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ABSTRACT. A three-dimensional (3-D), high-resolution, non-linearly viscous, non-isothermal ice-sheet model is employed to calculate the “present-day” equilibrium regime of the Antarctic ice sheet and its evolution during the last glacial cycle. The model is augmented by an approximate formula for ice-sheet basal temperature, based on a scaling of the thermodynamic equation for the ice flow. Steady-state solutions for both the shape and extent of the areas of basal melting (or freezing) are shown to be in good agreement with those obtained from the solution of the full 3-D thermodynamic equation. The solution for the basal temperature field of the West Antarctic Siple Coast produces areas at the pressure-melting point separated by strips of frozen-to-bed ice, the structure of which is reminiscent of Ice Streams A–E. This configuration appears to be robust, preserving its features in spite of climatic changes during the last glacial cycle. Ice Stream C seems to be more vulnerable to stagnation, switching to a passive mode at least once during the penultimate interglacial. We conjecture that the peculiarities of local topography determine the unique behavior of Ice Stream C: reduced basal stress and, consequently, relatively weak warming due to internal friction and basal sliding is not able to counteract the advective cooling during the periods of increased snowfall rate.

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of Heinrich events in the North Atlantic (i.e. episodes during which the ice streams that discharge ice sheets became enormously active, producing large numbers of icebergs possibly associated with a partial collapse of the ice sheets) provided new motivation for Antarctic research, especially for studies of the West Antarctic ice sheet, since its activity is also dominated by fast-flowing ice streams. In this regard, the following key questions may be formulated: (1) what is the modern configuration and behavior of the West Antarctic ice sheet (i.e. where is it changing and by how much?), (2) what is the climatic history of West Antarctica (e.g. was there an ice sheet present during the last interglacial?), (3) what are the leads and lags of West Antarctic ice-sheet advance and retreat with global sea levels and with glacial fluctuations in the Northern Hemisphere and other parts of the Southern Hemisphere?

Though the potential instability of the West Antarctic ice sheet was a central issue of numerous earlier studies (e.g. Hughes, 1973; Mercer, 1978; Alley and Wilhans, 1991), it is only recently that more comprehensive three-dimensional (3-D) models of the Antarctic ice sheet have been developed (Huybrechts, 1992; Budd and others, 1994). These models, however, consider large-scale ice-sheet dynamics as separate from mesoscale ice-stream dynamics (e.g. MacAyeal, 1992). This is because the thermal features of coastal ice streams, which attain just a few kilometers in length, cannot be resolved in a large-scale model. It is not unlikely that the artificial horizontal diffusion inherent in numerical solutions of 3-D equations forces modelers to sacrifice mesoscale effects in favor of numerical stability.

Nevertheless, one should recognize that to answer the above questions, we must simulate the ice sheets with a 3-D model from which we can calculate the ice topography, the velocity of the ice flow, and the temperature distribution, to a level of accuracy necessary to produce ice-streams internally without prescribing them. In the next section, we formulate an ice-sheet model utilizing a simple formula for the basal temperature that is free from an artificial horizontal diffusivity, and allows simulation of temperature structures that could be associated with ice sheets. We shall demonstrate that applying our model to the late Pleistocene, ice-stream-like structures appear and disappear, and switch to active or stagnant modes, as a part of a single solution responding to external forcing.

THE MODEL

Ice dynamics

The dynamic part of the model is governed by an equation representing a mass balance of the non-linear ice flow,

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = A - M - \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u_\alpha H) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (v_\alpha H) \right] + 2(\rho g)^3 \frac{1}{5} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ K \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right] \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ K \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right] \right\} \] (1)

