, the onset of rapid calving in the early 1980s initiated retreat at \( \leq 140 \text{ m a}^{-1} \). The 1992-93 calving rate \( (v_t) \) is estimated to be \( 60 \text{ m a}^{-1} \) in a mean water depth \( (h_w) \) of 67 m. A \( v_t/h_w \) relationship for fresh water based on 14 sites around the world, including seven deep-water sites, confirms both the linear dependency of \( v_t \) on \( h_w \) and the contrast between calving rates in tide water and fresh water. As yet, no physical explanation for this contrast exists, but differences in subaqueous melt rates, longitudinal strain rates and crevassing may provide a partial explanation.

CALVING RATES IN FRESH WATER

Calving remains one of the least understood glaciological processes. Calving glaciers are sensitive to trough geometry, and because of the instability of calving termini they can fluctuate independently of climate (Meier and Post, 1987; Warren, 1991, 1992). Calving rates correlate strongly with both water depth and ice thickness at the terminus (Brown and others, 1982), but the physical nature of these relationships remains unclear (Hughes, 1992; Meier, 1994). Temperate tide-water glaciers have been extensively studied, whereas fresh-water calving glaciers have received little attention despite the significance of fresh-water calving for glacio-climatic relationships today and in geological time (Kirkbride, 1993; Warren, 1994). Interest in fresh-water calving was stimulated by hydro-power schemes in glacierized catchments (Laumann and Wold, 1992). Such studies revealed that, in any given water depth \( h_w \), calving rates \( v_t \) are about an order of magnitude less than those in tide water (Funk and Röthlisberger, 1989). The \( v_t/h_w \) relationship for fresh water proposed by these authors was:

\[
v_t [\text{m a}^{-1}] = 1.9 [\text{a}^{-1}] h_w + 12 [\text{m a}^{-1}].
\]

This was based on just six sites. At four of these, \( h_w \) was \( \leq 20 \text{ m} \), limiting the reliability of the relationship for predicting \( v_t \) in deeper water.

The need for an improved database of fresh-water calving rates prompted this work in Patagonia. The major eastern outlet glaciers of Hielo Patagónico Sur (southern Patagonia ice field) all calve into large, deep lakes (Warren and Sugden, 1993). In this study of the historic behaviour of Glaciar Upsala, we document the onset of rapid retreat following the initiation of rapid calving in a proglacial lake. We also present a revised \( v_t/h_w \) relationship for fresh water, combining new data from Patagonia with published information. At seven of the 14 sites \( h_w \) exceeds 50 m.

GLACIAR UPSALA

Glaciar Upsala (49°30'S, 73°17'W) has a surface area of 870 km\(^2\) and a length of about 60 km, making it one of the largest Southern Hemisphere glaciers outside Antarctica, and South America's longest (Aniya and Skvarca, 1992). 20th-century thinning has caused the terminus to divide into three distributaries (Fig. 1). The largest arm, Upsala west, terminates in Lago Argentino at a calving front 4 km wide and 60-80 m high. Ice velocities 4 km behind the calving front are 700 m a\(^{-1}\), and the equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) is estimated to be 1150 m, giving an accumulation-area ratio of 0.63 (Aniya and Skvarca, 1992). Surface gradients in the terminal 20 km do not exceed 2.5°, but crevassing is intense, especially west of the medial moraines. Proglacial water depths are unknown. However, the great size and the tabular form of the icebergs suggest that water depths are considerable: icebergs with estimated volumes greater than 10\(^6\) m\(^3\) are common, some of them detaching without rolling over. Upsala central and east calve into Lago Guillermo, a lake formed by recent
Fig. 1. Primary retreat stages of Glacier Upsala since about 1600. Details of sources are given in the text. EC, Estancia Cristina.

METHODOLOGY

The fluctuation history is derived from published sources (Agostini, 1945; Mercer, 1965; Malagnino and Strelin, 1992) and remotely sensed data: aerial photographs from 1945, 1967, 1968, 1973 and 1981, and a LANDSAT image of 14 January 1986. The ice-front position of Upsala east in March 1992 and 1993 was fixed using a theodolite/EDM and a satellite-positioning system. An approximate ice-margin position in 1914 was provided by J. Masters whose family founded Estancia Cristina (Fig. 1) in that year.

