ABSTRACT. The mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet at Dye 3 is estimated on the basis of observations of ice thickness, accumulation rate, surface velocities, and surface strain-rates. The calculations indicate a rate of increase of surface elevation of 3 cm/year, with 95% confidence limits of -3 cm/year and +9 cm/year. Previous estimates of the mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet by the same method reported large imbalances; these are most probably due to lack of precise data and the use of quantities measured at the surface as representative of depth-averaged quantities. The most reliable observations indicate that the interior regions of the Greenland ice sheet are at present thickening at a rate of a few centimetres per year; a contributing cause for this may be the slow thinning of a bottom layer of relatively soft Wisconsin ice.

RéSUMÉ. Bilan de masse de l’Ilandeis Groenlandais à Dye 3. Le bilan de masse est estimé à partir des mesures d’épaisseur, taux d’accumulation, vitesses et vitesses de déformation superficielles. Le calcul donne un épaissement de 3 cm an\(^{-1}\) avec un intervalle de confiance, au seuil de 95%, allant de -3 cm an\(^{-1}\) à +9 cm an\(^{-1}\). Les estimations antérieures du bilan de masse sont obtenues par la même méthode sont examinées. On en déduit que les valeurs de bilan très éloignées de l’équilibre sont certainement dues à la manque de données précises et/ou à l’utilisation de données superficielles comme valeurs moyennes sur une verticale. On conclut que les observations les plus sûres montrent que les régions centrales du Groenland s’épaississent actuellement de quelques centimètres par an et qu’une des causes en est probablement l’amincissement lent d’une couche basale de glace plus facilement déformable datant du Wisconsin.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG. Massenbilanz des grönlandischen Inlandeises bei Dye 3. Die Massenbilanz des grönlandischen Inlandeises bei Dye 3 wird auf der Grundlage von Beobachtungen der Eisdicke, der Akkumulation, der Geschwindigkeiten und Spannungsraten an der Oberfläche abgeschätzt. Die Berechnungen ergeben eine Zuwachsrate der Eisdicke/oberflächenhöhe von 3 cm pro Jahr mit einem 95%-Konfidenzbereich von -3 cm und +9 cm pro Jahr. Frühere Abschätzungen der Bilanz des grönlandischen Inlandeises mit derselben Methode werden überprüft, was zu dem Schluss führt, dass die festgestellten weitgehenden Unausgeglichenheiten meist auf dem Mang an genauen Daten und/oder auf der Extrapolation von Oberflächenmessungen auf die gesamte zugehörige Eisfläche beruhen. Es lässt sich sagen, dass die zuverlässigsten Beobachtungen derzeit auf eine Dickenzunahme der zentralen Region des grönlandischen Inlandeises um wenige cm pro Jahr hindeuten und dass eine Teilursache für diese Zunahme vermutlich die langsam fortschreitende Ausdünnung einer Grundschicht relativ weichen Eises aus der Wisconsin-Verengung ist.

INTRODUCTION

In connection with the deep drilling at Dye 3, south Greenland (lat. 65°11’N, long. 43°49’W), a glaciological programme was carried out upstream of the drill site. The programme included observations of surface topography, ice-thickness profiles, surface velocities, surface strain-rates, and accumulation-rate distribution (Whillans and others, 1984; Overgaard and Gundestrup, in press; Reeh and others, in press).

Combining the results of these investigations with velocity–depth data obtained from down-bore-hole inclinometry measurements (Gundestrup and Hansen, 1984), the local mass balance of the ice sheet at Dye 3 can be estimated.

EQUATION OF CONTINUITY

Introducing a horizontal \(x\)-\(y\) coordinate system, the local continuity equation for the ice sheet may be expressed as follows (Mellor, 1968):

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = a - H \left[ \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y} \right] - u_m \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - v_m \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \tag{1}
\]

where \(H\) is the ice thickness (in metres of ice equivalent), \(t\) the time, \(a\) the accumulation rate (in metres of ice equivalent per year), and \(u_m\) and \(v_m\) are horizontal ice-flow velocities in the \(x\)- and \(y\)-directions, respectively, averaged over the ice thickness.

