Application of a continuum-mechanical model for the flow of anisotropic polar ice to the EDML core, Antarctica
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ABSTRACT. We present an application of the newly developed CAFFE model (Continuum-mechanical, Anisotropic Flow model based on an anisotropic Flow Enhancement factor) to the EPICA ice core at Kohlmann Station, Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica (referred to as the EDML core). A one-dimensional flow model for the site is devised, which includes the anisotropic flow law and the fabric evolution equation of the CAFFE model. Three different solution methods are employed: (i) computing the ice flow based on the flow law of the CAFFE model and the measured fabrics; (ii) solving the CAFFE fabric evolution equation under the simplifying assumption of transverse isotropy; and (iii) solving the unrestricted CAFFE fabric evolution equation. Method (i) demonstrates clearly the importance of the anisotropic fabric in the ice column for the flow velocity. The anisotropic enhancement factor produced with method (ii) agrees reasonably well with that of method (i), even though the measured fabric shows a girdle structure (which breaks the transverse isotropy) in large parts of the ice core. For method (iii), we find that the measured fabric is reproduced well by the model down to ~2100 m depth. Systematic deviations at greater depths are attributed to the disregard of migration recrystallization in the model.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ice in natural land ice masses, such as polar ice sheets, ice caps and glaciers, consists of a vast number of individual hexagonal crystals (‘ice Ih’) with a typical diameter of millimetres to centimetres. This length scale contrasts with the size of the ice masses, which ranges from hundreds of metres to thousands of kilometres. It has long been known that the distribution of the crystallographic axes (in particular the optical axes or c axes) at the surface of an ice sheet is essentially random. However, deeper into the ice, different types of anisotropic fabrics with preferred orientations of the c axes tend to develop (Paterson, 1994, ch. 9).

Many models have been proposed to describe the anisotropy of polar ice. At one end of the range in complexity, a simple flow-enhancement factor is introduced in an ad hoc fashion as a multiplier of the isotropic ice fluidity in order to account for anisotropy and/or impurities. This is done in most current ice-sheet models, often without explicitly mentioning anisotropy (e.g. Saito and Abe-Ouchi, 2004; Greve, 2005; Huybrechts and others, 2007).

In macroscopic, phenomenological models, an anisotropic macroscopic formulation for the flow law of the polycrystal is postulated. To be usable, the rheological parameters that enter this law must be evaluated as functions of the anisotropic fabric (e.g. Morland and Staroszczyk, 1998, 2003; Gillet-Chaulet and others, 2005, 2006). The concept of homogenization models, also called micro–macro models, is to derive the polycrystalline behaviour at the level of individual crystals and the fabric (e.g. Lilboutry, 1993; Azuma, 1994; Castelnau and others, 1996, 1998; Svendsen and Hutter, 1996; Gödert and Hutter, 1998; Kitarev and others, 2002; Thorsteinsson, 2002). The most complex full-field models solve the Stokes equation for ice flow properly by decomposing the polycrystal into many elements, which makes it possible to infer the stress and strain-rate heterogeneities at the microscopic scale (e.g. Meyssonnier and Philip, 2000; Mansuy and others, 2002; Lebensohn and others, 2004a,b). A comprehensive, up-to-date overview of these different types of models is given by Gagliardini and others (in press). However, the most sophisticated models are usually too complex and computationally time-consuming to be readily included in a model of macroscopic ice flow.

Here, the CAFFE model (Continuum-mechanical, Anisotropic Flow model based on an anisotropic Flow Enhancement factor), is used (section 2). It belongs to the class of macroscopic models, and is explained in detail in the studies by Greve and others (2008) and L. Placidi and others (unpublished information), based on Placidi (2004, 2005), Placidi and Hutter (2006a), Faria (2006a,b) and Faria and others (2006).

The flow-enhancement factor is taken as a function of a newly introduced scalar quantity referred to as deformability. This is essentially a non-dimensional invariant related to the shear stress acting on the basal plane of a single crystal, weighted by the orientation distribution function (ODF) which describes the anisotropic fabric of the polycrystal. Fabric evolution is modelled by an orientation mass balance which accounts for grain rotation and recrystallization processes. The CAFFE model fulfils all the fundamental principles of classical continuum mechanics (see also Placidi and Hutter, 2006b), is sufficiently simple to allow numerical implementations in ice-flow models and contains only a limited number of free parameters.
In order to demonstrate its performance, the CAFFE model is applied to the site of the EPICA (European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica) ice core at Kohnen Station, Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica (referred to as the EDML core), for which data on the ice flow as well as on the anisotropic fabric are available (section 3.1). A one-dimensional (1-D) flow model, including the CAFFE model (with neglected recrystallization processes), is devised for the site in section 3.2. Three different solution methods are employed: (i) computing the ice flow based on the flow law of the CAFFE model and the fabrics data; (ii) solving the CAFFE fabric evolution equation under the simplifying assumption of transverse isotropy; and (iii) solving the unrestricted CAFFE fabric evolution equation (section 4). Section 5 summarizes the main findings of the study.

