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ABSTRACT. Application of the gravity flow theory to the percolation of melt water through the firm in the accumulation area of a temperate glacier explains the occurrence of shock fronts in the melt-water flux. The time of propagation of a shock front moving from the surface through the entire firm was calculated under various assumptions. Various time input functions of melt-water flux at the surface with constant total input volumes yield only slight differences in the time of propagation of the shock front at greater depths. The dependence of the time of propagation of a shock front on the input volume, on snow parameters, and on the total thickness of the firm was calculated. An approximately linear relation was found to exist between the time of propagation of a shock front moving through the firm and the total thickness of the firm. The drainage of melt water from the firm after the summer ablation period is also quantitatively explained by the gravity flow theory. All results are in good agreement with experimental data.


LIST OF SYMBOLS

- $a$: m$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ constant: $5.47 \times 10^6$ m$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for water at 0°C
- $d$: mean grain size
- $k$: m$^2$ permeability at maximum saturation
- $n$: power (cf. Equation (1))
- $q$: l/h melt-water flow
- $q_0$, $q_{max}$: l/h initial flow and maximum flow respectively
- $S$: saturation (water volume/pore volume)
- $t$, $t_0$: s time and initial time respectively
- $S^*$, $S_1$: effective saturation and irreducible saturation respectively
- $u$: m$^3$/m$^2$s water flux
- $u_{max}$: m$^3$/m$^2$s amplitude of sinusoidal input flux
- $z$: m depth, positive in the direction of gravity
- $\phi$: porosity (pore volume/total volume)
- $\phi_0$, $\phi_{24}$: porosity at the surface and at 24 m depth of firm respectively
- $\rho_i$, $\rho_w$: kg/m$^3$ density of ice and of water respectively
1. INTRODUCTION

The gravity flow theory was applied by Colbeck and Davidson (1973) and by Denoth and others (1979[b]) for describing the percolation of melt water through homogeneous snow. In this theory it is assumed that percolation through snow is caused by gravity alone. Phenomena due to capillary forces are neglected (Colbeck, 1971).

Gravity flow theory is based on Darcy's law. It is furthermore assumed that the relative permeability of the water phase is related to the saturation by a power law.* The flux $u$ then depends on the effective saturation $S^*$ as follows:

$$u = akS^n,$$

where $a$ is a constant and $k$ (m$^2$) is the permeability at maximum saturation. The power $n$ probably depends on the stage of metamorphosis (Denoth and others, 1979[a], [b]). Between the saturation $S$, the irreducible saturation $S_i$, and the effective saturation $S^*$, the relation is

$$S^* = \frac{S-S_i}{1-S_i}.$$

This relation combines with the continuity equation to give :

$$n(ak)^{1/n}u^{(n-1)/n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \phi(1-S_i) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0,$$

where $z$ is the depth, positive in direction of gravity, $t$ the time, and $\phi$ the porosity.

In the present paper, the formulation of gravity flow theory according to Colbeck and Davidson (1973) and Denoth and others (1979[b]), is applied to the percolation of melt water through firn in the accumulation area of a temperate glacier with non-uniform porosity. Thus a linear depth profile of porosity is assumed, inhomogeneities of firn are, however, neglected. Gravity flow theory is applied for the following analyses:

the propagation of the shock front for various histories of melt-water input at the surface,
the influence of snow parameters on the propagation of the shock front, and
the drainage of melt water from the firn after the summer ablation period.

2. ANALYSIS OF THE SHOCK FRONT BY MEANS OF THE GRAVITY FLOW THEORY

The formation of a shock front in the percolation of melt water may be explained qualitatively in the following simple manner: the percolation velocity of melt water increases with increasing flux. Larger fluxes that occur at a later time may thus catch up with smaller, earlier fluxes. The confluence may cause a sudden increase in the melt-water flux, which is called a shock front.

