Constraining turbulent heat flux parameterization over a temperate maritime glacier in New Zealand
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ABSTRACT. The turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes are important components of the surface energy balance over glaciers in the Southern Alps of New Zealand, contributing over half the energy available for ablation during large melt events. To calculate these terms confidently in glacier mass-balance models it is essential to use appropriate parameterizations for surface roughness and atmospheric stability. Eddy covariance measurements at Brewster Glacier were obtained over an ice surface to help facilitate an assessment of the calculation of the turbulent heat fluxes. The roughness length for momentum was found to be $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ m, while the roughness lengths for temperature and humidity were two orders of magnitude smaller, in agreement with surface renewal theory. A Monte Carlo approach was used to assess the uncertainty in turbulent heat fluxes calculated using the bulk aerodynamic method. It was found that input-data and roughness-length uncertainty could not explain underestimates of observed sensible heat fluxes during periods with low wind speed and large temperature gradients. During these periods a katabatic wind speed maximum alters the formulation of the turbulent exchange coefficient to that typically observed in a neutral atmosphere and this has implications for glacier mass-balance sensitivity.

INTRODUCTION

To characterize the atmospheric controls on glacier mass balance and extract a climate signal from glaciers in the Southern Alps, New Zealand, a robust understanding of the surface energy balance (SEB) is needed. In the temperate maritime climate of the Southern Alps the turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes are a key part of the SEB, contributing over half the energy available for ablation during large melt events. To calculate these terms confidently in glacier mass-balance models it is essential to use appropriate parameterizations for surface roughness and atmospheric stability. Previous estimates of $z_0v$ over glacier ice surfaces in New Zealand have relied on profile methods, giving values of $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ and $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ m (Hay and Fitzharris, 1988b; Ishikawa and others, 1992). No estimates of $z_0q$ or $z_0t$ have been made and consequently all studies in New Zealand have assumed $z_0v = z_0q = z_0t$. Owing to the large range of published values for $z_0$, many studies use an ‘effective’ roughness length $z_{0eff}$ (where $z_0v = z_0q = z_{0eff}$) as a tuning variable to match modelled and observed ablation. Values obtained using this approach to characterize the surface energy and mass balance in the Southern Alps range from $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ to $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ m (Marcus and others, 1985; Anderson and others, 2010; Gillett and Cullen, 2011). The order-of-magnitude range in previously used values of $z_{0eff}$ raises questions as to whether the magnitude of turbulent heat fluxes is being appropriately represented in the SEB compared with other terms controlling melt.

Turbulent heat flux parameterizations used within the bulk method in current glacier SEB models can be distinguished based on the treatment of atmospheric stability effects. Under conditions of flat homogeneous terrain, a stable atmosphere (increasing temperature with height) will resist the vertical movement of air and production of turbulence, thus reducing turbulent heat fluxes. Correspondingly, the vertical profiles of wind speed, temperature and humidity above the surface are altered, as are the relationships between turbulent heat fluxes and mean wind speed, temperature and humidity at a given level. The simplest form of parameterization used in the bulk method ignores atmospheric stability effects and assumes turbulent heat fluxes are related to logarithmic profiles of wind speed, temperature and humidity (Munro, 1991; Oerlemans, 2000; Machguth and others, 2006). Two methods are commonly used to account for atmospheric stability effects: the first through the bulk Richardson number ($Ri_b$) (Wagnon and...
measurements of surface layer wind speed, temperature and humidity, errors in the measured or simulated surface temperature can alter the magnitude of calculated turbulent heat fluxes by changing the lower boundary conditions of temperature and humidity used in the bulk method. Modelled surface and subsurface temperature depend on the excess or deficit of energy at the surface (Klok and Oerlemans, 2002; Mölg and others, 2008), so errors in other components of the energy balance, importantly the incoming radiative fluxes, can have a large impact on the calculated turbulent heat fluxes.