where \( H \) is the ice thickness; \( h \) is elevation, \( h = h' + H - \zeta \); \( h' \) is the bedrock topography measured above sea level; \( h' = h_0' - \zeta \), \( h_0' \) is the “undisturbed” bedrock topography; \( \zeta \) is the bedrock depression under the ice load; \( \xi \) is the change in the sea level; \( A \) is the accumulation rate of snow onto the ice sheet; \( M \) is the mean annual ablation; \( g \) and \( \rho \) are the gravity acceleration and the density of ice, respectively; \( n = 3 \), where \( n \) is a power degree and \( K \) is the vertically integrated temperature-dependent coefficient in Glen's rheological law; and \( u_\alpha \) and \( v_\alpha \) are components of the sliding
velocity. We restrict ourselves to studying grounded ice dynamics only, and leave aside the role of ice shelves. Thereby we accept the arguments of Hindmarsh (1993b) that, to the order of the commonly used approximations, ice-sheet mechanics do not require knowledge of the stress distribution across the transition sheet-shelf zone. However, we recognize that the mass balance of an ice sheet might be influenced strongly by thermal effects along the calving periphery of an ice sheet.

As the boundary condition for the model, we set $H = 0$ beyond the ground line, where the flotation condition,

$$\rho H < \rho_w (\xi - h'),$$

is satisfied. Here, $\rho_w$ is the density of the sea water. This equation implies that the grounding line can advance due to bedrock rebound and sea-level drop, and can retreat due to decreased ice thickness, bedrock depression, and sea-level rise. It is noteworthy that the movement of the grounding line is computed simply from the ice-sheet mass balance (Hindmarsh, 1993b). The above boundary condition is equivalent to the assumption that the grounding line resides somewhere between the last grounded point \([H > (\xi - h')/\rho_w / \rho] \) and the boundary point \((H = 0)\) where the ice-sheet profile, as determined by the numerical discretization, takes the value \(H = (\xi - h')/\rho_w / \rho\). We allow for possible ice-sheet grounding by calculating the critical thickness of an ice shelf as $H_a = (\rho / \rho_w)^{1/(n+1)}$ (Oerlemans and van der Veen, 1984), and consider an ice shelf to become grounded if $\rho H_a > \rho_w (\xi - h')$.

The bedrock is assumed to be depressed locally by the ice load (Deblonde and Pelletier, 1993):

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{(\rho/\rho_w) H - \zeta}{\tau_c},$$

where $\tau_c$ is the relaxation time constant and $\rho_c$ is the density of the bedrock.

A simple formula for the ice-sheet basal temperature

It has been noted (Hindmarsh, 1993a; Verbitsky and Saltzman, 1995) that any errors in ice-topography calculations are non-linearly amplified in the advective terms of the equation of heat transfer. Since the horizontal velocity of ice advection is proportional to the ice thickness to the power $2n + 1$ (e.g. Verbitsky, 1992), a $10\%$ error in ice thickness for the conventional value of $n = 3$ leads to nearly a $100\%$ error in horizontal velocity, which makes the accuracy of the temperature calculations rather dubious. Moreover, as we noted above, some mesoscale temperature features could be lost due to the artificial horizontal diffusion inherent in numerical solutions of the 3-D thermodynamical equation (e.g., Huybrechts, 1992). For all these reasons, one might be reluctant to expend significant effort in solving the full 3-D energy equation for an ice sheet, especially when a vertically integrated model is employed and the details of the vertical temperature structure are not a matter of concern. Here we suggest a simple formula for the basal temperature of an ice sheet, which is free from artificial diffusion effects, and at the same time is good enough to reproduce the large-scale features of a solution obtained from the 3-D energy equation.

It was shown by Grigoryan and others (1976) and Morland (1984) that in the usual glaciological system the ratio of the conductive component to the advective component of the heat flux above the bottom boundary layer (BBL) is small, and an ice-flow trajectory has a near-constant temperature determined by its value on the top surface of the ice sheet. We determine the thickness of the BBL, $\eta$, from the balance of the vertical diffusion, $kT_\eta$, and advection of heat measured by $u T_b$ ($T$ is the temperature, $u$ is the horizontal velocity, and $k$ is the thermal diffusivity of ice). Scaling $u$ from the mass-balance requirement $u = aL / H$ ($H$ is the ice thickness, $a$ is the snowfall rate, and $L$ is the horizontal span), and setting $T_b \approx \Delta T / L$, and $T_\eta \approx \Delta T / \eta^2$ (thus assuming that vertical and horizontal temperature gradients are of the same order of magnitude), we have (see also Morland, 1984):