2. CAFFE: CONTINUUM-MECHANICAL MODEL FOR ANISOTROPIC POLAR ICE

2.1. Anisotropic generalization of Glen’s flow law

Glen’s flow law

The flow law of the CAFFE model is a generalization of the isotropic Glen’s flow law

\[ D = E \alpha(T') \sigma^n - 1 S \]  
(1)

(e.g. Paterson, 1994; Van der Veen, 1999; Hooke, 2005; Greve and Blatter, in press), where \( D = \text{sym} \ L = (L + L^T)/2 \) is the strain-rate tensor (symmetric part of the gradient \( L = \text{grad} \ v \) of the velocity \( v \), \( S \) the deviatoric stress tensor, \( \sigma = \sqrt{\text{tr}(S^2)}/2 \) the effective stress, \( n \) the stress exponent (chosen as \( n = 3 \)), \( \alpha(T') \) the rate factor and \( E \) the flow-enhancement factor. The rate factor \( \alpha(T') \) depends upon the temperature relative to pressure melting \( T' = T - T_m + T_0 \), where \( T \) is the absolute temperature, \( T_m \) is the pressure-melting point given by \( T_m = T_0 - \beta P \), \( T_0 \) is the melting point at zero pressure \( (T_0 = 273.16 K) \) and \( \beta \) is the Clausius–Clapeyron constant \( (\beta = 9.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ KMPa}^{-1}) \). The Arrhenius law is defined as

\[ \alpha(T') = A_0 e^{-Q/RT'} \]  
(2)

where \( A_0 \) is the pre-exponential constant, \( Q \) is the activation energy and \( R = 8.314 \text{ Jmol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \) is the universal gas constant. Suitable values for the pre-exponential constant and the activation energy are \( A_0 = 3.985 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-3} \) for \( T' \leq 263.15 K \) and \( A_0 = 1.916 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-3} \) for \( T' \geq 263.15 K \), and \( Q = 60 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} \) for \( T' \leq 263.15 K \) and \( Q = 139 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} \) for \( T' \geq 263.15 K \). The flow-enhancement factor \( E \) is equal to unity for pure ice, and can be set in an ad hoc fashion to values deviating from unity in order to account roughly for the effects of impurities and/or anisotropy (Paterson, 1991).

2.2. Decomposition of the deviatoric stress vector

\[ \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{t} \]  
(3)

where \( \mathbf{t} \) denotes the tangential unit vector (Fig. 2). As mentioned above, deformation of the single crystal in the polycrystalline aggregate is attributed to the tangential component only. Since we aim for a theory which describes the effects of anisotropy by a scalar anisotropic flow-enhancement factor, we define the scalar invariant from Equation (3):

\[ S' = (\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2. \]  
(4)

This quantity has the unit of stress squared, and so a natural way to non-dimensionalize it is by the square of the effective stress \( \sigma \), which is also a scalar invariant. We therefore introduce the deformability of a single crystal in the polycrystalline aggregate, which is loaded by the deviatoric stress \( S \) as

\[ \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{5}{2} S' \mathbf{n}^2 / \sigma^2 = 5 \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2}{\text{tr}(S^2)}. \]  
(5)

The factor 5/2 has been introduced for reasons of convenience, as will become clear.

Flow law for polycrystalline ice

In polycrystalline ice, the crystals within a control volume (which is assumed to be large compared with the crystal
dimensions, but small compared to the macroscopic scale of ice flow) show a certain fabric. At one extreme is the single-maximum fabric for which all c axes are perfectly aligned. At the opposite extreme lies the isotropic fabric with a completely random distribution of the c axes. A general fabric between these cases can be described by the orientation mass density (OMD) \( \rho^*(n) \), defined as the mass per volume and orientation, the latter being specified by the normal unit vector (direction of the c axis) \( n \in S^2 \) (the unit sphere). Evidently, when integrated over all orientations, the OMD must yield the normal mass density \( \rho \), which leads to the normalization condition

\[
\int_{S^2} \rho^*(n) \, d^2 n = \rho. \tag{6}
\]

Alternatively, an ODF \( f^*(n) \) can be defined as

\[
f^*(n) = \frac{\rho^*(n)}{\rho}, \tag{7}
\]

which is normalized to unity when integrated over all orientations.

We use the ODF to define the deformability of polycrystalline ice by weighting the deformability of the single crystal (Equation (5)),

\[
A = \int_{S^2} A^*(n) \, f^*(n) \, d^2 n
\]

\[
= \frac{5}{2} \int_{S^2} \frac{S^2(n)}{\sigma^2} f^*(n) \, d^2 n
\]

\[
= \frac{5}{2} \int_{S^2} (S \cdot n)^2 - (n \cdot S \cdot n) \, Tr(S^2) \, f^*(n) \, d^2 n. \tag{8}
\]

Note that for the isotropic case the ODF is \( f^*(n) = 1/(4\pi) \), and from Equation (8) we obtain a deformability of \( A = 1 \) (L. Placidi and others, unpublished information). For this reason, the factor 5/2 has been introduced into Equation (5).

