depressions approaching Greenland, generally from the south-west, are diverted, and their centres travel northwards along either the west or the east coast. But a vigorous depression has a diameter of well over a thousand miles, and its wind system extends far across the inland ice, giving south-westerly winds on the west or easterly winds on the east. The easterly depressions are the more vigorous and hence the greatest accumulation of snow lies well to the east. These winds are frequently strong enough to sweep away the surface layer of cold air and bring a complete reversal of wind direction and a great rise of temperature, especially in winter. Weaker storms are not able to sweep away the surface air, and in these the cyclonic circulation overrides the cold air and snow or freezing rain falls through it. This condition, occasionally though rarely met with in England, where it brings the "glazed frost," is probably quite common in Greenland. It is fair to say that the centres of most storms avoid the inland ice, but very occasionally an exceptionally intense depression is deep enough to ignore the ten thousand foot plateau and pass directly across Greenland from west to east—instances of this are quoted. The controversy exists because observations are so sparse and the width of the country is near the critical limit between non-interference with the tracks of depressions and complete blocking.

One other interesting point emerges. Greenland is not a simple dome, but has at least three centres of elevation, each of which has its own system of outflowing surface winds. This topography is not possible by Hobbs's theory, according to which it would be rapidly smoothed out, but it is to be expected if the main source of supply is cyclonic snowfall, for then each separate dome would tend to be maintained by the increased local snowfall to which it gave rise.
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In a letter to the reviewer, Mr. J. M. Herbert, Assistant Chief of the Division of Recreation and Lands of the U.S. Forest Service, writes that in addition to being interested in glaciology from a water yield and watershed aspect the service is interested in the recognition and control of avalanches endangering ski-ing districts as well as highways and railroads in the Western States.

The work under review, dealing with ten years' observation of avalanches at Alta in the Wasatch National Park, Utah, is interesting to the outsider rather as indications of the trend of American avalanche research than as a detailed guide on the subject. It refers essentially to local conditions over a small area.

The authors give ten factors responsible for safe or dangerous conditions after a fall of snow:


To this might have been added the humidity of the wind to which reference is made later on in the work, but which the reviewer believes to be of prime importance.

Very detailed analyses of each major snow storm have been compiled and by this method it is claimed that some accuracy can be obtained in forecasting avalanches. The authors recognize that there are, and probably always will be, minor factors of apparently trivial size which may just make the difference between release and non-release of an avalanche. The aim is to bolster experience rather than to enunciate scientific rules and with this approach the reviewer strongly agrees. This work will be studied with profit both by the ski-mountaineer and the theoretical man.

G. Seligman