It is assumed that the depth–density profile is constant in time and space, and that the ice is frozen to the bed (the basal temperature at Dye 3 equals -13.2°C according to Gundestrup and Hansen (1984), so that there is no mass loss or gain at the bottom of the ice sheet. If we further assume that the \(x\)-axis is in the direction of flow, Equation (1) can be rewritten in terms of the surface velocity and surface strain-rates:

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = a - \frac{1}{f} \left[ H \left( \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_m}{\partial y} \right) + u_m \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \right] \tag{2}
\]

where the subscript \(s\) indicates a value at the surface, and \(f = u_m/v_m\) is a shape factor of the horizontal velocity profile, which is assumed not to vary along the flow line.

THE DATA

The coordinate system applied is the one used by Whillans and others (1984) with the \(x\)-axis coinciding with the ice-flow direction at the drill site. At this site, the following values of ice thickness and accumulation rate have been found: \(H = 2013\) m of ice equivalent (Gundestrup and Hansen, 1984), \(a = 0.55\) m of ice equivalent/year (Reeh and others, in press). The shape factor \(f\) can be calculated by means of the velocity profile given by Gundestrup and Hansen (1984). The
The position of station 1, 450 m from the Dye 3 deep drill site at direction 294° true, was measured by Mock in 1972-73 and 1975 (Mock, 1976). Based on these positions, Mock estimated the surface velocity to be 1.8-2.7 m/year, direction 056° to 061° true. Since the 1972 position was obtained with a few satellite passes only, and the 1973 and 1975 positions were obtained at different sites and referenced to station 1 by survey, it is difficult to estimate the error. In 1980, 1981, and 1983 the measurements were repeated by University of Copenhagen (UCPH) (Overgaard and Gundestrup, in press), and in 1980 and 1981 the station was part of the strain net established by Whillans and others (1984), who estimated the velocity to be 1.34 m/year in a direction 061° true.

As illustrated in Table II. Results have been obtained from data presented in Overgaard and Gundestrup (in press) and Whillans and others (1984).

### TABLE I. POSITIONS OF STATION 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72.585</td>
<td>65.187391</td>
<td>316.165920</td>
<td>2526.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.625</td>
<td>65.187814</td>
<td>316.171377</td>
<td>2526.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.548</td>
<td>65.187878</td>
<td>316.171618</td>
<td>2529.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.422</td>
<td>65.187977</td>
<td>316.172051</td>
<td>2529.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A linear fit to these data gives the velocity

\[ \nu_x = 1.25 \pm 0.1 \text{ m/year, } \nu_y = 0.61 \pm 0.5 \text{°}. \]

The uncertainties are calculated on the assumption that all errors are random and therefore are formal errors. The 1972 position has a relatively high weight in this velocity calculation due to the long time interval to the next position determination. As the error in 1972 is uncertain and the definition of the satellite system was changed in June 1977, the 1972 position may be biased. If this position is omitted, the velocity is calculated to be

\[ \nu_x = 1.29 \pm 0.25 \text{ m/year, } \nu_y = 0.60 \pm 1.0 \text{°}. \]

Surface strain may influence the calculation. The local longitudinal strain-rate is 0.63 \times 10^{-4} \text{ year}^{-1} (see below). With the movement of the station over 138 m between 1972 and 1983, this corresponds to an increase of surface velocity of only 0.09 m/year, and consequently cannot explain the difference of 0.4 m/year between the two estimates. In summary, 12.5 m/year is considered the best estimate, although the error of 0.1 m/year is too small due to non-random sources of error.

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The method used in this study to estimate the mass balance of the Dye 3 area has been applied previously to several locations on the Greenland ice sheet (Shumskiy, 1965; Mellor, 1968; Mock, 1976). All previous estimates indicate large imbalances (up to more than 1 m/year), in some cases positive, in others negative. For example, Mellor (1968) estimated that the Dye 3 area has a positive balance of 1 m/year. However, this large value is based on application of unrealistically large values of the surface velocity (50 m/year) and transverse strain-rate (\(-5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ year}^{-1}\)).

Generally, the previous mass-balance estimates suffer from a lack of precise data, and neglect of the thickening rate, which may be significant on a regional scale. Therefore, the Dye 3 estimates are suggestive of the regions of accumulation.

