Glacier velocity measurements in the eastern Yigong Zangbo basin, Tibet, China
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ABSTRACT. We apply the feature-tracking method to L-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images to derive detailed motion patterns of glaciers in the Yigong Zangbo basin during summer 2007. The results indicate that the flow patterns are generally constrained by the valley geometry and terrain complexity. The mean velocities of the 12 glaciers were 15–206 m a\(^{-1}\), with a maximum of 423 m a\(^{-1}\) for Glacier No. 5a. The majority of the glaciers exhibited high and low velocities in their upper and lower sections, respectively. The glacier area ranges from 3 to 42 km\(^2\). It is found that velocity shows a positive correlation with the glacier area and length. Many small-scale temporal/spatial variations in the glacier flow patterns were observed along the central glacier flowline.

INTRODUCTION
The Earth’s climate has always been characterized by natural variability. However, the global mean annual air temperature showed significant increase during the 20th century (Parry and others, 2007). Glaciers are highly sensitive to variations in temperature and precipitation (Oerlemans, 2005) and have therefore served as indicators of climate change in recent centuries (Oerlemans and Fortuin, 1992; Haeberli and others, 2002). Most of the glaciers throughout the world are retreating, with particularly pronounced retreat trends in mountain and valley glaciers (Dyurgerov and Meier, 2000; Barry, 2006). This retreat was intensified at the end of the last century (Khromova and others, 2003; Paul and others, 2004a,b). Most of the examined glaciers in the Tibetan Plateau have retreated to some extent, although a small number of glaciers continue to advance (Liu and others, 2006; Yao and others, 2012). Many studies have reported accelerations in glacial retreat in recent years due to increased air temperature and change in the partitioning of precipitation (more rain than snow at high elevations), which have resulted in negative glacier mass balance (Yao and others, 2007; Kang and others, 2010). This retreat affects river runoff regimes (Yao and others, 2004; Liu and others, 2006) and glacier outburst floods and mudflows in the upper valleys (Glantz, 1999). In the Tibetan Plateau, the glacial retreat is most pronounced in the Yigong Zangbo basin (YZB), where glacier length has decreased at a rate of 48 m a\(^{-1}\) and glacial area has reduced at a rate of 0.57% a\(^{-1}\) between 1971 and 2000 (Yao and others, 2012). The changes in the glaciers of the YZB and of the entire Tibetan Plateau can be monitored as an indicator of continuing climate change (Niederer and others, 2008).

Mass-balance measurements provide a direct signal (i.e. a signal with no time delay) of the effects of climate change on variations in glacial accumulation and ablation (Kaser and others, 2006; Berthier and others, 2007). Estimating the flow velocity of valley glaciers is very important in understanding the glacier mass balance, as the discharge of ice mass is largely dependent on the glacier velocity, with accelerated or decreased motion indicating an alteration of the ice mass equilibrium (Nakamura and others, 2007; Strozzi and others, 2008). Knowledge of the seasonal and interannual variability of the velocity is important for understanding the response of glaciers and ice caps to expected climate change. In particular, glacier velocities provide information on the potential effects on water resources, sea-level rise and glacier-related hazards (Dowdeswell and others, 1999; Berthier and others, 2005). Although in situ observations can provide highly accurate glacier velocity determinations, it is not feasible in practice to visit remote glacier areas frequently. Given the difficulty of direct field-based monitoring of glaciers in remote areas, satellite remote sensing is an attractive approach for monitoring changes in the surface movement of glaciers (Joughin and others, 1995) and ultimately for estimating changes in the mass balance of glaciers. Glacier monitoring using optical remote sensing is limited by the strong weather patterns characteristic of glacierized areas, including thunderstorms, rain and snowfall, all of which cause frequent and rapid changes in illumination. In addition, it is difficult to obtain cloudless optical data for these regions on a regular basis, which are required for a robust monitoring of glacier flow patterns. In contrast, synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery has several advantages over other remote-sensing approaches, including the ability to observe through cloud cover, and its insensitivity to variations in weather or illumination.

The SAR interferometry (InSAR) method is a valuable technique for studying glacier dynamics, due to its high sensitivity to terrain deformations (Joughin and others, 2010; Gourmelen and others, 2011). Both conventional InSAR and multiple aperture InSAR (MAI) require effective interferometry to obtain accurate results (Bechor and Zebker, 2006; Gourmelen and others, 2011). Satellite radar feature tracking (SRFT), however, does not demand the interferometry condition, i.e. there are no strict limitations on the time interval and spatial baseline length of the SAR image pairs, as long as there are images in the detecting areas (Scherler and others, 2008). The main advantage of SRFT over InSAR is the fact that SRFT does not require phase correlation of the
Phase correlation is lost within a short period of time during summer, rainy or snowy conditions, and so is a good alternative to InSAR in high-accumulation or high-melt glaciers. The SRFT method can measure deformations in both the range and azimuth directions using intensity tracking, even if the SAR image pairs are incoherent (Strozzi and others, 2002; Scherler and others, 2008). Intensity-based algorithms are therefore a desirable alternative for operational monitoring. Most studies that have employed SRFT or similar techniques have also used optical imagery (Bindschadler and Scambos, 1991; Haug and others, 2010; Heid and Ka¨a¨b, 2012). The SRFT method based on C-, L- or X-band SAR imagery has been successfully applied to calculate the velocities of glaciers in several diverse locations (e.g. Greenland and Antarctica (Fahnestock and others, 1993; Rosanova and others, 1998; Joughin and others, 2004, 2008; Luckman and others, 2006; Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006; Rignot and others, 2008, 2011), the Arctic, including glaciers in Svalbard, Novaya Zemlya and Franz Josef Land (Strozzi and others, 2002, 2008), and middle latitude or alpine regions, including glaciers in the Patagonia region of Argentina (Clappa and others, 2010), the Alps (Fallourd and others, 2011), the Tien Shan in Kyrgyzstan (Erten and others, 2009) and the Karakoram Himalaya region (Luckman and others, 2007; Huang and Li, 2012)).