Given the important contribution turbulent heat fluxes make to the melt energy of temperate maritime glacier surfaces, it is imperative that uncertainties inherent in modelling these terms using the bulk method are addressed. With this in mind the current paper aims to use eddy covariance data to derive appropriate roughness lengths for momentum, temperature and humidity over a bare-ice glacier surface in New Zealand. In particular the paper focuses on the differences between the roughness length for momentum and those for the scalar fluxes of temperature and humidity. Turbulent heat fluxes observed using eddy covariance are compared with those modelled with the bulk method in Monte Carlo simulations that cover a range of turbulent heat flux and surface temperature schemes. This enables us to assess the treatment of atmospheric stability within the bulk method and its effect on modelled turbulent heat fluxes, while taking into account the effects of input-data and roughness-length uncertainty and different surface temperature parameterizations. A brief overview of the field site, data collection, Monte Carlo SEB simulations and eddy covariance data treatment is given before the results are presented and discussed.

METHODS

The study uses data collected from Brewster Glacier, a small mountain glacier situated in the Southern Alps of New Zealand just to the west of the main divide (Fig. 1). Brewster Glacier has a southerly aspect, a surface area of 2.5 km$^2$ and an elevation ranging from 1660 to 2400 m a.s.l. Data are utilized from two AWS: one on the central flowline of the glacier at 1760 m a.s.l. (AWS\textsubscript{Glacier}) and a second long-term station situated adjacent to the proglacial lake at 1650 m a.s.l. (AWS\textsubscript{lake}). Mean annual air temperature at AWS\textsubscript{Glacier} was 1.1°C (October 2010–October 2011) and precipitation ~5 m w.e. a$^{-1}$, split evenly between snow and rain. Summer (December–February) climate at AWS\textsubscript{Glacier} is characterized by moderately positive air temperatures (Table 1), an average wind speed of 3.3 m s$^{-1}$ and an average vapour pressure in excess of that at the glacier surface (mean of 7.2 hPa). The lower part of the glacier (<2000 m and 1.9 km$^2$ of its total area) is gently sloping (11° mean slope), while the upper and smaller part of the glacier situated below the summit of Mount Brewster is steep (31° mean slope) and contains a number of large ice cliffs. The glacier surface in the vicinity of AWS\textsubscript{Glacier} has an approximate mean gradient of 6°.

Data from AWS\textsubscript{Glacier} were used to force a surface energy-and mass-balance model (Mölg and others, 2008) that uses Eqn (1) to describe the SEB:

$$ SEB = S_{in} (1 - \alpha) + L_{in} - \sigma e T_d^4 + Q_S + Q_L + Q_k + Q_c $$

where $S_{in}$ is the measured incoming solar radiation (corrected for slope angle; Van As, 2011), $\alpha$ is the temporally...
averaged accumulated albedo (Van den Broeke and others, 2004). $L_{irr}$ is the measured incoming longwave radiation, $\sigma$ is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant (5.87 $\times$ $10^{-8}$ W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-4}$), $\varepsilon$ is the emissivity of snow/ice (equal to unity), $T_0$ is the surface temperature (K), $q_s$ and $Q_s$ are the turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes, respectively, $R_h$ is the rain heat flux and $Q_C$ is the conductive heat flux through the glacier sub-surface. Positive SEB values represent energy available for melting ($Q_{mol}$) while the SEB is equal to zero for $T_0<273.15$ K. Energy fluxes directed towards the surface are treated as being positive. A number of different schemes to calculate $T_0$ and $Q_C$ were tested as were different parameterizations for $q_s$ and $Q_s$ with details of these provided later. $Q_C$ was calculated from tipping-bucket rain gauge measurements at AWSLake.