### RESULTS

There are arguments for applying local as well as regional values in Equation (2). The values applied for accumulation rate, ice thickness, surface velocity, and shape factor \( f \) are local, thus favouring application of local values also for the remaining quantities in Equation (2). However, due to the velocity perturbations caused by the rough basal topography, the short-distance gradient of the shape factor may not be negligible, as assumed by Equation (2). The long-distance gradient of \( f \) on the other hand is more likely to be negligible, suggesting use of regional average values. Thus, since there is no clear preference for applying local or regional values, calculations have been performed with both sets. The results are

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0.05 \text{ m of ice/year (local)}, \]

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0.03 \text{ m of ice/year (regional)}. \]

Using the following estimates for the standard deviations: \( \sigma(\nu) = 0.1 \text{ m/year}, \sigma(f) = 0.015, \sigma(a) = 0.015 \text{ m/year}, \sigma(\partial \nu_x / \partial x) = \sigma(\partial \nu_y / \partial y) = 10^{-5} \text{ year}^{-1} \) (Whillans and others, 1984), and applying values of \( \partial H / \partial x \) as indicated in Table II, \( \partial H / \partial x = 0.06 \text{ m of ice/year for the 'local' and 'regional' estimates, respectively.} \)

Within the standard errors the two estimates therefore agree, and with 95% confidence, the present ice-thickness change at Dye 3 ranges between a thinning rate of 3 cm/year and a thickening rate of 9 cm/year. The largest contribution to \( \partial H / \partial x \) comes from the uncertainty of the thickness gradient. Therefore, increasing \( \sigma(\nu) \) to e.g. 0.25 m/year (as suggested by the calculation omitting the 1972 position above) will not change the confidence limits significantly.

Since the value of the accumulation rate used in the calculations is an average over about the last hundred years, the suggested small imbalance of the ice sheet does not reflect a short-term accumulation-rate anomaly, but rather a long-term trend persistent over at least the last several decades.
differences between surface and average values. Mock (1976), for example, estimated the mass balance of the ice sheet at Crête (lat. 71°07' N., long. 37°19' W.) to be \( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -0.25 \text{ m/year} \). Using Equation (2) with \( a = 0.29 \text{ m of ice equivalent per year} \), \( H = 3150 \text{ m} \), \( \alpha_0 = aH / \alpha_x + \sigma_y / \sigma_x = 1.24 \times 10^{-6} \text{ year}^{-1} \) (Reeh and others, 1978), \( \alpha_0 = 1.4 \text{ (Crête is on the ice divide)} \), and \( f = 1.13 \) (the value at Dye 3), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = 0.29 - \frac{1}{1.13} \times 3150 \times 1.24 \times 10^{-4}
\]

\( = -0.06 \text{ m/year} \),

i.e. a much smaller negative balance than estimated by Mock. Furthermore, the \( f \)-value at Crête is likely to be considerably larger than at Dye 3 due to a relatively thicker layer of soft Wisconsin ice (see discussion below) and also due to the ice-divide effect discussed by Reeh and others (1978) and Raymond (1984). Changing \( f \) to 1.6 for example, which is not an unrealistic value for Crête, will change \( \partial H/\partial t \) to a positive value of 0.05 m/year, which is in accordance with the observations of Seckel (1977) for the period 1959-68, who determined the surface-elevation change in a profile across the ice sheet at lat. 70°-72° N. by repeated precision levelling.

The suggested thickening rates of a few centimetres per year for the interior ice-sheet regions, however, do not necessarily mean that the Greenland ice sheet as a whole is at present gaining mass. Observations from the ice-sheet margin in West Greenland indicate that in general this part of the ice sheet is thinning and receding (Bauer and others, 1968; Weidick, 1975; Seckel, 1977). Whether the gain in the central parts of the ice sheet, or the loss in the western marginal areas is the larger, cannot be determined on the basis of the data available.

Moreover, an even greater uncertainty concerning the mass balance of the total Greenland ice sheet arises from the fact that almost nothing is known about the mass balance of the eastern and northern parts of the ice sheet.

In connection with a search for possible explanations for the indicated surface-elevation increase in the central areas of the ice sheet, the effect of the slow thinning of the layer of soft Wisconsin ice, which at present constitutes the bottom 10% of the ice sheet at Dye 3, and the contemporary thickening of the three to four times harder upper layer of Holocene ice (Gundestrup and Hansen, 1984; Dahl-Jensen, 1985), should be considered. This change will cause a thickening of the ice sheet, which can be calculated to be of the order of a few centimetres per year (paper in preparation by N. Reeh). Therefore, this effect should be considered a contributing cause of the suggested small surface-elevation change, on equal terms with past changes of accumulation rate and long-term changes of basal ice temperatures in response to the glacial-interglacial climate cycle (Whillans, 1981).
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