Work at Upsala east was carried out in the late austral summers of 1992 and 1993. In March 1992 two markers were positioned close to the geometric centre line, and displacements measured during a 13d period using a “total-station” Geodimeter theodolite/EDM and standard survey methods (Fig. 2). A network of five ablation stakes was monitored daily for 14 d in February and March 1993, and standard meteorological data were recorded concurrently (Fig. 2). To map the bathymetry of Lago Guillermo, 291 individual echo soundings were taken along 23 transects. In the eastern sector of the lake, locational accuracy was achieved using a theodolite/EDM; in the western sector, transects were chosen between prominent lake-shore features, rowing on still days to minimize drift. Ice-cliff heights were surveyed from the lake shore. Qualitative observations of the evolving nature of the calving front, and of the characteristics and frequency of calving, were recorded throughout both field seasons. Visual estimates of iceberg volumes incorporated water-depth measurements near grounded bergs.

RESULTS

Glacier Upsala has been retreating throughout historical time (Fig. 1). The neo-glacial maximum and subsequent retreat positions are marked by pronounced vegetation trim-lines and moraines. The maximum was dated dendrochronologically by Mercer (1965) to the period 1600-1760 AD; rapid retreat (≈120 m a⁻¹) commenced in the early 19th century. By the beginning of the 20th
century the glacier had reached a narrowing point, and it retreated more slowly ($\approx 30 \text{ m a}^{-1}$) until the late 1960s. Between 1970 and 1978, a central part of Upsala west advanced about 400 m (Aniya and Skvarca, 1992). The eastern margin retained its position from 1931 until 1981, but then retreated at 800 m a$^{-1}$ until 1990. Between March 1992 and 1993 the eastern half of Upsala west retreated about 1000 m. Retreat rates of Upsala east are given in Table 1. When observed in 1928 by Agostini (1945), the bedrock ridge now separating Upsala west and east was still under ice. By 1967 it had been exposed by thinning, and Lago Guillermo was beginning to form. Rapid retreat occurred throughout the 1980s.

Results of the ice-velocity measurements are given in Table 2. The annual velocities are simple extrapolations, not incorporating seasonal variability. No seasonal velocity data exist for any Patagonian glacier, so the error is unknown. Mean ablation rates ranged from 3.6 to 11.2 cm w.e. a$^{-1}$ with a mean of 6.8 cm w.e. a$^{-1}$. Melt-rate variations correlate significantly (at the 0.05 level) with variations in minimum daily temperature ($r_s = 0.64$) and wind speed ($r_s = 0.60$) but not with maximum temperature ($r_s = -0.18$), mean daily temperature ($r_s = 0.15$) or sun hours ($r_s = -0.4$). On and around the glacier, wind speeds are frequently extreme, gusting to 40 ms$^{-1}$ at times.

The bathymetry of Lago Guillermo is shown in Figure 2. About 100 m in front of Upsala east the mean depth ($n = 32$) is 65 m, and there is no consistent shallowing or deepening trend towards the glacier. The average ice-cliff height is 34 m (range: 29-41 m). Combining ice-cliff heights with the near-ice bathymetry, the maximum ice thickness at the calving front is estimated to be 130 m and the mean thickness ($n = 15$) to be 101 m. Given a glacier width of 1600 m and a width-averaged ice velocity of about 60 m a$^{-1}$, the annual mass flux at the terminus of Upsala east is approximately $9.7 \times 10^6 \text{m}^3 \text{a}^{-1}$ or 0.01 km$^3$ a$^{-1}$. Following Powell and Molnia (1989), mean annual calving speed ($v_c$) can be derived using the equation

$$v_c = v_t - v_e - v_m$$

where $v_c$ is related to frontal change ($v_f [1992-93] = -25 \text{ m a}^{-1}$), ice velocity ($n = 60 \text{ m a}^{-1}$) and ice melting ($v_m$) at the calving face. However, subaqueous melt rates at calving fronts are poorly known, and theoretical calculations yield values of uncertain validity (Warren and others, in press) so $v_c$ was determined simply using

Table 1. Upsala east: 20th-century retreat statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Retreat (m a$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Surface-area loss (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Mean water depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1914-45</td>
<td>1300 (42)</td>
<td>10.0 (0.32)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945-67</td>
<td>2100 (95)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.34)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-75</td>
<td>100 (13)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.03)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-81</td>
<td>130 (22)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.04)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-86</td>
<td>2200 (440)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.94)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986-92</td>
<td>1000 (167)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.36)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992-93</td>
<td>10-40 (25)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.05)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This yields a calving speed of 85 m a$^{-1}$. Given that $v_m$ could be about 20–30 m a$^{-1}$, the true value of $v_c$ could be 55–65 m a$^{-1}$.