The envisaged flow law for anisotropic polar ice can now be formulated. Essentially, we keep the form of Glen’s flow law (Equation (1)), but with a scalar anisotropic enhancement factor \( \hat{E}(A) \) instead of the parameter \( E \):

\[
D = \hat{E}(A) A (T^*) \sigma^{n-1} S. \tag{9}
\]

The function \( \hat{E}(A) \) is supposed to be strictly increasing with the deformability \( A \), and has the fixed points

\[
\hat{E}(0) = E_{\text{min}}; \quad \text{uniaxial compression on single maximum},
\]

\[
\hat{E}(1) = 1; \quad \text{arbitrary stress on isotropic fabric},
\]

\[
\hat{E}(5/2) = E_{\text{max}}; \quad \text{simple shear on single maximum}. \tag{10}
\]

The ‘hard’ case \( \hat{E}(0) \) in Equation (10) and the ‘soft’ case \( \hat{E}(5/2) \) in Equation (10) are illustrated in Figure 3. Note also that the deformability cannot take values larger than \( A = 5/2 \) (L. Placidi and others, unpublished information).

For the detailed form \( \hat{E}(A) \) of the anisotropic enhancement factor, in addition to Equation (10) we demand that the function is continuously differentiable, i.e. \( \hat{E} \in C^1[0,5/2] \). Moreover, Azuma (1995) and Miyamoto (1999) have experimentally verified that the enhancement factor depends on the Schmid factor (shear stress in the basal plane) to the fourth power, i.e. on the square of the deformability \( A \). This yields

\[
\hat{E}(A) = \begin{cases} 
E_{\text{min}} + (1 - E_{\text{min}} |A'|, & 0 \leq A \leq 1, \\
\frac{4A^2(1 - I) + 25 - 4E_{\text{max}}}{21}, & 1 \leq A \leq \frac{5}{2} \end{cases} \tag{11}
\]

(L. Placidi and others, unpublished information). Several investigations (e.g. Russell-Head and Budd, 1979; Pimienta and others, 1987; Jacka and Budd, 1989) indicate that the parameter \( E_{\text{max}} \) (maximum softening) is \( \sim 10 \). The parameter \( E_{\text{min}} \) (maximum hardening) can be realistically chosen between 0 and 1/10; a non-zero value serves the purpose of avoiding numerical problems. The function (Equation (11)) is shown in Figure 4.

Due to the scalar enhancement factor, the anisotropic flow law (Equation (9)) retains the collinearity between the strain-rate tensor \( D \) and the stress deviator \( S \) of Glen’s flow law (Equation (1)), whereas several other anisotropic flow laws relate \( D \) and \( S \) by tensor quantities (Lliboutry, 1993; Azuma, 1994; Mangeney and others, 1996; Svendsen and Hutter, 1996; Gödert and Hutter, 1998; Thorsteinsson, 2001; Morland and Staroszczyk, 2003; Gillet-Chaulet and others, 2005). Nevertheless, the flow law (Equation (9)) is truly anisotropic. For a polycrystalline ice sample with anisotropic ODF subjected to a certain state of stress (e.g. a simple-shear experiment), the material response (strain rate) changes under rotations of the sample, which fulfills the definition of anisotropy in the context of rheology (e.g. Liu, 2002, p. 86). The drawback of the formulation with a scalar enhancement factor is that in complex states of stress, the contribution of each stress component to the strain rate is the same. In reality, it depends upon the fabric (e.g. Thorsteinsson, 2001). This compromise is made in the CAFFE model for the sake of simplicity, i.e. a limited number of parameters, limited computational demands and relatively easy implementation in flow models of ice sheets and glaciers.
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Inversion of the flow law

The anisotropic flow law (Equation (9)) can be inverted analytically.

\[ S = \left[ \hat{E}(A) \right]^{-1/n} \left[ A \right]^{-1/n} d^{-1-1/n} D, \]

where \( d = \sqrt{\text{tr}(D^2)/2} \) is the effective strain rate. The deformability \( A \) also needs to be expressed by strain rates instead of stresses (Equation (8)). In analogy to Equation (3), we consider the macroscopic strain-rate vector \( D \cdot n \) in a single crystal in the polycrystalline aggregate, and decompose it according to

\[ D \cdot n = (n \cdot D \cdot n) n + D_t t, \]

where \( D_t \) is the shear rate in the basal plane (see also Fig. 2). Analogous to Equation (4), we define from Equation (13) the scalar invariant

\[ D_t^2 = (D \cdot n)^2 - (n \cdot D \cdot n)^2. \]

Due to the collinearity of the tensors \( S \) and \( D \) (Equations (9) and (12)), the deformability of polycrystalline ice can be readily expressed by \( D_t \) and \( d \), i.e.

\[ A = \frac{5}{2} \int_{S^2} \frac{D_t^2(n)}{d^2} f^*(n) \, d^2 n = \frac{5}{2} \int_{S^2} \frac{(D \cdot n)^2 - (n \cdot D \cdot n)^2}{\text{tr}(D^2)} f^*(n) \, d^2 n, \]

which completes the inversion of the anisotropic flow law.