Figure 1 gives an example: two positive half-waves of a sinusoidal function each of 12 h duration (dashed curve) are assumed for the melt-water input at the surface. The resulting melt-water flux at a depth of 24 m is shown versus time (solid curve). After 77 h and 101 h, sudden increases of melt-water flux occur which represent the shock fronts. This variation of the melt-water flux, was calculated by means of the gravity flow theory assuming a linear depth profile of porosity. The analysis was made by means of the method of characteristics as applied to differential equation (2).

* This relation is attributed in the hydrological literature to S. F. Aver’yanov in a paper in Russian entitled “Concerning the permeability of subsurface soils in the case of incomplete saturation”, Inzhenernyy Zhurnal, Tom 7, 1950, but we have been unable to see the original paper. A briefer account appeared in Aver’yanov (1949).
2.1. Dependence of the propagation of the shock front on the input function

The propagation of the shock front has been calculated for the following variations with time of the melt-water input at the surface under otherwise constant conditions:

- a sinusoidal input function (positive half-wave),
- a semicircular input function, and
- a triangular, non-symmetric input function.

It was furthermore assumed that the total daily volume of the melt water is constant irrespective of the input function. According to Figure 1, the shock front at a depth of 24 m is observed after about 77 h. The times at which the shock fronts occur with various input functions may differ by up to 3 h. The following values of snow parameters were used for the analysis:

- amplitude of the sinusoidal input flux $u_{\text{max}} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^3/\text{m}^2 \text{s}$
- porosity at the surface and at 24 m depth, with a linear decrease between
- mean irreducible saturation
- mean grain size
- power in Equation (1) $n = 3$

The permeability at maximum saturation $k$ was calculated following the method of Shimizu (1970):

$$k = 0.077d^2 \exp \left[-7.8(1 - \phi)\rho_i/\rho_w\right],$$

where $\rho_i$ is the density of ice and $\rho_w$ the density of water.

2.2. Dependence of the propagation of the shock front on the amount of melt-water percolation and on the snow structure

The time it takes to propagate a shock front through the entire firn depends on the amount of melt-water flux at the surface and on the snow parameters. First, the time of propagation was calculated for a total firn thickness of 24 m. This depth was chosen, because theoretical results should be directly comparable with experimental data.
Figure 2 shows the calculated relation between the time of propagation of the shock front and the assumed amplitude of the melt-water flux at the surface. The amplitude of the melt-water flux at the surface ($u_{\text{max}}$) given on the vertical axis, corresponds to the amplitude of a positive half-wave of a sinusoidal input function having 12 h duration. The curves A and C are valid for possible limiting values of the snow parameters; curve B holds for mean values. For this analysis, the firn was assumed to have a total thickness of 24 m with a linear profile of porosity with depth. Snow parameters are the grain size $d$ and irreducible saturation $S_i$. The numerical data can be read directly from Figure 2, which shows, for example, that an amplitude of the melt-water input flux of $u_{\text{max}} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$/m$^2$s and the given limiting values of the snow parameters (curves A and C) may give between 55 and 93 h as the time of propagation for a shock front from the surface down to 24 m firn depth. The assumed melt-water flux corresponds to a net ablation rate of 2.4 g/cm$^2$ d.
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Fig. 2. Dependence of the time of propagation of the shock front down to 24 m firn depth on the amplitude of the melt-water flux at the surface (positive part of a sine function with a duration of input of 12 h). The calculation was based on the following assumptions: Linear porosity profile from $\Phi_0 = 0.5$ at the surface to $\Phi = 0.1$ at the lower boundary of the firn and $n = 3$ for the power in Equation (1). Curve B holds for mean values of the snow parameters in the firn, curves A and C give possible limiting values.

2.3. Dependence of the propagation of the shock front on the total firn thickness

Figure 3 gives the time of propagation of a shock front through the firn as a function of the total thickness of the firn. The curve expresses an approximately linear relation, which means that the mean velocity of propagation of the shock front is approximately independent of the total thickness of the firn. Figure 3, for example, shows that the propagation of a shock front from the surface down to the lower boundary of the firn, i.e. 20 m, takes 64 h. Hence the mean rate of propagation of a shock front in the firn is 0.31 m/h, and this value is approximately independent of the total thickness of the firn.
The relation given in Figure 3 was calculated under the following assumptions:

Linear porosity profile having the values $\phi_0 = 0.5$ at the surface and $\phi = 0.1$ at the lower boundary of the firn, independent of the total firn thickness.