At Upsala east the calving cliff is essentially vertical along its western half, but sections of the eastern half overhang at angles of $\leq 30^\circ$. Calving activity consists of high-magnitude/low-frequency events, producing prismatic icebergs which contrast with the large tabular bergs typical at Upsala west. Visual estimates of berg volumes suggest that most are in the range 1000–500 000 m$^3$ with an upper limit of 500 000 m$^3$. Large bergs survive in Lago Guillermo for more than 2 weeks before breaking up and melting. Calving fluxes from Upsala east are probably about two orders of magnitude lower than those from Upsala west.

**DISCUSSION**

**Oscillations of Glaciar Upsala: climate and topography**

The only long-term regional climate data (1888–present) are from Punta Arenas on the Magellan Strait, 400 km distant, and may not reliably indicate the climate changes that affect the ice field (Warren and Sugden, 1993). Mean annual temperature and precipitation have fluctuated approximately in phase, peaking in the period 1930–50 and around 1980. Temperatures fell by 1.2°C between 1940 and 1969, and then rose 0.7°C in the next 10 years. These trends could account for the advance of Upsala west in the early 1970s, the simultaneous cessation of retreat at Upsala east and then the onset of rapid retreat at both termini during the 1980s.

However, while the retreat of Glaciar Upsala most probably has a climatic origin, reflecting a regional warming since the early 19th century, it has been modulated by topography and calving dynamics, as noted by Mercer (1965): topographic pinning points have determined still-stand locations and affected retreat rates. Detailed patterns and rates of fluctuation have been largely a product of the interaction between channel geometry and calving instabilities. For example, retreat rates of Upsala east during the 1980s (Fig. 2; Table 1).
were clearly amplified by the increasing water depths (≤245 m). If ice velocities were similar to those measured in 1992, calving rates between 1981 and 1986 were about 500 m a⁻¹, about nine times greater than at present.

Characteristics of fresh-water calving

Calving, whether into fresh or salt water, introduces inherent instability into the glacier system, but glaciers calving into fresh water appear to be more stable than tide-water glaciers. The magnitude-frequency distribution of icebergs produced in fresh water also differs from that in tide water. In both fresh water and tide water, mass losses through calving are often dominated by small numbers of large bergs. However, the prolation of small icebergs and brash ice so characteristic of active tide-water termini is typically absent from fresh-water fronts even when calving rates are high, indicating an even greater dominance by large events in fresh water. These characteristics of stability and iceberg production have been noted in Alaska (Qamar, 1988), Greenland (Warren, 1991), New Zealand (Kirkbride, 1993) and Patagonia (Warren, 1994).

The nature of calving at Glacial Upsala is consistent with these observations. Periods with abundant brash ice are atypical at Upsala west and absent from Upsala east, and the iceberg flux at both termini appears to be dominated by large bergs. At Upsala east, retreat into Lago Guillermo produced a five-fold increase in the length of the calving front in increasing water depths. In tide water, this would probably trigger rapid retreat even if the mass balance were positive. However, 15 years of near-stability in deep water (hw ≤ 75 m) followed. If this still-stand was climatic in origin, it supports the suggestion that the climatic sensitivity of fresh-water glaciers is intermediate between that of non-calving and tide-water glaciers (Warren, 1991).

Fresh-water calving rates

Table 3 presents calving data for 14 temperate, grounded glaciers that calve in fresh water. Ideally, ve should be calculated using Equation (2). Given the poor knowledge of ve at calving fronts, however, Equation (3) was used. All three terms are in m a⁻¹ and averaged over the width of the glacier. The data in Table 3 are of variable quality. For the glaciers for which only centre-line ice velocities (vc,max) are known, ve was calculated by multiplying v(max) by 0.75. This empirical constant is derived from the temperate-tide-water glacier data set of Brown and others (1982) in which the relationship between v(max) and ve for 12 glaciers is remarkably consistent (0.75 ± 0.05). Values of ve calculated in this way are underlined in Table 3. For the Patagonian glaciers (10–13), ice velocities were measured 1–5 km behind the calving front. The calving speeds given for glaciers 2 and 8 differ from those in the original sources because Laumann and Wold (1992) used v(max) to calculate ve while Goldthwait and others (1963) used a minimum ice velocity. At Glacial Grey, hw was measured by plumb-line soundings (personal communication from A. Mansilla, 1992), and at Glacial Fio XI hw has been estimated from maps drawn before and after the lake formed. Estimating ve and wc at Tasman Glacier, New Zealand, is problematic because the terminus was evolving from a melting to a calving condition through a phase with complex terminus geometry (Kirkbride, 1989, 1993). Nevertheless, it is clear that ve remained very low while hw increased to a maximum of 130 m. “Normal” calving commenced in 1991, producing small numbers of icebergs with volumes of several million cubic metres (Hochstein and others, in press).