The bulk aerodynamic equations used to calculate $Q_h$ and $Q_v$ within the surface energy- and mass-balance model are:

$$Q_h = c_p \rho_a \frac{P}{\rho_b} \frac{P}{\rho_b} C U_z (T_z - T_0)$$

$$Q_v = 0.622 L_{irr} \rho_b \frac{P}{\rho_b} C U_z (e_z - e_0)$$

where $c_p$ is the specific heat of air at constant pressure (1005 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $\rho_b$ is the density of air at standard sea level (1.29 kg m$^{-3}$ at 0°C), $L_v$ is the latent heat of vaporization (2.514 MJ kg$^{-1}$), replaced by the latent heat of sublimation (2.848 MJ kg$^{-1}$) if surface temperature ($T_0$) is below the melting point, $p$ is the actual air pressure (hPa), $\rho_b$ is the air pressure at standard sea level (1013 hPa), $C_e$ is a dimensionless exchange coefficient, $U_z$, $T_z$ and $e_z$ are the wind speed (m s$^{-1}$), air temperature (K) and vapour pressure (hPa) at height $z$ (m), respectively, and $e_0$ is the vapour pressure (hPa) at the surface. Four turbulent heat flux parameterizations used in current glacier SEB models were tested within the SEB model and are defined through the atmospheric stability effects on the exchange coefficient ($C$). The first parameterization assumes that the turbulent heat fluxes are proportional to the neutral logarithmic profiles of wind speed and temperature with $C$ given by:

$$C_{log} = \frac{k^2}{\ln (z_f/z_0)}$$

where $k$ is the von Kármán constant (0.4), $z_f$ and $z_t$ are the height of wind speed and temperature measurements, respectively, and $z_0$ and $z_{0t}$ are the roughness lengths for momentum and temperature, respectively. Humidity measurements are made at $z_t$ and it is assumed that $z_{0t} = z_{0h}$.

The second parameterization includes a stability function based on the bulk Richardson number ($R_i$) using the equation given by Monteith (1957), which leads to a flux reduction in stable conditions that is less than standard formulations (e.g. Wagnon and others, 2003):

$$C_{Ri} = \frac{k^2}{\ln (z_f/z_0) \ln (z_f/z_{0t}) (1 + 10 R_i b)^{-1}}$$

The third ($C_{xL}$) and fourth ($C_{SR}$) parameterizations include an iterative stability function based on the Monin–Obukhov stability parameter $z/L$, where $L$ is the Obukhov length scale (Van den Broeke and others, 2005):

$$C_{xL} = \frac{k^2}{\ln (z_f/z_0) - \psi_m (\frac{z_f}{h}) + \psi_m (\frac{z_{0t}}{h}) \ln \left( \frac{z_f}{z_{0t}} - \psi_h (\frac{z_f}{h}) + \psi_h (\frac{z_{0t}}{h}) \right)}$$

where $\psi_m$ and $\psi_h$ are the vertically integrated stability functions for momentum and heat, respectively. The expressions of Holtslag and de Bruin (1988) and Dyer (1974) are used to define $\psi$ for stable and unstable conditions, respectively. The calculation of $\psi$ requires an estimate of $Q_s$, so Eqs (2) and (6) are calculated iteratively. In all parameterizations both $z_{0h}$ and $z_{0t} (= z_{0h})$ were fixed to the log mean values obtained from eddy covariance measurements except for $C_{SR}$, where $z_{0t} (= z_{0h})$ is defined by surface renewal theory using the expressions of Andreas (1987).

Eddy covariance measurements were made adjacent to AWSGlacier over a midsummer ice surface from 8 to 16 February 2011. Surface climate during the period was characterized by moderate wind speed and vapour pressure, with a mean air temperature of 4.5°C (Table 1). Eddy covariance instruments were mounted at 1.1 m with ~0.2 m surface height change during the study period. Three-dimensional (3-D) wind speed, sonic temperature (CSAT3, CSI) and vapour density (KH20, CSI) fluctuations were recorded at 20 Hz. The instruments were levelled to horizontal at daily intervals, with minimal change noted. Before calculating turbulence statistics, coordinate rotation was applied to the velocity data to align the streamlines into the
mean flow for each 30 min run using a three-rotation scheme (Kaimal and Finnigan, 1994). Eddy covariance data were corrected for high-frequency flux loss due to path-length averaging and separation of the CSAT3 and KH20 sensors (0.13 m), with average increases of 5–15% (Fig. 2a). Mean sonic temperature and sensible heat flux data were corrected for the effect of humidity fluctuations using the method of Schotanus and others (1983). The latent heat flux data were corrected for oxygen absorption by the KH20 (Tanner and others, 1993) and density effects using the ‘WPL’ correction (Schotanus and others, 1983). The latent heat flux data were corrected for humidity fluctuations using the method of Schotanus and others (1983). The latent heat flux data were corrected for oxygen absorption by the KH20 (Tanner and others, 1993) and density effects using the ‘WPL’ correction (Schotanus and others, 1983).