2.2. Evolution of anisotropy

Orientation mass balance

The anisotropic flow law of the CAFFE model in the form of Equation (9) or Equation (12) needs to be complemented by an evolution equation for the anisotropic fabric. This is done by formulating the orientation mass balance for the OMD \( \rho^*(n) \), i.e.

\[ \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial t} + \text{div} (\rho^* v) + \text{div}_2 (\rho^* u^* + q^*) = \rho^* \Gamma^* \]

(L. Placidi and others, unpublished information). In this equation, \( \text{div} \) is the normal three-dimensional (3-D) divergence operator, \( \text{div}_2 \) the divergence operator on the unit sphere, \( u^*(n) \) the orientation transition rate, \( q^*(n) \) the orientation flux and \( \Gamma^*(n) \) the orientation production rate. The orientation transition rate corresponds to grain rotation, the orientation flux to rotation recrystallization (polymerization) and the orientation production rate to migration recrystallization, and all other processes in which the transport of mass from one grain with a certain orientation to another grain with a different orientation cannot be neglected. Mass conservation requires

\[ \int_S \rho^*(n) \Gamma^*(n) \, d^2 n = 0. \]

Note also that the velocity \( v \) is assumed to be independent on the orientation \( n \).

In order to solve the orientation mass balance (Equation (16)), constitutive relations for the orientation transition rate \( u^*(n) \), the orientation flux \( q^*(n) \) and the orientation production rate \( \Gamma^*(n) \) need to be provided as closure conditions.

Constitutive relations

As mentioned above, the orientation transition rate corresponds physically to grain rotation. Since grain rotation is induced by shear deformation in the basal plane, in the CAFFE model it is controlled by the shear rate \( D_t t \) (Equation (13)). The relation

\[ u^* = -\tau D_t t + W \cdot n \]

\[ = \tau \cdot [n \cdot D \cdot n] n - D \cdot n + W \cdot n \]

is employed (e.g. Dafalias, 2001), where the parameter \( \tau \) is a positive scalar function which can depend on scalar invariants of the strain rate and the fabric. The additional term \( W \cdot n \) with the spin tensor \( W = \text{skew} L = (L - L^\top)/2 \) (skew-symmetric part of the velocity gradient \( L = \text{grad} v \)) describes the contribution of local rigid-body rotations.

Placidi (2004, 2005) argued that it is a reasonable approximation to take the parameter \( \tau \) as a positive constant. In the special case \( \tau = 1 \), the basal planes are material area elements, i.e. they carry out an affine rotation. However, due to geometric incompatibilities of the deformation of individual crystals in the polycrystalline aggregate, affine rotations are not plausible and we expect realistic values of \( \tau \) to be less than unity. In fact, Placidi (2004, 2005) showed that the fabrics in the upper 2000 m of the GRIP ice core in central Greenland can be best explained by the value \( \tau \approx 0.4 \). Here, we choose \( \tau = 0.6 \) which yields the best fit between modelled and measured fabrics for the EDML ice core.

Recrystallization processes, which are described by the orientation flux and the orientation production rate, are neglected in this study. We therefore set \( q^*(n) = 0 \) and \( \Gamma^*(n) = 0 \). Constitutive relations for these quantities are discussed by Greve and others (2008) and L. Placidi and others (unpublished information).

3. APPLICATION TO THE EDML ICE CORE

We now apply the CAFFE model to the site of the EPICA deep ice core at Kohlen Station (75°00′06″S, 00°04′04″E;
3.1. Fabric data

Preliminary fabric data of the EDML core were measured in 2005 in a −20°C ice laboratory at the Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany. Samples were drilled between 2001 and 2004 and have been stored at −30°C after transportation at −25°C to Bremerhaven. Thin sections were prepared according to standard procedures using a microtome from horizontally (−0.5 × 50 × 50 mm) and vertically cut samples (−0.5 × 50 × 100 mm). The c-axis orientations were derived using an automatic fabric analyzer system (Wilson and others, 2003) which enables complete measurements of these samples in 15–30 min.

The data are still preliminary, as some local effects, probably due to the lack of maturity of the automatic fabric analyzer, need to be explained. However, the impact of these local effects on the statistics was checked by repeated measurements with changing sample orientations and was shown to be negligible. Of the 60–600 grains per thin section, ~5–10 grains showed these effects. The complete dataset and its detailed interpretation are presented in Eisen and others (2007) and I. Hamann and others (unpublished information).