Figure 3 presents ve/hw relationships for fresh water based on the numerical values in Table 3. The empirical data are expressed in the form

\[ v_e = c h_w \]  

\[ v_e = c h_{\text{max}} \]

in which c is a calving coefficient. For hw the best estimate of c is 2.5 ± 0.5, and for h_{max} the best estimate of c is 1.8 ± 0.5. This contrasts with a value of c for temperate tide-water glaciers of 27.1 ± 2 (Brown and others, 1982).

The revised ve/hw relationship for fresh water confirms the robust but unexplained dependency of calving rate on water depth. This dependency is apparently linear. However, the data also allow the possibility that ve increases non-linearly with hw. The suggestion of a non-linear increase is more pronounced for the ve/h_{max} distribution (Fig. 3).

Contrasting calving rates in tide and fresh water

Figure 3 also confirms the existence of the great contrast between calving rates in tide water and fresh water. There is no overlap or continuum between the two relations. The important process contrasts must operate at or near the calving front, and various possible explanations are discussed below.

Meltwater buoyancy: While rates of ice loss by subaqueous melting are one to two orders of magnitude lower than those by calving (Svysitski, 1989), different melt rates in tide water and fresh water might affect calving rates. Funk and Röthlisberger (1989) noted that glacial meltwater is 200 times more buoyant in salt water than in fresh water, and thus suggested a strong dependence of calving rate on water density consequent upon differential rates of subaqueous melting. This requires a strong feedback from melting to calving and would indicate that melting at the calving front somehow significantly accelerates calving. This possibility is supported by the fact that at Columbia Glacier, Alaska, ve and wc both reach maxima in the autumn (Meier and others, 1985). Moreover, strong meltwater upwelling and thermal stratification of the proglacial water body are common at tide-water fronts (Powell and Moinia, 1989), whereas many proglacial lakes are thermally unstratified, with insignificant spatial and temporal variability in temperature, and no evidence of strong circulation. Such data exist for glaciers 3, 12 and 14 in Table 3 (Funk and Haeberli, 1990; Warren, 1994; Hochstein and others, in press). Warren and others (1995) suggest that subaqueous melt rates at tide-water termini may be significantly greater than hitherto thought. At present, then, this possibility remains strong.

Environmental factors: Contrasts between exposure to wind action, wave action (which carves notches at water level)
and tides might be expected to affect calving speeds. However, degree of exposure does not differ systematically between tide-water and fresh-water contexts. Wave action cannot be a necessary condition for fast calving because at Columbia Glacier the terminus has been protected from waves by dense brash ice during catastrophic calving retreat (Meier, 1994). Tidal action cannot explain more than a small part of the contrast unless it either greatly increases water circulation and heat advection to the ice front, or significantly weakens the calving front through the cyclical changes in stress regime.

Water chemistry: In rock, stress corrosion or chemical weakening of crack tips greatly increases rates of subcritical crack propagation. If the same holds true for ice this could be a contributory factor, but it has yet to be tested. However, calving rates at Glaciar San Rafael, Chile, exceed those predicted for tide water even though the salinity of the proglacial tidal lagoon is only half that of the open ocean (Warren and others, 1995). This argues against a water-chemistry explanation.

Over-steepening of the ice front: Calving termini tend towards overhang due to differential ice flow, undercutting at water level and subaqueous melting. Over-steepening of the front and forward bending, causing increasing tensile stress and shearing, may be important in promoting calving (Hughes, 1992; personal communication from R. LeB. Hooke, 1992). Lower rates of subaqueous melting in fresh water will work towards a reduction in over-steepening. Moreover, unfractured ice is stronger than crevassed ice and able to sustain greater amounts of overhang before reaching a critical fracture stress. This is borne out by considerable overhangs at Upsala east and Tasman Glacier.