Another important consideration for deriving roughness lengths over glacier surfaces is to ensure that measurements are not affected by a low wind speed maximum. The difference in mean wind speed between the CSAT3 at 1.1 m and the RM Young anemometer at 1.7 m was used as a simple line to minimize sensor arm interference and ensure the longest on-glacier fetch. Only stationary runs were used, following the method of Foken (2008). Again, the stability functions of Holtslag and de Bruin (1988) and Dyer (1974) were used to define $\psi$ for stable and unstable conditions, respectively, but only runs with near-neutral conditions ($-0.1 < z/L < 0.1$) were selected, so the choice of stability functions was not important. Runs were also selected for $U_* > 3.0 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $u' > 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, as errors in deriving $z_{0v}$ become comparatively large as these values decrease, leaving a total of 37 runs. This small sample reflects the fact that situations of near-neutral stability on mid-latitude glaciers with katabatic forcing are fairly rare, as observed by Smeets and others (1998) who found only 55 half-hour periods that met the same conditions as above during the 2 month PASTEX (Pasterze experiment) campaign in Austria.

To determine $z_{0v}$, the gradient of temperature between the surface and the height of measurement must be well constrained. An uncertainty associated with the measured surface temperature of $\pm 1 \degree \text{C}$ (Table 2) can cause an order-of-magnitude uncertainty in the calculated value of $z_{0v}$. To remove this uncertainty we selected data only when $T_0 > -1 \degree \text{C}$ and $T_z > 1 \degree \text{C}$ (to ensure sufficient temperature gradient) and assumed the glacier surface was melting during these conditions (Calanca, 2001). This resulted in a total of 26 runs to derive $z_{0v}$. To ensure a sufficient moisture gradient...
to derive $z_{up}$, a minimum vapour pressure gradient of $[0.66]$ hPa was imposed, leaving 20 runs for the calculation of $z_{qr}$.

Monte Carlo simulations were made with the SEB model for the period of eddy covariance measurement (cf. Machguth and others, 2008) to assess the effect of input variable and roughness length uncertainty on turbulent heat fluxes calculated with the bulk method. A total of 40,000 simulations was conducted, with systematic and random errors being assigned to each input variable before each simulation and time-step, respectively. Errors were calculated by multiplying the uncertainties associated with each input variable (Table 2) by normally distributed random numbers ($\mu = 0; \sigma = 1$). Uncertainty in the derived value for $z_{uv}$ was also included by calculating the roughness length at each time-step ($i$) using:

