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ABSTRACT. The state of equilibrium of the frontal positions of the glaciers of the Dry Valleys area of McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, has been a subject of speculation since they were first seen. A programme to measure the changes in frontal positions of 12 ice-cliffed glaciers has been maintained since 1982–83, with a 30 year record for Meserve Glacier. Simple taped distances from fixed points to the fronts of the glaciers have demonstrated that, in proportion to their flow and ablation regimes which are two orders of magnitude slower than those of temperate glaciers, the glaciers are fluctuating as dynamically as do temperate glaciers. Maximum advance or recession rates have been found to be near \( \pm 1 \text{ m a}^{-1} \), changes which are almost undetectable in comparative photographs. Recession was found to be the dominant change trend, with yearly variations reflecting changes in annual ablation.

The total height of the ice cliff gives a ready estimation of glacier equilibrium, with cliff heights being greatest on advancing glaciers. The division between advancing and receding glaciers was found to be at a cliff height of about 25 m.

INTRODUCTION

The state of equilibrium of the ice-cliffed glaciers of the Dry Valleys area of McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, has been a subject of speculation since they were first seen. Griffith Galore in 1910–11 (Taylor, 1922) on Scott’s last expedition. Most observers have compared their activity with that of the far more dynamic temperate glaciers of lower latitudes. The absence of any obvious movement by flow or of fluctuations of the frontal positions has led the glaciers to be described summarily as “stagnant” or static “remnants” (Gunn and Warren, 1962; Dort, 1970).

The earliest known attempt to assess the equilibrium of the glaciers was made during the 1957–58 summer when Pêvé (1958) repeated ten photographs (and one drawing) of glaciers taken in 1910–11 (Taylor, 1922) at the same positions from which the earlier photographs were taken. Comparison of the photographs “showed no appreciable fluctuation of glacier margins” over the 47 year interval (Pêvé, 1958; Pêvé and Church, 1962). Pêvé concluded that the glaciers were close to equilibrium.

After examining the glaciers and their nearby moraines, Dort (1970) declared that retreat was the last major event. Because of their apparently excessive areas of bare ice, Dort felt that the Dry Valleys glaciers had negative balances. He concluded that most, if not all, of the glaciers were “stagnant” or nearly so at the present.

REGIMEN OF POLAR GLACIERS

The margins of the Dry Valleys glaciers show a continuum from low-angled ramps, through gibbous-shaped to calving ice cliffs (Chinn, 1985, 1991), and the margin processes of expansion (Chinn, 1989) and ablation (Chinn, 1987) have been described. All margin forms normally have an apron of ice talus or accumulated snow and regelation ice. Mass-balance studies of Meserve Glacier (Bull and Carnein, 1970) and of three other glaciers in the Asgaard Range (Chinn, 1980) show that balance changes on these glaciers are very small, and annual balances are close to zero, so the glaciers are generally in equilibrium with the present climate.

The Dry Valleys glaciers are typical polar or dry-based glaciers, with ice movement 1–2 orders of magnitude slower than comparable temperate glaciers. The large, deep valley glaciers exhibit the fastest flows, with movement rates for the Taylor Glacier of up to 14 m a\(^{-1}\) at a narrow section of the trunk, and 2–4.8 m a\(^{-1}\) near the snout (Robinson, 1984). The fastest movement on the steep trunk of Meserve Glacier reaches 3 m a\(^{-1}\) at the centre, slowing to 1 m a\(^{-1}\) at the margins (Bull and Carnein, 1970), while Heimdall Glacier has a mean velocity of 1.0 m a\(^{-1}\) across the centre. The small cirque glaciers have the lowest activity, with movements of only 0.1–0.5 m a\(^{-1}\) measured on Jeremy Sykes and Albericht Glaciers (Chinn 1981, 1983).