In this paper, we use the SRFT method to derive glacier velocities from SAR intensity images acquired over the YZB using Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS) Phased Array-type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR). The objectives of this work are (1) to calculate the detailed velocity structure of these valley glaciers and (2) to pinpoint any flow instability within the glacier drainage basins.

**STUDY AREA**

The YZB (Fig. 1) is located in the eastern Tibetan Plateau and is in the southern portion of the Nyainqêntanglha mountain (30°05’–31°03’ N, 92°52’–95°19’ E). The highest point of the YZB is the Nayong Gav peak, with an altitude of 6338 m a.s.l. The Yigong Zangbo river originates on the south slope of Nyainqêntanglha mountain, with a length of 286 km and a drainage area of 13 533 km². This river is a first-order tributary of the Parlung Zangbo river and a second-order tributary of the Yarlung Zangbo river (i.e. the Brahmaputra River). The Yigong Zangbo river and Parlung Zangbo river intersect at Tongmai and then flow southward to converge into the Yarlung Zangbo river at a point known as the Big Bend of the Yarlung Zangbo river. The geomorphological features of the basin are characterized as high mountains, glaciers and gorges. The majority of glaciers within the basin are found along the mountain range, with persistent snow cover and ice at altitudes above 3500 m a.s.l.

The YZB has a warm, humid climate, which is determined by strong seasonal variation of precipitation. The mean annual precipitation is 958 mm, with peak precipitation during the India monsoon season between May and September, when it accounts for 74.9% of the annual total according to records from the nearest weather station, Bomi (Fig. 1). The airflow from the Indian Ocean is directed northward along the Yarlung Zangbo river valley and is hindered by the Nyainqêntanglha mountain. Precipitation increases with altitude in the southern slope of the mountain; however, it decreases in the northern slope because of its opposite aspect to the movement of the Indian Ocean airflow. The mean annual air temperature is ~8.8°C, with highest and lowest mean monthly temperatures of 17.2°C and –1.7°C respectively.

The Indian monsoons, together with the massive topographic landform, cause climatic effects on the distribution of existing glaciers. There are 1724 glaciers in the YZB, all of which are of the temperate or oceanic type because they are affected by warm and humid airflow from the Indian Ocean (Shi and Xie, 1964), with the total glacial area and volume of 3910 km² and 444 km³ respectively (Yao and others, 2010). The glaciers in the YZB serve the important function of providing water storage and supply for agriculture and economic activities in the lower reaches of the Brahmaputra River.

**DATASET**

To generate a glacier flow pattern, we needed two SAR images from repeat orbits and an associated digital elevation data.
model (DEM) from the same area. ALOS was launched by
the Japanese Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) in
January 2006, loaded with three sensors: the Panchromatic
Remote-sensing Instrument for Stereo Mapping (PRISM),
Advanced Visible and Near Infrared Radiometer type 2
(AVNIR-2) and PALSAR. The L-band PALSAR provides higher
performance than the Japanese Earth Resources Satellite 1
(JERS-1) SAR. The PALSAR sensor has a beam with an
adjustable elevation and a ScanSAR mode. L-band (wave-
length $\lambda = 23.5$ cm) SAR can complement existing applica-
tions based on C-band ($\lambda = 5.6$ cm) data (Strozzi and others,
2008). It was found in earlier studies that L-band SAR
imagery is more suitable for measuring glacier velocity using
a feature-tracking method than the C-band imagery, and the
HH polarization mode is superior to other polarization
modes (Jiang and others, 2012). Therefore, for this study, two
ALOS/PALSAR orbits, acquired on 3 July and 18 August
2007 (Table 1) and covering the study area (Fig. 1) with HH
polarization, were used to estimate the glacier surface
velocity. All the images consist of ascending orbit and
Single-Look Complex (SLC) data. The data pairs employed in
SRFT have a 46 day acquisition interval between images. A
total of 12 glaciers are covered by the ALOS/PALSAR images
in the basin. We coded the glaciers as Nos. 1–12 because
most of them have no published names. Six of the glaciers
(Nos. 1–6) are located on the northern slope of the Kona
Kangri ridge and the other six glaciers (Nos. 7–12) are
located on the southern slope (Fig. 6 further below).