In Figure 5, the preliminary fabric data of the EDML core are visualized as Schmidt diagrams for selected depths. The fabrics show a gradual transition from randomly oriented fabrics to the one-dimensional flow model

3.2. One-dimensional flow model

We define a local Cartesian coordinate system such that Kohnen Station is located at the origin, the x axis points in the 260° (west-southwest) direction, the y axis in the 170° (south-southeast) direction and z (depth) points vertically downwards (Fig. 6). According to the topographical data by Wesche and others (2007), the x axis is approximately aligned with the downhill direction and the gradient of the free surface elevation h is

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = -9 \times 10^{-4} \pm 10\%, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0. \]  

(19)

Thus, in the shallow-ice approximation, the only non-vanishing bed-parallel shear-stress component is \( S_{xz} \), given by

\[ S_{xz} = \rho g z \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}. \]  

(20)

where g is acceleration due to gravity. Combination with the x-z-component of Glen’s flow law (Equation (1)) yields the isotropic horizontal velocity:

\[ v_x = -2 \rho g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \int_z^H A(T') \sigma^{n-1} \, dz \]  

(21)

(e.g. Greve, 1997; Greve and Blatter, in press), where H is the ice thickness, the rate factor \( A(T') \) and stress exponent n are chosen as in section 2.1, and the enhancement factor \( E \) has been set to unity. Consequently, for anisotropic conditions and the corresponding flow law (Equation (9)), the horizontal velocity is

\[ v_x = -2 \rho g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \int_z^H \hat{E}(A,T') \sigma^{n-1} \, dz \]  

(22)

with the enhancement factor function \( \hat{E}(A) \) of Equation (11). Note that no-slip conditions have been assumed at the ice base, i.e. \( v_x(z = H) = 0 \).

The unknowns in Equation (22) are the normal deviatoric stresses \( (S_{xx}, S_{yy}, S_{zz}) \) which are required together with the shallow-ice shear stress (Equation (20)) for computing the deformability \( A \) by Equation (8) and then the enhancement factor \( \hat{E}(A) \) by Equation (11). The normal deviatoric stresses are computed by application of the inverse anisotropic flow law (Equation (12)) with the deformability in the form of Equation (15). The latter is evaluated with the calculated shallow-ice deformations and an assumed vertical strain rate \( D_{zz} \) in the form of a Dansgaard–Johnsen distribution (Dansgaard and Johnsen, 1969). This consists of a constant value of \( D_{zz} \) from the free surface down to two-thirds of the ice thickness and a linearly decreasing value of \( D_{zz} \) below (Fig. 7a). A similar distribution is employed for the temperature profile (Fig. 7b). The vertical velocity \( v_z \) is determined by integrating the prescribed vertical strain rate \( D_{zz} \), which gives a linear/quadratic profile (e.g. Greve and others, 2002).

Since \( D_{zz} \) is negative, we introduce the vertical compression rate \( \varepsilon = -D_{zz} = -\partial v_z/\partial z \). Horizontal extension is
parameterized by
\[ D_{xx} = \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} = a \varepsilon, \quad D_{yy} = \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} = (1 - a) \varepsilon, \] (23)
where the parameter \( a \) is equal to 1/2 for isotropic extension in the horizontal plane and equal to unity for extension in the \( x \) direction only. The horizontal, bed-parallel shear rate \( \gamma = \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial z} \) results from Equation (22), i.e.
\[ \gamma = 2 \rho g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \hat{E}(A(T')) \sigma^{n-1} z. \] (24)
The velocity gradient \( \mathbf{L} = \text{grad} \mathbf{v} \) is then
\[ \mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a \varepsilon & 0 & \gamma \\ 0 & (1 - a) \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}. \] (25)
We therefore obtain for the strain-rate tensor \( \mathbf{D} \) and the spin tensor \( \mathbf{W} \):
\[ \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} a \varepsilon & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \gamma \\ 0 & (1 - a) \varepsilon & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \gamma & 0 & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \] (26)
and
\[ \mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \gamma & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \] (27)

For the ODF, we use the preliminary data of the EDML fabric described above. However, since during the drilling process the orientation of the core is not fixed, the horizontal orientation of the girdle fabric (~600–2040 m depth) relative to our coordinate system, i.e. the direction of ice flow, is unknown. For this reason, we must assign an orientation for the fabric when computing the enhancement factor. We consider two limiting cases by rotating the initial data such that the girdle fabric at all depths is aligned with the \( x \) axis (case R13) and with the \( y \) axis (case R23). This is illustrated in Figure 8.

We assume isotropic conditions at the surface so that \( A_s = 1 \). For the maximum softening and hardening parameters, we use the values \( E_{\text{max}} = 10 \) and \( E_{\text{min}} = 0 \), respectively.