To maintain equilibrium of margin position, glacier movement must be matched by ablation loss. Mean ablation losses decrease substantially with altitude, from approximately 200 mm a\(^{-1}\) at 500 m elevation to 50 mm a\(^{-1}\) at 1500 m (Chinn, 1981). These rates are considerably less than the 15 000 mm a\(^{-1}\) lost from the tongue of the comparable temperate Ivory Glacier in New Zealand (Anderton and Chinn, 1973). Loss rates (predominantly by sublimation) vary with aspect, and increase with exposure to wind and solar radiation. Ablation on the vertical ice cliffs is 3–7 times that of the upper glacier surface (Chinn, 1987).

Margin equilibrium is maintained by flow and ablation, so glaciers can neither advance at greater than their flow rate nor recede at greater than the ablation rate. At the mar-
gins of the polar glaciers of the Dry Valleys, both of these rates are of the order of $1 \text{ m a}^{-1}$, so the maximum advance or recession rates are around $\pm 1 \text{ m a}^{-1}$. Larger recorded fluctuations either mark extreme cases of advance or recession, or result from observation errors. Changes of $1 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ are almost undetectable in comparative photographs taken at distances of $0.5 \text{ km}$ or more from the glacier. Even when analyzing cumulative changes over a 47 year period, Péwé (1958) found “no detectable changes”. To assess fluctuations of these glaciers, one must use methods which can detect changes of as little as $0.1 \text{ m}$.

At a glacier margin in equilibrium, ice flow equals ablation losses, allowing ice-flow rates to be estimated from knowledge of ablation rates. The more actively calving ice cliffs give a visual impression that the glacier is advancing. Calving, however, is related to the balance between flow and ablation, and not to the equilibrium of the frontal position. Where ice flow exceeds sublimation and melt losses on a vertical ice face, dry calving occurs, and the surplus ice is lost to accelerated ablation of the ice talus on the darker surrounding rocks at the foot of the cliff. This may occur on either advancing or receding glaciers.

**PAST OBSERVATIONS**

The earliest reliable measurements of glacier margin fluctuations were made at Meserve Glacier by McSaveney (1973, 1974), who measured a retreat at Meserve Hut (opposite the mid-right of the trunk) of $3.12 \text{ m}$ during the period January 1966–January 1974. He also noted that “where ice motion is about a meter each year, a rate of retreat of $0.4 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ is of major significance—averaged, ablation exceeds flow by 150%”. An equivalent annual recession of the New Zealand Ivory Glacier, where the terminus flow rate is some $8 \text{ m a}^{-1}$, would be near $12 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ (Anderton and Chinn 1973).

At a glacier margin in equilibrium, ice flow equals ablation losses, allowing ice-flow rates to be estimated from knowledge of ablation rates. The more actively calving ice cliffs give a visual impression that the glacier is advancing. Calving, however, is related to the balance between flow and ablation, and not to the equilibrium of the frontal position. Where ice flow exceeds sublimation and melt losses on a vertical ice face, dry calving occurs, and the surplus ice is lost to accelerated ablation of the ice talus on the darker surrounding rocks at the foot of the cliff. This may occur on either advancing or receding glaciers.

**PAST OBSERVATIONS**

The earliest reliable measurements of glacier margin fluctuations were made at Meserve Glacier by McSaveney (1973, 1974), who measured a retreat at Meserve Hut (opposite the mid-right of the trunk) of $3.12 \text{ m}$ during the period January 1966–January 1974. He also noted that “where ice motion is about a meter each year, a rate of retreat of $0.4 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ is of major significance—averaged, ablation exceeds flow by 150%”. An equivalent annual recession of the New Zealand Ivory Glacier, where the terminus flow rate is some $8 \text{ m a}^{-1}$, would be near $12 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ (Anderton and Chinn 1973).