$$\eta = \chi \sqrt{\frac{kH}{a}} \quad (4)$$

where $\chi$ is a proportionality constant. Within the BBL, the vertical-heat diffusion is in balance with heating due to internal friction, $f$,

$$\lambda T_{zz} + f = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity of ice. At the bottom ($z = 0$)

$$-\lambda T_{zz} = Q, \text{ if } T < T_M, \text{ and } T = T_M \text{ otherwise}, \quad (6)$$

where $T_M$ is the pressure-melting temperature, and $Q$ is the geothermal heat flux that can be enhanced by the heat of basal sliding $Q' = V_0 \tau_b$ and by the heat of fusion $Q''$ if $T = T_M$, where $V_0$ is the basal sliding velocity and $\tau_b = \tau_{rH}$ is basal-shear stress. For the sliding velocity we adopt the approximation of Greve and MacAyeal (1996): $V_0 = k_s \tau_b$, where $k_s$ is a constant. At $z = \eta$, $T = T_b$. \quad (7)

In accordance with the above, the temperature $T_b$ is assumed to be advected from the top surface of the ice sheet with the time lag $H / a$, and can be determined from the equation,

$$(T_b)_t = (T_b - (H / a)) \ , \quad (8)$$

where $T_b$ is the temperature on the ice-sheet surface.

Solving Equation (5) with the boundary conditions of (6) and (7), we finally obtain the formula for the basal temperature $T_b$,

$$T_b = T_b + \left( \int_0^\eta \int_0^\eta ft' \Delta z + (Q + Q' + Q'')\eta / \lambda \right) / \lambda \quad (9)$$

A few remarks regarding this formula are appropriate. First, we want to clarify how horizontal advection is taken into account. Horizontal advection does not affect the basal temperature directly, since there is little horizontal advection within the BBL. However, horizontal advection affects the thickness of the BBL. In other words, in an active ice sheet undergoing an increased snowfall rate, the surface temperature $T_b$ penetrates deeper. This effect is taken into account by formulas (4) and (9). It must be recognized, however, that calculating $T_b$ by using Equation (8) may lead to some error (e.g. it does not account for the temperature inversion effect); the results shown in the next section suggest that this error is not significant, but further comprehensive tests are necessary.

It is important to note that $T_b$ as represented by Equation (9) is time dependent. In our case, two time-scales are particularly important: the time-scale of thermal advection, $\tau = H / a$, and the time-scale of dynamical adjustment to accumulation rate changes, $\tau_1$. It can be shown (e.g. Whillans, 1981) that for fixed margins $\tau_1 = \tau / (2n + 2) \ll \tau$. Both of
these time-scales enter the calculations through the application of Equations (4) and (8) to evaluate $\eta$ and $T_b$, respectively. Equation (8) operates with a time-scale $\tau$. This means that if $T_b$ changes, this change will be transmitted downward with a time-delay $\tau$. Equation (4) is a steady-state formula, and $\eta$ changes instantly. This means that if $a$ changes, the basal temperature in the model will respond immediately. In reality, however, the restructuring of an ice sheet (i.e. the shape, stress, velocities, and $\eta$-adjustment) takes place with a time-scale $\tau$. Though in the simple formula we satisfy the relation $\tau \ll \tau$ by assuming $\tau = 0$, the relation $\tau = \tau/(2n + 2)$ is not satisfied. Only further tests can clarify whether this may be important.

The integral form of the frictional heating term,

$$\int_0^\infty f dz' dz,$$

in Equation (9) does not mean that the internal friction is "spread" over the whole depth of the BBL, instead of being concentrated near the bottom. In the model, frictional heating is calculated using the exact solution for the strain rate and shear stress. If at some point $f$ is equal to zero the integral is not affected by that point.