In a second step, we additionally solve the fabric evolution (Equation (16)). By allowing only a dependency of the orientation mass density \( \rho^* \) on the vertical coordinate \( z \) (1-D steady-state problem) and on the orientation \( \mathbf{n} \), the orientation mass balance (Equation (16)) yields an equation which governs the fabric evolution along the EDML ice core:
\[ \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial z} v_z + \partial_i (\rho^* u_i^*) = 0, \] (28)
where the \( i \)th component of the orientational gradient operator \( \partial_i \) and of the orientation transition rate \( u_i^* \) (in index notation, with summation over repeated indices) are given as
\[ \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_i} - n_i n_j \frac{\partial}{\partial n_j} \] (29)
and
\[ u_i^* = \Delta D_{hk} n_i n_h n_k - \Delta D_{ij} n_i + W_{ij} n_i, \] (30)
respectively. From Equation (29) and by inserting the constitutive relation (Equation (30)) into Equation (28), we obtain
\[ \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial z} v_z + u_i^* \partial_i \rho^* + \rho^* \partial_i u_i^* \]
\[ = \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial z} v_z + \left( W_{ij} - \Delta D_{ij} \right) n_i \partial_i \rho^* + 3 \rho^* \Delta D_{hk} n_k n_h = 0. \] (31)
By using Equations (26) and (27) and introducing spherical coordinates, Equation (31) reduces to

\[
\begin{align*}
4 \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial z} v_z + 3 i \rho^* & \{ [2(2a - 1) \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\varphi - 1 - 3 \cos 2\theta] \\
& + 2 \gamma \sin 2\theta \cos \varphi \} \\
& + 2 \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial \varphi} \{ \epsilon \tau (2a - 1) \sin 2\varphi + \gamma(-1 + \epsilon \sin 2\theta \tan \theta) \} \\
& + 2 \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial \theta} \{ - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \sin 2\theta [(2a - 1) \cos 2\varphi + 3] \} \\
& + \epsilon \tau \sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta \cos \varphi \} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\theta\) and \(\varphi\) are the polar (co-latitude) and azimuth (longitude) angles, respectively. Note that due to Equation (24), the shear rate \(\gamma\) depends on the fabric via the deformability \(\mathcal{A}\).

The shear flow at the EDML station leads to the transport of ice particles over significant horizontal distances. Based on 3-D flow modelling, Huybrechts and others (2007) estimate that particles at 89% depth of the core originate from 184 km upstream (approximately eastward). This is not taken into account in our spatially 1-D model. However, the variation of the shear upstream of the drill site is probably small due to the small variation of the surface gradient (Fig. 6), so that the error resulting from the neglected horizontal inhomogeneity should be limited.

We also consider the simplified case of a transversely isotropic (circularly symmetric) fabric, so that the OMD \(\rho^*\) is only a function of the depth \(z\) and the polar angle \(\theta\). Then after integration over the azimuth angle \(\varphi\), Equation (32) becomes

\[
4 \frac{\partial \rho^*}{\partial z} v_z - 3 i \rho^* \{ 3 \epsilon \sin 2\theta - 3 i \rho^* \epsilon (1 + 3 \cos 2\theta) \} = 0.
\]

Note that the dependence on the shear rate \(\gamma\) has disappeared, so that the evolution of the transversely isotropic fabric is only driven by the compression rate \(\epsilon\).

Equations (32) and (33) are solved using a finite-volume discretization in the 3-D \((z, \theta, \varphi)\) configuration space and a finite-difference discretization in the two-dimensional \((z, \theta)\) configuration space, respectively. In the \(z\) direction, the resolution is refined with depth via \(z_{t+1} = z_t + (v_z) \Delta t\), where \(z_0 = 0\) is the surface, \((v_z)\) is the vertical velocity at depth \(z_t\) and \(\Delta t = 1\) day for Equation (32) and \(\Delta t = 20\) years for Equation (33).

The angular resolution of the unit sphere is \(\Delta \theta = \Delta \varphi = 5^\circ\) for Equation (32) and \(\Delta \theta = 0.2^\circ\) for Equation (33). As already mentioned in section 2.2, the parameter \(\epsilon\) is set to the value 0.6. We refer to the solution of Equation (32) as the unrestricted fabric evolution (section 4.3), and the solution of Equation (33) as the fabric evolution with transverse isotropy (section 4.2).

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Anisotropic ice flow with prescribed fabric

Figure 9 shows the variation of the enhancement factor, the ice fluidity and the horizontal velocity along the ice core, computed with the ODF based on the fabric data described in section 3.1. The parameter in Equation (23) has been set to \(a = 1\) (horizontal extension in \(x\) direction only). This choice is supported by the observed girdle fabric, which cannot form under an isotropic horizontal extension \((a = 1/2)\).

For both limiting cases R13 and R23, the enhancement factor is close to unity in the upper 600 m which reflects the approximately isotropic fabrics in that part of the EDML core. Further down, in the girdle fabric regime, case R13 is characterized by a moderate increase of the enhancement factor to an average value of about 2, whereas case R23 exhibits a strong decrease of the enhancement factor to values close to 0. This demonstrates clearly that the girdle fabrics produce a significantly different mechanical response depending on the orientation relative to the ice flow. Case R23 is probably closer to reality, because in the girdle fabric regime above 2000 m depth the deformation is essentially vertical compression and horizontal extension in the \(x\) direction. For this situation, a simple ‘deck-of-cards’ model illustrates that the axes turn away from the \(x\) axis and towards the \(z\) axis, so that in the Schmidt projection a concentration perpendicular to the \(x\) axis (flow direction) results (see Paterson, 1994, p. 198). This will also be made evident in section 4.3.
Below 2000 m depth, where the fabric switches to a single maximum, the difference between cases R13 and R23 essentially vanishes. The crystal basal planes are favourably oriented for the now prevailing simple-shear deformation, which leads to large deformabilities. Consequently, the enhancement factor shows a sharp increase to a maximum value of about 8, which is close to the limit of $E_{\text{max}} = 10$.