Amplitude of the melt-water input flux at the surface $u_{\text{max}} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}$ having the shape of the positive half-wave of a sine function.

Mean snow parameters in accordance with curve B of Figure 2.

For the accumulation area of a temperate glacier with local differences in the total thickness of firn, the time lag between snow melt at the surface and inflow of the shock front into the water table at the lower boundary of the firn may be read for any value of total firn thickness from Figure 3.
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**Fig. 3.** Propagation time of the shock front as dependent on the total thickness of the firn layer for mean values of snow parameters (Fig. 2, curve B) and for an amplitude of the melt-water flux at the surface $u_{\text{max}} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}$. The porosity is assumed to decrease linearly from $\phi_0 = 0.5$ at the surface to $\phi = 0.1$ at the lower boundary of the firn. $n = 3$ was taken for the power in Equation (1).

### 3. Comparison between gravity flow theory and experimental data

In former experimental studies a time lag of 50–100 h was found between the maxima of snow melt at the surface resulting from weather conditions and the corresponding maxima of melt-water flux at the lower boundary of the firn at 24 m depth (Ambach and others, 1978; H. Behrens and others, in press). These observed time lags, reviewed in Table I, are in good agreement with the time of propagation of a shock front through the firn calculated by means of the gravity flow theory. Figure 2 shows the time of propagation of the shock front to be 77 h for a total firn thickness of 24 m and for an amplitude of a melt-water flux at the surface of $u_{\text{max}} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2 \text{ s}$, in accordance with a net ablation rate of 2.4 g/cm² d, and for
mean values of snow parameters (Fig. 2, curve b). A $\pm 50\%$ change in amplitude of the melt-water input at the surface causes the time of propagation to change from 60 to 120 h. These times of propagation correspond to mean velocities of propagation of the shock front between 0.4 and 0.2 m/h. The result compares with data given by other authors on the percolation velocities of melt water through the firn of a glacier: 0.1 m/h (Krimmel and others, 1973), 0.12 m/h (Vallon and others, 1976), 0.22 m/h (Sharp, 1952) and 0.35 m/h (Ambach and others, 1978). Furthermore, measurements in natural snow cover show similar results: 0.07–0.18 m/h (Fujino, 1968), 0.04–0.22 m/h (Kobayashi, 1973), and 0.30–0.66 m/h (Wakahama, 1968).*

### Table I. Time lag $\Delta t$ between the maximum snow melt at the surface and the maximum melt-water inflow $q_{\text{max}}$ at 24 m firn depth in the accumulation area of Kesselwandferner in 1975 and 1977 (Ambach and others, 1978, fig. 2 and Behrens and others, in press, fig. 4). As the evaluation was based on daily mean values, the resolution for the time lag $\Delta t$ is one day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\Delta t$</th>
<th>$q_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23 July 1975</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 August 1975</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 August 1975</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 August 1975</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 September 1975</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 September 1975</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 July 1977</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 August 1977</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 August 1977</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 August 1977</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During a period of strong ablation, diurnal fluctuations of the melt-water flux in 24 m firn depth have been observed in the form of shock fronts in the accumulation areas of Kesselwandferner (Ambach and others, 1978, fig. 3d). These results are also in agreement with gravity flow theory. Figure 4 compares theoretical and experimental results. In these calculations, the amplitude of the melt-water input flux at the surface was again assumed to be $u_{\text{max}} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$/m$^2$s and again mean values of the snow parameters (Fig. 2, curve b) were used. Furthermore the melt-water input flux at the surface was assumed to be periodic over several days. The daily variations of the calculated melt-water flux and the occurrence of the shock front are in satisfactory agreement with the measured results. Here, however, it must be remembered that the maximum values of melt-water flux at a firn depth of 24 m correspond to the melt-water input at the surface with a time lag of 3 d. This displacement is due to the time of shock-front propagation.