The above formula for the basal temperature in Equation (9) will now supplement the dynamical part of the ice-sheet model (I). When the basal temperature reaches the pressure-melting point, a lubricating water layer forms and ice begins to slide at a rate proportional to the basal shear stress. In view of the uncertainties regarding the subglacial hydrology process (e.g. Hughes, 1992), the coefficient $K_d$ in the sliding law is in fact a free parameter; in our experiments $pgk_d = 10 a^{-1}$. We assume the rheological coefficient $K$ to be a function of basal temperature, and use the Shumskiy (1975) approximation, $K = K_0 \exp[-\kappa(T_b/T_b - 1)]$, $K_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-16} (Pa s)^{-\kappa}$, $\kappa = 21.1$. In all experiments, $\chi = 1$, $\tau = 3000 a$, $Q = 0.0546 W m^{-2}$.

**MODELING THE "PRESENT-DAY" EQUILIBRIUM REGIME OF THE ANTARCTIC ICE SHEET**

We solved the model equations on a high-resolution ($40 \times 40 km$) grid for values of the climatic temperature, bedrock topography, and snowfall rate adopted from Huybrechts (1992, 1994). The equilibrium solution, which we associate with the "present-day" state (probably non-equilibrium), is shown in Figures 1 and 2 for some of the two-dimensional variables. It can be seen in Figure 1a that the ice-sheet model reproduces reasonably well the ice topography and velocity distribution of the present-day Antarctic ice sheet. We note first that the results resemble major features of the observed ice thickness. Deviations from observations (generally within 10–15% of the present-day thickness) may be attributed, indeed, to the model's shortcomings, but might simply be a manifestation of the fact that the Antarctic ice sheet is not in a steady state, or that there are errors in the bedrock elevation data.

The vertically integrated velocity of ice flow (Fig 1b) is small (a few meters per year) in the central part of an ice sheet and at ice divides, and reaches a few hundred meters per year near the grounding line. Points with maximum ice velocity identify all major ice streams and outlet glaciers. The thickness of the BBL, determined according to Equation (4), is shown in Figure 1c. Its value reaches roughly 1000 m in the central part of the ice sheet, and only a few hundred meters at the peripheral regions.

In Figure 1d we show the intensity of heating due to internal friction and basal sliding in units of the geothermal heat flux. One can see that geothermal heat flux dominates in central regions, but internal friction is much more significant near the ice-sheet edge. Figure 2a shows the calculated basal temperature, which also compares well with the shape and extent of the areas of melting and freezing temperature obtained by Huybrechts (1992) as a solution of the full 3-D thermodynamic equation (Fig. 2b). This implies that our simplified formula can represent the relevant thermodynamics. Moreover, our approach seems to allow us to simulate the fine temperature structure in the coastal zone.

At the West Antarctic Siple Coast, the bottom temperature has an interesting form: areas at the pressure-melting point are separated by strips of frozen-to-bed ice (Fig. 2c and d). If we associate these features with ice streams, they are reminiscent of (1) Ice Streams A and B, uniting to form a single flow downstream, (2) a similar structure formed by Ice Streams D and E, and (3) the narrow Ice Stream C in the central part of the coast. Not only is the shape of these structures similar to the ice streams found on the Siple Coast, but their behavior is also similar in that their horizontal flow is dominated by the basal sliding, and is much faster than the flow of the neighboring ice. Although we recognize that these model-generated features are not ice streams in the fullest sense, since their horizontal velocities are still less than the observationally suggested values, in the following discussion we will refer to this pattern as a "stream-like structure" or "ice streams".

Increased snowfall rates intensify the advection of the cold temperature from the top of the ice sheet to its bottom, and a consequent decrease in the bottom temperature might be expected due to thinning of the BBL. To explore this possibility, we performed a sensitivity experiment, increasing the snowfall rate over the Siple Coast. In Ice Streams A and B, D and E, an increase in the frictional heating offset the advection effect, but friction in Ice Stream C was not strong enough to counteract it and Ice Stream C switched from an active sliding mode to slow creeping mode. The detailed analysis undertaken in the next section will explain the reason for this.