The variabilities in the enhancement factor and the effective stress, as well as the increase of the temperature with depth, contribute to the fluidity profiles shown in Figure 9b. Since the fluidity is very small above 2000 m depth and increases only further down, the difference between the R13 and R23 cases in absolute values is surprisingly small. At 2563 m depth, the fluidity is about 200 times higher than the fluidity at 1000 m depth for case R23 due to the counteracting contributions of favourably oriented c axes and higher temperature on the one side, and smaller effective stress on the other side. The latter is somewhat surprising: it is caused by the normal deviatoric stresses $S_{zz}$ and $S_{xz}$ which decrease strongly below 2000 m depth and outweigh the influence of the increasing shear stress $S_{xz}$ in the effective stress.

Due to the large enhancement factors close to the bottom, the anisotropic flow law predicts significantly larger horizontal velocities compared to the isotropic flow law for the entire depth of the ice core (Fig. 9c). At the surface, the anisotropic horizontal velocities are approximately a factor of 3.5 larger than their isotropic counterparts. The absolute value of $\sim$0.7 m a$^{-1}$ agrees very well with measurements (personal communication from H. Oerter, 2005; Wesche and others, 2007). The difference between the R13 and R23 cases amounts to $\sim$10%, the larger values being obtained for case R23 due to the slightly larger enhancement factors below 2000 m depth.

4.2. Fabric evolution with transverse isotropy

Let us now turn to the simulation in which the fabric evolution is computed by solving Equation (33) for a transversely isotropic fabric. Although this assumption is not consistent with the observed girdle fabric between $\sim$600 and 2000 m depth and is therefore a gross simplification, it is interesting to study the mechanical response of such a simplified system and the differences to the ice flow resulting from applying the measured fabrics.

Figure 10a shows the comparison between the enhancement factors resulting from the computed, transversely isotropic fabric (referred to as ‘modelled enhancement factor’) and from the fabric data. Evidently, the agreement is good despite the assumption of transverse isotropy. Down to 1800 m depth, the modelled enhancement factor lies between the cases R13 and R23, which are the limiting cases for the orientation of the measured girdle fabric with respect to the ice-flow direction. Between 1800 and 1900 m depth, the modelled enhancement factor is very close to the low values of case R23, for which the girdle fabric is aligned perpendicular to the flow direction. Below 2000 m depth, the sharp increase is also well reproduced. However, the maximum of the modelled enhancement factor is more pronounced and lies closer to the bottom than for cases R13 and R23.

For that reason, the modelled enhancement factor leads to larger near-basal shear rates than the enhancement factor based on cases R13 and R23. Consequently, the horizontal velocity resulting from the modelled enhancement factor is larger (by about a factor of two) than the velocities for cases R13 and R23 (Fig. 10b). At the surface, a value of $\sim$1.5 m a$^{-1}$ is reached, which is twice the measured surface velocity. This highlights the great sensitivity of the ice dynamics to the processes near the bottom, which are most difficult to model precisely. As well as the assumption of transverse isotropy, a weak point in that context is the disregard of recrystallization processes which are expected to become important for the fabric evolution in the lower part of the ice core.

4.3. Unrestricted fabric evolution

We now drop the assumption of transverse isotropy and compute the fabric evolution for the EDML site by solving Equation (32), which describes the general 1-D steady-state problem in the coordinates $z, \theta$ and $\varphi$ without further approximations. The parameter in Equation (23) has again been set to $a = 1$ (horizontal extension in the x direction only).

The resulting Schmidt diagrams for the ODF are presented in Figure 11. For the sake of easy comparison with the fabric data, the depths are the same as in Figure 5. We see a good
agreement between the data and the model results for the transition with depth from an isotropic fabric via broad and narrow girdle fabrics to a single maximum at about 2040 m. The computed girdle fabrics are aligned with the y axis (perpendicular to the flow direction), which confirms our above justification that case R23 for the orientation of the measured fabrics is more realistic (section 4.1). Compared to the data, the computed girdle fabrics show a stronger concentration of c axes towards the vertical. According to Paterson (1994, p. 198), this discrepancy indicates that some amount of compression occurs in the transverse (y) direction (which corresponds to a > 1 in Equation (23)). At depths of 2035 m and 2045 m, the obtained single-maximum fabrics have a noticeable deviation from the vertical (centre of the Schmidt diagrams). This is also in agreement with the data, and is an effect of the transition of the deformation regime from mainly pure shear to mainly bed-parallel simple shear. However, at 2563 m depth, the modelled fabric shows a widened and somewhat decayed structure, which is only reflected in the data to a limited extent. The spurious spatial oscillations in this fabric indicate that it is probably influenced by a numerical instability of the finite-volume scheme near the base.