$$z_{uv}(i) = 10^{\frac{Q_i}{C_27} + NORMRND(\mu, \sigma)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $NORMRND(\mu, \sigma)$ is a MATLAB function that selects a random number from a normal distribution with a mean ($\mu$) of 0 and standard deviation ($\sigma$) of 0.274, which is the standard deviation of the 37 logarithmically transformed roughness length values. Four surface temperature schemes were used to calculate $T_0$ and $Q_C$: (1) measured outgoing longwave radiation; (2) an iterative energy-balance closure scheme (Mölgl and others, 2008); (3) a residual flux scheme in a surface layer of 0.2 m (Klok and Oerlemans, 2002); and (4) setting $T_0$ at melting point for the entire period. At the start of each SEB simulation, one of the four turbulent heat flux parameterizations was randomly assigned in combination with one of the four surface temperature schemes. The modelled turbulent heat fluxes were then collated into the 16 resulting combinations before calculating means and standard deviations of $Q_h$ and $Q_v$ for each combination ($n \sim 2500$) at each time-step. The resulting mean modelled turbulent heat fluxes were then compared with those observed with the eddy covariance instruments.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The roughness length for momentum ($z_{uv}$) derived from eddy covariance was found to have a log mean value of $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ m (Table 1). This is similar to other values derived over mid-latitude glacier ice surfaces in the Southern Alps (Ishikawa and others, 1992) and the European Alps (Van den Broeke, 1997; Greuell and Smeets, 2001). More importantly, the roughness length for temperature ($z_{qt}$) shows a log mean value of $5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m (Table 1), giving a ratio between the two roughness lengths ($z_{uv}/z_{qt}$) of 0.015. That $z_{qt}$ is several orders of magnitude smaller than $z_{uv}$ compares well with surface renewal theory, with large roughness Reynolds numbers ($Re = u_z z_{uv}/v$) indicating a rough flow regime. The measured ratios lie between the predictions of Andreas (1987) and those of Smeets and Van den Broeke (2008), derived for hummocky ice with $z_{uv} > 1 \times 10^{-3}$ m (Fig. 3). The roughness length for humidity ($z_{vh}$) was found to have a log mean value of $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ m (Table 1). This is slightly larger than $z_{vt}$, though the two values lie within one standard deviation of each other and the values are certainly within the measurement uncertainty associated with the determination of $z_{qr}$. Thus, our results do not support the idea that the roughness lengths for momentum and scalar fluxes can be estimated accounting for gauge undercatch.

**Table 2. Variables measured and sensor specifications of AWSGlacier and eddy covariance instruments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input variable</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>RM Young 01503</td>
<td>0.3 m s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Vaisala HMP 45AC</td>
<td>0.2°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>Vaisala HMP 45AC</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric pressure (hPa)</td>
<td>Vaisala PTB110</td>
<td>0.5 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incoming shortwave radiation (W m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>Kipp &amp; Zonen CNR4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outgoing shortwave radiation (W m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>Kipp &amp; Zonen CNR4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incoming longwave radiation (W m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>Kipp &amp; Zonen CNR4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Kipp &amp; Zonen CNR4</td>
<td>1°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (mm)*</td>
<td>TB4</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D wind speed (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>CSI CSAT3 (ver.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.04 m s$^{-1}$ ($u_x$ and $u_y$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonic air temperature (°C)</td>
<td>CSI CSAT3 (ver.4)</td>
<td>0.025°C$^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapour density (g m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>CSI KH20</td>
<td>&lt;0.3 g m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Uncertainty is estimated accounting for gauge undercatch.
†Measurement resolution of instrument.

**Fig. 3.** The ratio of the roughness lengths for scalars ($z_{vh}$ and $z_{vt}$) and momentum ($z_{uv}$) versus roughness Reynolds number ($Re$). Also shown are the theoretical predictions of Andreas (1987) (solid line) and Smeets and Van den Broeke (2008) (dashed line). Points are individual 30 min runs and the log mean value of $z_{uv}$ is used to calculate $Re$. 
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be assumed equal, and find that \( z_0 \) and \( z_{0f} \) are approximately two orders of magnitude smaller than \( z_0 \), in an aerodynamically rough flow, as found over other mid-latitude glacier surfaces (Smeets and others, 1998). Our data do, however, support the use of equal roughness lengths for temperature and humidity.

To allow a comparison with 'effective' roughness lengths used previously in glacier SEB modelling, we derive a single 'effective' roughness length \( z_{0eff} \) that assumes equality of the momentum and scalar roughness lengths, based on the same sample used to derive \( z_0 \).