**MODELING THE ANTARCTIC ICE SHEET DURING THE LAST ICE AGE**

A block-scheme of the experimental set-up is shown in Figure 3. The model variables are shown in rectangles, external forcing (empirical data) is shown in circles, arrows indicate interconnection between variables, and plus and minus signs indicate positive and negative feedbacks, respectively. We forced our Antarctic ice-sheet model with sea-level and temperature changes, invoking two widely accepted, independent measures of temperature and ice volume (rescaled in terms of the sea level, z): the Vostok core-temperature measurements (Jouzel and others, 1993) and the SPECMAP $\delta^{18}O$ estimate (Imbrie and others, 1984). Although questions arise concerning the absolute chronologies of these two measures, it is of interest to examine their implications, taking them at face value as the best estimates currently available. Some support for these estimates has come from recent
Fig. 1."Present-day" equilibrium regime of the Antarctic ice sheet: (a) ice thickness (m); (b) mean horizontal velocity (m a\(^{-1}\)); (c) thickness of the bottom-boundary layer (m); (d) heat influx due to internal friction and basal sliding in units of the geother-

studies with regard to temperature changes (Shackleton and others, 1992) and ice volume (Sowers and others, 1993).

For surface temperature, we adopted Huybrechts' (1994) formula:

\[ T_s = \alpha + \beta h + \gamma \varphi + \Delta T_V , \]  

(10)

where \( \varphi \) is latitude, \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are coefficients, and \( \Delta T_V \) is the temperature change as represented by the Vostok measurements. To calculate mean annual ablation, we used the empirical formula of Khodakov (1965), based on measurements from glaciers and ice caps:

\[ M = \max[0; \epsilon_1 (T_s + \epsilon_2)^3]. \]  

(11)

This formula gives results similar to Pollard's (1980) para-

meterization. Here \( T_s \) is the summer temperature determined according to Huybrechts (1994) as

\[ T_s = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 h + \gamma_1 \varphi + \Delta T_V . \]  

(12)

We represent the snow accumulation rate as the sum of its present-day value, \( A_0 \) (Huybrechts, 1992) and a forced departure, \( \delta A \). If we assume that \( A \) is temperature dependent (Huybrechts, 1994), i.e.

\[ A = a_1 + a_2 T + a_3 T^2 , \]  

(13)

in the range of temperatures presently prevailing on Antarctica, we have

\[ A = A_0 + (a_2 + 2a_3 T_h) \Delta T . \]  

(14)

For the temperature departure, \( \Delta T \), we employ the measurements of the temperature at the Southern Ocean core
Fig. 2 “Present-day” equilibrium regime of the Antarctic ice sheet: (a) the calculated basal temperature. Red represents the pressure melting point; (b) the same from Haybrechts (1992). White represents the pressure melting point; (c)–(d) the calculated basal temperature (white is at the pressure melting point) and the bedrock topography for the Siple Coast region.

MD88-770 (46° S, 96° E) studied by Sowers and others (1993) assuming that these variations might be a better proxy for moisture availability over the Antarctic continent than the Vostok temperatures. In Figure 4 we show the assigned forcing (i.e. sea level, Vostok, and Southern Ocean temperature changes). The coevolution of these three variables forms a complicated trajectory in phase space (Saltzman and Verbiskiy, 1994).

In Figure 5 we show the calculated changes in the Antarctic ice-sheet volume and area along with the assigned sea-level changes and mass balance generated according to Equations (10)–(14). One may note that ice-sheet area is influenced mainly by changes in sea level. On the other hand, ice-sheet volume reproduces the tendency of the prescribed sea-level changes on the long time-scale (~100 ka), increasing while the sea level drops and decreasing when sea level rises, but on a shorter time-scale (~10 ka) ice volume is highly sensitive to the mass balance. As a result, Antarctic ice volume reached its maximum not during the last glacial maximum in the Northern Hemisphere, 20 ka ago, but later during the interglacial when a large positive mass balance is assumed to have existed due to relatively high ocean temperature. Figure 6 shows the assigned surface temperature changes, and the calculated mean basal temperature that appears to follow the surface temperature with a time lag. Irregularities at 128 ka and 10 ka reflect advective cooling due to increased snowfall rates.

In Figure 7 we plot the calculated basal temperature at 128 ka, 50 ka, 10 ka, and 0 ka (end of the experiment). Consistent with Figure 6, the basal temperature generally decreases with time as the assigned decrease in the surface temperature is advected toward the bottom. According to the model, the present-day temperature still “remembers” the cold conditions of the last glacial maximum.