Another feature confirming the applicability of the gravity flow theory to the calculation of melt-water flux in the accumulation area of an Alpine glacier is shown in Figure 5. It describes the drainage of melt water through the firn after the summer ablation period, being measured as inflow into the impermeable part of a 30 m deep pit (Ambach and others, 1978, fig. 2, first half of October). The decrease in the melt-water inflow can be described by the relation:

$$q = q_0(t - t_0)^{n/(1-n)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

* These measurements were carried out using tracers and the data give mean particle velocities $\bar{v}$. From theoretical considerations, in general $\bar{v} < \frac{dz}{dt_0}$, where $\frac{dz}{dt}$ is the velocity of propagation of the shock front for a constant flux $u$. 
The above relation follows from the gravity flow theory used so far (see Appendix). In Equation (3), \( q \) is the melt-water flux (expressed as volume flux in m\(^3\)/s) at the lower boundary of the firn, \( t \) the time, and \( q_0 \) and \( t_0 \) are constants. The parameter \( n (n>1) \) is the power in the relation between the relative permeability and saturation (cf. Equation (1)).

The parameters \( n \) and \( t_0 \) were determined by a least-square calculation. The calculated melt-water inflow and the values measured are shown in Figure 5. The most important result is that by optimization the power \( n \) becomes \( n = 2.8 \). Experiments made by Colbeck and Davidson (1973) on homogenized snow yielded a mean value of \( n = 3 \). Furthermore,
extended experiments by Denoth and others (1979[a], [b]), on homogenized snow, showed $n$ to vary between 1.4 and 4.6. It was found that this value probably depends on the snow structure, varying with the stage of metamorphosis.
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APPENDIX

DERIVATION OF THE RELATION FOR THE DRAINAGE OF MELT WATER FROM FIRN AFTER THE ABLATION PERIOD

The conditions assumed are

(a) The differential equation for the melt-water flux in the snow is given by Equation (2)

$$n(ak)^{1/n}u(u-1)/n \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \phi(1-S) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0.$$  

(b) We assume a linear porosity profile in the firn

$$\phi(z) = \phi_0 - \epsilon z$$

with $z = 0$ at the surface and $\epsilon$ the gradient of porosity.

(c) The permeability is calculated according to Shimizu (1970)

$$k = 0.077d^2 \exp[-7.8(1-\phi) \rho_l/\rho_w].$$

For the assumed porosity profile the permeability also depends on $z$:

$$k(z) = \beta \exp[\gamma(\phi_0 - \epsilon z)],$$

where $\beta = 6.0 \times 10^{-4}d^2$ (m$^2$) and $\gamma = 7.15$.

For the characteristics of the differential equation we find:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{n(ak)^{1/n} \exp[\gamma(\phi_0 - \epsilon z)/n]}{(1-S) \phi_0 + n/\gamma} \exp[\gamma(\phi_0 - \epsilon z + n/\gamma) - \phi_0 n/\gamma] \frac{1-S}{n(ak)^{1/n}} u(u-1)/n,$$

where $t = t_0$ for $z = 0$. Hence $t_0$ is the starting time of a certain $u$ value at the surface which is given by the input function. The starting time of the fluxes considered for drainage of the melt water from the firn after an ablation period lies immediately at the end of the last input. The starting time $t_0$ is assumed to be constant for all $u$ values, as the time of propagation of these $u$ values are significantly longer than the differences between the start times. Thus the above equation is valid for all $u$ values at constant $t_0$ and for a given depth $z$:

$$u = K(t-t_0)^{n/(1-n)}.$$

The inflow into a reservoir is obtained by multiplying by the catchment area. Hence

$$q = q_0(t-t_0)^{n/(1-n)},$$

where $K$ and $q_0$ are constants.
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