### Table 1. Changes in position of margins of Dry Valleys glaciers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>Length of record (years)</th>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>Cumulative change (m)</th>
<th>Trend (m a$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Total cliff height (m)</th>
<th>Apron height (m)</th>
<th>Visual change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U VICT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>More calving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L VICT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Steepened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWR at A</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>More fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWR at B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.61</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Increased activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES at HUT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-7.71</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Smoother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES at MPI</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Increased activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES at MPi</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Less active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HART</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOODS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARK at CPI</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARK at CPII</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWR ONYX</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWR CRN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAMS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIERS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>None?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The glaciers measured are U VICT, Victoria Upper at front centre; L VICT, Victoria Lower at northwest corner; UWR at A, Wright Upper at southwest corner; UWR at B, Wright Upper at front centre; HDL, Heindl at west margin; BART, Bartley at front; MES at HUT, Meserve at hut site, mid-east trunk; MES at MPi, Meserve east side, between hut and front; MES at MPI, Meserve at front site, mid-east trunk; LWR ONYX, Wright Lower at Onyx River on north margin; LWR CRN, Wright Lower at southwest corner; ADAMS, Adams Glacier front, Miers Valley; MIERS, Miers Glacier front, Miers Valley.
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tive estimates of changes. However, from analyses of prints of overprint negatives, it was concluded that of 14 glaciers analyzed, 7 were advancing, 3 were receding and 4 were stationary or had an undefined change.

Ice-cliff heights were analyzed by Chinn and Cumming (1983). Chinn (1991) noted that advancing glaciers tend to have higher cliffs with a greater proportion occupied by the apron: "advancing glaciers are characterised by a cliff height of over 20 m and an apron that occupies 20% or more of that height; receding glaciers have lower cliff heights with less than 20% occupied by the apron".

METHOD

1. Glacier front positions

The inability of photo-theodolite observations to provide values for glacier terminus fluctuations prompted a change to simple measurement from fixed points. Most of the following glacier observations were made on an opportunistic basis while other work was being undertaken nearby, necessitating a simple concise method to ensure the points were readily relocatable and measurements repeatable by various observers.

Only cliffed glaciers were included in the programme. Two cairns were built, aligned normal to the front of each glacier, and three simple taped distances, measured along the ground surface to reduce sag errors, were measured at each site (Fig. 1), viz. (1) the distance between the cairns X and Y (to verify that the correct markers have been used); (2) the distance from the proximal cairn to the apron edge, Y to A; and (3) the slope distance up the apron to the cliff face, A to B.

To locate the site and to record changes at the ice cliff, a photograph was taken from the distal cairn along the line of measurement on each visit. Where possible, a person holding a survey staff against the cliff was included in the picture, to enable cliff height to be estimated.

Taking slope distances introduces little error because the vital factor is the change in the glacier position, found under uniformly repeated measurements, rather than the absolute distance from the cairn to the ice front. Despite the simplicity of the procedure, a number of measurement errors have occurred.

An obvious source of error is the irregularity of the cliff face where, for example, a single small icefall at the point of measurement might be interpreted as a significant recession. This problem might be eliminated by taking the mean of a number of measurements to different points along the glacier margin, but it would then be difficult to ensure that all repeat measurements were made along the same bearings. This error is effectively eliminated by continuing the programme over a sufficient number of years to give mean changes averaged over time.

2. Cliff height measurements

Direct measurement of the height of the 20–30 m high cliffs is not generally feasible, because access to the upper surfaces of these glaciers is difficult. Instead, cliff heights were computed from vertical angles measured by a hand-held Abney level from cairns, X and Y, to the three points A, B and C of the glacier (Fig. 1). The total cliff height may then be calculated by trigonometry. In practice, the steep slope for X–
and the backward inclination of B–C combined to give very small measured angles at many cliffs. When measured with a precision of ±0.5°, many of the solutions were found to be unreliable. Cliff height was also scaled from photographs which included a person holding a 5 m staff against the cliff face. Generally, an average of the values from both methods has been used in this study. The overall accuracy of cliff-height measurements is estimated to be ±2 m, so the measurements do not have the precision required to detect any trend in cliff height.

3. Cliff-morphology changes

Repeat photographs of cliff fronts show only minor changes in cliff form over time. Seasonal changes, as summer melt progresses, are more apparent than annual changes. At a
few sites, additional cairns have been built to mark sites for repeat photos across the glacier snout to assess shape changes.