The stream-like structure of the West Antarctic Siple Coast found in the steady-state solution appears to be very
robust, and can be observed during the whole glacial cycle under a wide range of external forcing. Interestingly, as in the sensitivity experiment described earlier, Ice Stream C again shows its "readiness" to stagnate and switches to a slow mode during the penultimate interglacial at 128 ka and partially at 10 ka during the last interglacial when snowfall rates were high. The question arises as to why Ice Stream C is more vulnerable to increased snowfall rates.

To answer this question, we have compared the terms of Equation (9) for selected points on Ice Streams A–E. The results are shown in Figure 8. We note first (Fig. 8a), that, in the model, Ice Stream C has the lowest "background" temperature $T_b$ (i.e. the temperature advected from the top of the ice sheet). Figure 8b shows evolution of the BBL thickness and, being multiplied by $Q/\lambda$, shows the combined contribution of the geothermal heat flux and thermal advection to the basal temperature. It is noteworthy that two major basal cooling events are observed during the last and penultimate interglacials when snowfall rates are high.

In Figure 8c we show the contribution of the internal heat flux to the basal temperature,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^{R^2} \int_0^{T_b} fd\tau dz.$$

The penultimate and the last interglacials are also visible in Figure 8c: periods of high snowfall rates are marked...
by increased internal friction. It is of critical importance that the internal friction in Ice Stream C is low. We show the sum,

$$T_b + Q_n/\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\gamma \int_0^z f dz'dz,$$

Fig. 6. Modeling the Antarctic ice sheet during the last ice age. Calculated mean basal temperature (thick solid line) along with assigned surface-temperature changes (squared line).

Fig. 7. Calculated basal temperature at (a) 128 ka, (b) 50 ka, (c) 10 ka, and (d) 0 ka. Red represents the pressure-melting point.
CONCLUSIONS

We used a 3-D (although vertically integrated), high-resolution (40 x 40 km), non-linearly viscous, non-isothermal ice-sheet model to calculate the "present-day" equilibrium regime of the Antarctic ice sheet and its evolution during the last glacial cycle. Since the model is vertically averaged, the only temperature field we needed was the basal temperature, which determines regions of frozen and melting ice at the bottom of an ice sheet, and consequently determines the sliding component of the ice flow. In the course of our study, we suggested an approximate formula for ice-sheet basal temperature, based on scaling the thermodynamic equation for the ice flow. To test this formula, we simulated the equilibrium regime of the Antarctic ice sheet under the present-day climatic conditions. A steady-state solution for the shape and extent of the areas of basal melting or freezing temperature turned out to be in good agreement with those obtained from the solution of full 3-D thermodynamic equation. As an unexpected main result of this experiment we found that the solution for the basal temperature field of the West Antarctic Siple Coast reproduced areas at the pressure-melting point separated by strips of frozen-to-bed ice, the structure of which is suggestive of Ice Streams A–E. At this point it is difficult to speculate why this result was not obtained previously in other large-scale models of the Antarctic ice sheet. We can only guess that mesoscale temperature features could be lost due to the artificial horizontal diffusion inherent in numerical solutions of the 3-D thermodynamic equation. A comprehensive experimental run and intercomparison with other models is needed to verify our supposition.
Therefore, we used a simple model (which contains all of the qualitative physics contained in the 3-D model) to generate some ideas concerning Antarctic ice stream behavior and Ice Stream C stagnation in particular. We now challenge those who have a more sophisticated (but at the same time more realistic) model to test these ideas.
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EPILOGUE

Hindmarsh has criticized the model on the grounds that it is a parameterization of two thermal-transport processes (advection and diffusion) that are treated explicitly in most models and regarded as being well understood by most glaciologists. Hindmarsh further states that since the model is a parameterization, the results are difficult to interpret—for example, do they work well because they over- or underestimate the contributory processes of conduction and advection? Moreover, since they are parameterizations, their range of validity is not clearly understood.

We nevertheless note, that a model cannot be wrong merely because it is simple. We need a simple model when more sophisticated models are not helpful (for whatever reasons) or when they are difficult to understand. In our case, 3-D models are not yet able to resolve ice streams.
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