Down to depths of approximately 2100 m, the profile of the enhancement factor obtained from the computed fabrics (Fig. 12a) agrees well with the results obtained from the R23 data. In the girdle fabric regime between 600 m and 2000 m depth, the values are therefore distinctly lower than those based on transverse isotropy which lie between the R13 and R23 data (Fig. 10a). The sharp increase of the enhancement factor between 2000 and 2100 m depth emerges in a similar fashion for both the cases of transverse isotropy and general anisotropy. However, below 2100 m depth, the enhancement factor for general anisotropy becomes radically different from that for transverse isotropy. Starting from the maximum value of about 5, it drops to almost unity and increases further down to values slightly larger than 2. The latter corresponds to the Schmidt diagram for 2563 m depth in Figure 11. The trends of both the R13 and R23 data show a similar behaviour, but the absolute values of the enhancement factor are clearly underpredicted by the solution of the fabric evolution Equation (32) because of the resulting decay of the single maximum. This becomes more evident by inspection of the second-order orientation tensor $a^{(2)}$ of the calculated fabric (Fig. 12b).

The development of an ideal vertical single-maximum fabric would result in values of $a^{(2)}_{33}$ converging to 1 and values of $a^{(2)}_{11}$ and $a^{(2)}_{22}$ to 0. While the trend towards this state lasts down to depths of 2100 m, $a^{(2)}_{13}$ decreases between 2100 and 2300 m depth. Further down, $a^{(2)}_{13}$ increases again and finally stabilizes at values of $\sim 0.7$ close to the core bottom. The model therefore predicts the formation of a fabric with a smaller concentration of c axes around the vertical direction than the measured fabric. However, the oscillatory behaviour of $a^{(2)}_{33}$ below $\sim 2400$ m depth indicates that the results are probably affected by a numerical instability, as mentioned above.

In order to assess the dependence of the results on the numerical technique used in this work for the solution of Equation (32) (section 3.2, last paragraph), we have also applied a Lagrangian approach that computes the evolution of the c-axis orientation for discrete grains, contrary to the finite-volume method in the Eulerian frame. This model works down to 2200 m depth and provides similar results to the finite-volume scheme, demonstrating that our results are numerically robust at least to this depth (Seddik, 2008).
Fig. 12. Variation along the EDML ice core of (a) the enhancement factor and (b) the three non-zero components of the second-order orientation tensor $a^{(2)}$. 'Model' represents the solutions based on the unrestricted fabric evolution Equation (32).

of averaging over the azimuth angle. The decay of the single-maximum fabric (decreasing values of $a^{(2)}_{33}$) is therefore due to the dominance of bed-parallel simple shear in the lowest hundreds of metres.

Inspection of the constitutive relation Equation (18) for the orientation transition rate shows that, under simple shear and for $\iota < 1$, the local rigid-body rotation always outweighs the deformational contribution. This implies that $u^* = 0$ is not possible for any orientation $n$, and a stable single-maximum fabric cannot form by grain rotation only. However, as already stated at the end of section 4.2, neglecting recrystallization processes is critical in this part of the core and it is therefore not surprising that the model results deviate from the data. In particular, migration recrystallization will drive the fabric back towards a vertical single maximum which is most favourable for bed-parallel simple-shear deformations. The discrepancy between modelled and observed fabrics below 2100 m depth and the resulting discrepancy of the enhancement factors therefore becomes qualitatively understandable.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The newly developed CAFFEmodel, which comprises an anisotropic flow law as well as a fabric evolution equation, is presented in this study. It is a good compromise between physical adequateness and simplicity, and is therefore well suited to flow models of ice sheets and glaciers.

The CAFFEmodel was applied to the site of the EDML ice core. Three different methods were employed: (i) computing the anisotropic enhancement factor and the horizontal flow based on fabrics data; (ii) solving the fabric evolution equation under the simplifying assumption of transverse isotropy; and (iii) solving the full fabric evolution equation.

Method (i) demonstrated clearly the importance of the anisotropic fabric in the ice column for the flow velocity, and better agreement with the measured surface velocity was achieved compared to an isotropic computation. The anisotropic enhancement factor produced with method (ii) agreed reasonably well with that of method (i), despite the fact that the measured fabric is not transversely isotropic in large parts of the ice core. For method (iii), which is the most sophisticated from a modelling point of view, we found that the measured fabric is reproduced well by the model down to approximately 2100 m depth, encompassing the change with depth from an isotropic fabric via broad and narrow girdle fabrics to a single-maximum fabric. Only further down, in the warm near-basal part of the ice core, a systematic disagreement emerged: the modelled fabric shows a more pronounced decay than the measured fabric. This is probably due to the disregard of migration recrystallization in the model and, in the lowest part, a numerical instability of the finite-volume solver.

Due to its relative simplicity, the CAFFEmodel is suitable for implementation in 3-D ice-flow models. Seddik (2008) has applied CAFFE for the full Stokes model Elmer/Ice in order to simulate the vicinity within 100 km around the Dome Fuji drill site (Motoyama, 2007) in central East Antarctica.
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