4. Results

Results of the measured changes in position of the margins of Dry Valleys glaciers are listed in Table 1.

a. Changes at individual glaciers

Measured changes on 12 glaciers since the 1982–83 summer (Figs 2–4) show that the glaciers are not stationary. Some are advancing at speeds close to their flow rates, while others are retreating at a significant fraction of maximum ablation rates. Most, however, have remained close to equilibrium.

![Fig. 4. Fluctuations of Meserve Glacier at hut (MES at HUT).](image)

![Fig. 5. Mean fluctuation trends of glacier margin positions for all years recorded.](image)

The long record from Meserve Glacier, taken along two lines at Meserve Hut (Fig. 4) commenced in 1965–66 (McSaveney 1974), shows the value of annual monitoring over long periods. Ice flux can change only slowly with mass-balance changes accumulated over hundreds of years, and any variations will be undetectable over the period of this study. Here a clear long-term recessional trend has superimposed short-term variations from annual variations in ablation including calving events.

b. Mean fluctuation trends

The mean trends of Figure 5 are from a linear fit to the cumulative data records for each glacier. Recession is the dominant trend, with the range of variations lying within ±1 m a⁻¹. The occurrence of both retreating and advancing glaciers over the period of study is similar to that found by Frezzotti (1997) for the floating glacier tongues along the nearby Victoria Land coast. In contrast to the dominance of retreat found in this study, Frezzotti found advance to be the dominant trend over the period 1973–91 for floating glaciers.

c. Interannual changes

The proportions of glaciers advancing and retreating each year show annual variations (Fig. 6). Mean flow rates of 1–3 m a⁻¹ (Bull and Carmein 1970; Chinn, 1985) indicate that the response times of the glacier fronts to mass-balance changes are of the order of hundreds to even thousands of years, so annual fluctuations must reflect changes in loss rates, i.e. interannual variations in ablation. The austral summers ending 1984–85 and 1988–89 exhibited high ablation (Fig. 6), with most glaciers retreating, while a higher proportion of the glaciers were advancing during 1986–87 and the 1990s. These annual fluctuations might be expected to correlate with the level fluctuations of the enclosed lakes of the Dry Valleys, which are extremely sensitive to summer climates (Chinn, 1993). No correlation was found between the glacier fluctuations and lake-level changes over the period of the study.

CORRELATIONS

Chinn and Cumming (1983) concluded that advancing glaciers have higher cliffs and more extensive aprons than those in stationary or retreating states. A reinvestigation of the relationship between cliff height and margin equilibrium as a ready indicator of glacier equilibrium was made, and for this analysis a number of glaciers were removed from the dataset. The Victoria Lower (L VICT), Clark at southeast corner (CLARK II) and Wright Lower Glaciers (L W R CNR) were removed because they have very high cliffs with little to no apron, presumably caused by curved flow where ice flows round a bend. Wright Upper Glacier centre (UWR B) spills its apron over an escarpment, giving
a false value for apron height, and Adams and Miers Glaciers have too short a record to give reliable trends.

The data show a relationship between cliff height and glacier frontal equilibrium, where advancing glaciers have higher than average cliffs. The relationships between dimensions of the glacier front and state of equilibrium were investigated to see whether cliff parameters might provide a ready assessment of glacier equilibrium noted by Chinn and Cumming (1983). The apron height was seen to increase with total cliff height with a reasonably good correlation ($r^2 = 0.850$).

This is to be expected as an advancing glacier must overtake its apron to move forward (Hudleston 1976), and a receding glacier will retreat behind any overridden ice and snow.

**CONCLUSION**

Measurements of the frontal positions of glaciers in the Dry Valleys show that they are neither static nor "stagnant" as frequently reported, but, in proportion to their flow and ablation regimes, are fluctuating as dynamically as do temperate glaciers. Movement rates of the polar glaciers are two orders of magnitude slower than those of temperate glaciers, and so are the changes. Both advances and recessions occur at significant rates of up to 50% of estimated ice velocities.

Heights of ice cliffs enable a ready and useful estimation of equilibrium, with advancing glaciers having the highest cliffs and receding glaciers the lowest cliffs with proportionally small aprons. The separation between advancing and receding glaciers is at a cliff height of 25 m.
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