\( \log \text{mean } z_{0eff} = 6.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{m} \) (Table 1), almost an order of magnitude smaller than those previously used in mass-balance modelling in the Southern Alps (Marcus and others, 1985; Hay and Fitzharris, 1988b). As \( z_{0eff} \) is several orders of magnitude smaller than \( z_{0v} \) in an aerodynamically rough flow, the values of \( z_{0eff} \) are at least also an order of magnitude smaller than those for \( z_{0v} \). Consequently, for scenarios in which only \( z_{0v} \) is derived over a glacier surface and the roughness lengths are assumed to be equal, the turbulent heat fluxes are likely to be overestimated. The potential to overestimate turbulent heat fluxes is large over temperate glaciers where a melting glacier surface presents no upper limit on \( z_{0eff} \) unless an independent check of modelled and observed surface temperature is made outside of melting conditions.

As roughness lengths can only be derived confidently in near-neutral conditions, we gain no information on the behaviour of the sensible heat flux in moderate or strongly stable conditions that dominate over the glacier surface. A first-order check can be made by examining the observed exchange coefficient \( (C_{obs}) \) in more stable conditions. We derive \( C_{obs} \) using sensible heat flux \( \left(Q_{S,eddy}\right) \), \( U_z \) and \( T_L \) from the eddy covariance instruments using Eqn (14), filtering the runs for precipitation, fetch and stationarity, as well as \( U_z \) > 1 and \( T_L - T_0 \) > 1 (90 runs):

\[
C_{obs} = \frac{Q_{S,eddy}}{c_p \rho_0 \frac{U_z}{\rho_0} (T_L - T_0)} (14)
\]

Figure 4 shows \( C_{obs} \) (black circles) against the observed wind speed (Fig. 4a) and temperature difference (Fig. 4b) for each 30 min run. Also shown is the expected value of \( C_{eddy} \) calculated using the bulk Eqs (2) and (6) across the same range of wind speed and temperature experienced during the study period (shown as dotted lines). As expected, more scatter in \( C_{obs} \) is found during low wind speeds and for smaller temperature differences, as measurement uncertainties become more important. A lower bound of points seems to follow the values predicted by Monin–Obukhov theory, perhaps indicating cases where a relatively high wind speed maximum allows Monin–Obukhov theory to hold. However, there is no overall trend toward decreasing \( C_{obs} \) for either a reduction in wind speed or an increase in temperature difference. Large values of \( C_{obs} \) occur during light and moderate wind speeds \( (1–4 \text{ m s}^{-1}) \) and a range of temperature differences. This implies that the magnitude of the sensible heat flux does not strictly follow Monin–Obukhov theory beyond near-neutral conditions.

We now compare turbulent heat fluxes measured using eddy covariance (observed) with those calculated using the bulk method within the SEB (modelled). Figure 5 presents the mean sensible heat flux \( \left( Q_S \right) \) for each 30 min model time-step across the Monte Carlo simulations \( (n \approx 2500) \) for combinations of four turbulent exchange coefficient \( (C) \) parameterizations and four surface temperature schemes. Error bars show \( \pm 1 \) standard deviation, and only time-steps with no precipitation and stationary eddy covariance data are shown (168 runs). The bulk method did not consistently replicate observed \( Q_S \), with the performance of each parameterization within the bulk method associated with the treatment of atmospheric stability (Fig. 5). The \( C_{eddy} \) parameterization produced the best fit to observed \( Q_S \) across the full range of temperature and wind speed, with the lowest root-mean-square difference (rmsd 11.2 W m\(^{-2}\)). Some of the larger-magnitude fluxes were slightly overestimated but remain mostly within the uncertainty introduced from the input data. The small bias \((2.6–3.7 \text{ W m}^{-2})\) is encouraging as the use of the much simpler parameterization does not cause a large overestimate in the magnitude of \( Q_S \) as a whole. Parameterizations with stability functions \((C_{Rb}, C_{L}, C_{SR})\) underestimated \( Q_S \) independent of the surface temperature scheme used, showing biases of \(-4.1\) to \(-6.3 \text{ W m}^{-2}\). The largest underestimates occurred during periods of light wind speed \((<3 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) and large positive gradients in temperature \((>2 \text{ K m}^{-1})\). During these conditions stability functions within the parameterizations dampened modelled \( Q_S \) close to zero while fluxes of \( 50–100 \text{ W m}^{-2} \) were observed. A larger standard deviation in modelled \( Q_S \)
is shown for these conditions, as uncertainties in input data become more important, but this uncertainty does not explain the underestimation.