Strain rates: Extending longitudinal strain rates may be systematically greater in tide-water glaciers. Accumulating longitudinal strains lead to crevassing (either actual or incipient) and stress weakening of the ice. There is an intimate link between crevasse density and $v_c$ (Powell, 1980, p. 36) simply because fractured ice is weaker than homogeneous ice: any process that promotes crevassing will in turn facilitate calving. A correlation between calving rates and near-terminus strain rates exists for Alaskan tide-water glaciers (personal communication from R. LeB.

---

### Table 3. Fresh-water calving data for 14 grounded, temperate glaciers. $h_{\text{max}}$, maximum water depth at the calving front; $h_0$, width-averaged water depth; $v_1$, centre-line surface ice velocity; $v_3$, width-averaged surface ice velocity; $v_c$, mean glacier retreat rate; and $v_{\text{cal}}$, calving rate. Melt rates at the calving face have not been incorporated. For further explanation and discussion, see text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier, location</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>$h_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$h_0$</th>
<th>$v_1$</th>
<th>$v_3$</th>
<th>$v_c$</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Portage, Alaska</td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. South Crillon, Alaska</td>
<td>1933-61</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Northo, Greenland</td>
<td>1972-80</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sabbione, Italy</td>
<td>1961-73</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Unterar, Switzerland</td>
<td>1932-45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Oberaar, Switzerland</td>
<td>1953-67</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Gries, Switzerland</td>
<td>1968-79</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Austdalsbreen, Norway</td>
<td>1986-83</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Grey, Chile</td>
<td>1945-67</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>d, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Pio XI north, Chile</td>
<td>1945-76</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>e, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Moreno, Argentina</td>
<td>1950-74</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Upsala east, Argentina</td>
<td>1992-92</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Tasman, New Zealand</td>
<td>1982-93</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;115</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>h, i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Funk and Röthlisberger, 1989
b. Goldsmith and others, 1963
c. Laumann and Wold, 1992
d. Lilburn, 1956
e. Marangunic, 1964
f. Warren, 1994
g. This study
h. Hoehstein and others, in press
Hooke, 1992), it is clear from the low $v_I$ in deep water at Tasman Glacier (Table 3) that deep water does not in itself produce rapid calving in fresh water. It is noteworthy that $v_I$ at glaciers 13 and 14 (Fig. 3), which have little and almost no crevassing, respectively, is considerably less than that predicted by Equations (4) and (5). Equally, glaciers 11 and 12 are profusely crevassed and calve at above-average rates. It seems that deep water is a necessary but not a sufficient condition: intense crevassing is a sine qua non for rapid calving.

Synthesis: Why should fresh-water glaciers be systematically slower and less crevassed than tide-water glaciers, when in other respects (e.g. gradient, thickness, melt rates) they are indistinguishable? This raises the "chicken-and-egg" question of the relationship between $v_I$ and $v_I$. During catastrophic retreat at Columbia Glacier, both $v_I$ and $v_I$ have increased rapidly and in tandem (Meier, 1994), but it is not clear whether high $v_I$ is a consequence or a cause of high $v_I$. However, seasonal retreats correlated with accelerated $v_I$ due to increasing effective slope and decreasing longitudinal effective stress (Meier and others, 1985), indicating that ice velocities may be driven by calving rates. If so, it raises the intriguing possibility that the depth and density of crevassing are greater at tide-water glaciers because high $v_I$ produces higher $v_I$ and longitudinal strain rates. Intense crevassing increases the glacier's surface area, in turn increasing melt-water production and promoting high velocities. Strong melt-water discharge at the terminus enhances water circulation and turbulent heat transfer.

This hypothetical sequence of process linkages acting in positive feed-back, combined with Funk and Röthlisberger's (1989) density-contrast hypothesis and frontal over-steepening, may explain why tide-water calving is so rapid and so much faster than fresh-water calving, and why tide-water glaciers commonly attain higher speeds than comparable fresh-water glaciers. It may also largely explain the contrasting calving styles: large bergs are more dominant in fresh water simply because of the lower crevasse density. The empirical and physical foundations on which this suggestion rests, however, remain narrow.

CONCLUSION

Fluctuations of Glaciar Upsala show that the influence of climate change on the position of a calving front is less significant in the short term than that of the transition from melting to calving, and than subsequent changes in calving rate. The relative importance of melting as against true mechanical calving, and the effect of the former on the latter, are important unknowns. Data relating to fresh-water calving are still limited, while the physics of calving in fresh water and tide water, and the differences between the two, remain largely unknown. However, the strong dependence of calving rates on water depth in both tide water and fresh water is now beyond doubt.
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