The underestimation of $Q_s$ during stable conditions is likely associated with the katabatic forcing of the glacier surface layer. Over sloping glacier surfaces, a positive temperature gradient creates negative buoyancy that drives the production of turbulence, rather than inhibiting turbulence as predicted by Monin–Obukhov theory (Oerlemans and Grisgono, 2002). In the katabatic flow, a wind speed maximum is formed, the height of which is proportional to the maximum wind speed of the flow and inversely related to surface slope (Denby and Greuell, 2000). Under conditions of low wind speed and over a steeply sloping surface, where the height of the wind speed maximum is typically lower, even a relatively low level of measurement (<2 m) is likely to be in the region of the wind speed maximum. Figure 6 shows that for increasing atmospheric stability, as expressed through $Ri_b$ and $z/L$, wind shear above the eddy covariance instruments is reduced with respect to near-neutral conditions. This indicates the presence of a wind speed maximum in the vicinity of the measurements for $z/L > 0.1$. In the region of the wind speed maximum, turbulent transport dominates the turbulent kinetic energy budget over vertical wind shear, and the momentum flux-profile relationship returns towards that of a neutral atmosphere (Denby and Smeets, 2000). Thus, $Q_s$ calculated from our level of measurement (~1.7 m) is related to logarithmic wind speed and temperature profiles ($C_{log}$). A wind speed cut-off on the stability functions within

---

**Fig. 5.** Comparison of $Q_s$ observed (CSAT3 eddy covariance system) and modelled (bulk method) for 16 combinations of sensible heat flux parameterization and surface temperature scheme. Dots and error bars show the mean and standard deviation of Monte Carlo ensembles with ~2500 simulations per point. The bottom right axes gives the common scale in W m$^{-2}$. Root-mean-square difference (rmse; W m$^{-2}$), mean bias error (mb; W m$^{-2}$) and correlation coefficient ($r$) are provided inside the axes for each combination.


\[ \frac{Q_s}{Q_L} = \frac{C_{SR} - C_{SL}}{C_{SR} + C_{SL}} \]

where the turbulent heat fluxes contribute a significant fraction of the melt energy at daily and seasonal timescales. The use of parameterizations that include stability functions \((C_{Rbb}, C_{SL}, C_{SR})\) decreases the modelled sensitivity of melt energy to increased air temperature, as the stability functions decrease the exchange coefficient \((C)\) and counteract an increase in temperature gradient in the calculation of \(Q_s\) (Eqn (2)) (cf. Braithwaite, 1995). In light wind conditions this can result in calculated \(Q_s\) decreasing as temperature increases. However, for glacier surfaces purely influenced by katabatic winds, \(Q_s\) is expected to increase quadratically with rising ambient temperature, as a result of a larger negative buoyancy force that amplifies the katabatic wind speed (Oerlemans and Grisgono, 2002). As the katabatic turbulent heat flux model is based on the temperature deficit between the glacier surface and ambient air, it requires observations of air temperature located away from the glacier surface and is not appropriate for use with in situ observations. The \(C_{log}\) parameterization, in contrast to parameterizations including stability functions, will always result in increased \(Q_s\) as air temperature increases, thus reproducing correctly the direction of feedback predicted by the katabatic model. This is especially important over glacier surfaces where large positive temperature gradients produce significant \(Q_s\) even during low wind-speed conditions. The \(C_{log}\) parameterization will also produce a more realistic assessment of \(Q_s\) when gradient winds rather than katabatic flows govern the profiles of wind speed above the glacier surface. Thus, for small mountain glaciers where in situ wind-speed and temperature data are available, the use of the \(C_{log}\) parameterization within the bulk method is recommended. An evaluation of the temperature sensitivity over longer timescales and across the full elevation range of the glacier would be needed to further explore the impact of this sensitivity on mass balance.

Modelled latent heat fluxes were unaffected by the choice of exchange coefficient parameterization, with very similar performance across the four parameterizations (not shown). Owing to the small vapour pressure gradient between surface and atmosphere during periods of high atmospheric stability within the study period, vapour fluxes are small during these periods and the effect of the stability correction term is not evident. To demonstrate this we show an example of modelled and observed latent heat fluxes for one set of exchange coefficient and surface temperature parameterizations (Fig. 7). The good agreement in both the magnitude and sign of observed \(Q_s\) (rmsd 11.8 W m\(^{-2}\)) gives us confidence that \(Q_s\) can be reproduced using the bulk method using a roughness length for humidity equal to that for temperature.

The inclusion of a realistic surface temperature scheme was important in replicating observed \(Q_s\) and \(Q_L\). Using the assumption of a melting surface, a negative bias in \(Q_s\) (=3.3 to 13.2 W m\(^{-2}\)) was produced, especially in those parameterizations using stability functions, and temporal changes in the sign of both \(Q_s\) and \(Q_L\) were not simulated correctly during periods of low (freezing) air temperatures (Figs 5 and 7). Surface temperature schemes that allowed for \(T_0 < 0^\circ C\) correctly simulated \(Q_s\) being directed towards the surface during periods of low (freezing) air temperature (Figs 5 and 8). Since both the iterative and residual layer surface temperature schemes replicated the temporal pattern of \(T_0\) well during each study period (Fig. 8), we gain further confidence that our model incorporates the main features of the glacier SEB in a physically realistic way. The inclusion of a realistic surface temperature scheme becomes especially important when investigating the magnitude of the turbulent heat fluxes and evolution of the SEB on daily timescales. The effect of disregarding conditions of non-melting \(T_0\) on the mass balance of continental glaciers has been shown by
Pellicciotti and others (2009). However, further work is needed to constrain the surface and subsurface schemes used to model temperate maritime glacier mass balance to establish the effect of non-melting conditions in this environment. Importantly, the effect of refreezing meltwater and penetrating shortwave radiation on subsurface temperatures and mass balance needs further attention.

CONCLUSIONS
We have addressed a number of uncertainties in calculating turbulent heat fluxes ($Q_S$ and $Q_L$) with the bulk method over a temperate maritime glacier. Eddy covariance measurements in near-neutral conditions showed a roughness length for momentum of $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ m over the glacier ice surface. Importantly, the scalar roughness lengths of temperature and humidity were found to be approximately two orders of magnitude smaller, in agreement with surface renewal theory. Vapour flux measurements supported the common assumption that the roughness lengths for temperature and humidity are equivalent. However, using the assumption that the scalar roughness lengths are equivalent to that for momentum in an aerodynamically rough flow will lead to overestimated turbulent heat fluxes when using the bulk method. With high-quality in situ measurements of wind speed, air temperature and relative humidity, observed turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes can be reproduced with confidence using the bulk method, but only when an exchange coefficient based on logarithmic profiles of wind speed and temperature is used. Stability functions that reduce the exchange coefficient during positive temperature gradients are shown to underestimate the sensible heat flux under low wind-speed conditions, with Monte Carlo simulations showing that input-data and roughness-length uncertainty cannot account for this. This underestimation is likely due to the influence of a katabatically driven wind-speed maximum that, over moderately sloping glacier surfaces, exists near the level of AWS measurements and alters the turbulent exchange coefficient towards that typically observed in a neutral atmosphere. The choice of exchange coefficient has important implications when assessing the effect of rising ambient air temperatures on mountain glacier mass balance, as the temperature sensitivity of the turbulent heat fluxes is increased in environments that experience katabatic flows. In order to understand the mass-balance sensitivity in detail across the full range of elevations, further work is needed to constrain other components in the SEB. In particular, parameterizations to derive incoming longwave radiative fluxes from measurements of air temperature and humidity need to be evaluated further, as do the effects of non-melting conditions, refreezing meltwater and subsurface shortwave penetration on glacier mass balance.
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