The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) DEM data
(version 4) were also downloaded from the website http://
srtm.csi.cgiar.org/ and used to geocode the images and
derive the altitude, slope and aspect of the glacier surface.
These data have a nominal resolution of 90 m × 90 m and
are already hole-filled (Reuter and others, 2007). All the
image products were orthorectified using the SRTM DEM,
with the Universal Traverse Mercator (UTM) map projection.

### METHODS

The flow velocities are derived from sequential satellite
imagery using SRFT. The SRFT is implemented by calculating
the offsets between two SAR images using offset tracking
procedures. The intensity tracking method, also known as
the maximum cross-correlation optimization procedure, is
used to estimate the slant-range and azimuth registration
offset fields of each pair of SAR images. Intensity tracking
and coherence tracking are generally used for this purpose.
In this approach, prominent surface features that are identi-
fiable on two co-registered images, such as crevasses, rifts or
edges that move with the ice, are used to determine the
displacement and velocity. The SRFT involves several steps,
which are briefly described in the following.

#### Maximum intensity cross-correlation

Using the SLC images, we estimated the range and azimuth
offsets to sub-pixel precision and accurately co-registered
the images. We compensated for the residual registration
offsets by using a linear transformation based on geo-
morphological features (e.g. nunataks). This step was
performed visually by matching the pixels and such features
in ice-free fixed areas.

The image correlation method detects similarities in a
pair of co-registered images by examining a matched point
between the feature window of the reference (master) image
($WM$) and that of the search (slave) image ($WS$) (Fig. 2). The
centre position of $WM$ is denoted by $PM$, and that of $WS$ is
denoted by $PS$. The window with the highest correlation
coefficient within the search area is taken to be the most
similar window and used to calculate the flow velocity. The
search area must be sufficiently large to ensure that the
largest possible displacement is included. A larger search
window (area-wise) will generally yield a higher matching
accuracy, although the offset procedure will require a longer
processing time. We experimented with the window size to
obtain an optimal balance between the glacier velocity
precision and processing time. We set the window size to
64 × 192 pixels and the search step length to 12 × 36 pixels

### Table 1. ALOS/PALSAR data used for feature-tracking processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Date acquired</th>
<th>Orbit. No./Frame No.</th>
<th>Temporal baseline days</th>
<th>Polarization</th>
<th>Orbit type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Master image 1</td>
<td>3 Jul 2007</td>
<td>492/590</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>Ascending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave image 1</td>
<td>18 Aug 2007</td>
<td>492/590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master image 2</td>
<td>3 Jul 2007</td>
<td>492/600</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>Ascending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave image 2</td>
<td>18 Aug 2007</td>
<td>492/600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The displacement orientation conversion from SAR geometric coordinate system to UTM map projection.

In this study, the horizontal offsets in the radar geometry were extracted from the data pairs, and the obtained range and azimuth offsets were used to calculate the direction of the glacier motion. To calculate the glacier velocity, the displacement orientation in the SAR geometric coordinate system must be converted to a UTM map projection using the SRFT method.

$$\alpha_{\text{Orbit–Equator}} = \arctan \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \right)$$

where \(\theta\) is the oblique angle of the orbit, i.e. the angle in the azimuthal direction measured anticlockwise from the equator. For the ALOS/PALSAR data, \(\alpha_{\text{Orbit–Equator}}\) is equal to 98.16°.

Three-dimensional velocity calculation

The displacement \(D_{3D}\) obtained from the SRFT is projected onto a two-dimensional (2-D) horizontal plane (Fig. 4). The three-dimensional (3-D) velocity calculation is based on two hypotheses. The first is that the 2-D glacial surface velocity derived using the SRFT method is the horizontal projection of the 3-D velocity, and the second is that the direction of the 3-D velocity at a certain point is parallel to the topographic slope of the 2-D velocity at the same point. The 3-D displacement \(D_{3D}\), which is the actual displacement of the glacier surface, can then be converted using the DEM and computed as

$$D_{3D} = D_{2D}/\cos \theta$$

where \(D_{2D}\) is the displacement of point \(P\) in the 2-D plane, \(D_{3D}\) is the displacement in 3-D space, and \(\theta\) is the slope angle of point \(P\) along the \(D_{3D}\) direction. It is important to note that the value of \(\theta\) is not simply the value calculated from the traditional DEM slope because the slope value calculated from the DEM considers the steepest gradient. However, the displacement based on the SRFT is not necessarily oriented along the steepest gradient and must be determined to the projection direction in the 2-D plane of the glacier velocity.

Finally, the glacier velocity can be calculated as

$$V_a = \left( \frac{D_{3D}}{46} \right) \times 365$$

where \(V_a\) is the annual mean velocity (m a\(^{-1}\)) and \(D_{3D}\) is the displacement in 3-D space during the time interval between the acquisition of the two images (46 days for ALOS/PALSAR imagery).

Error analysis

The largest sources of error in the feature-tracking-based velocities are the image geolocation, co-registration and feature-tracking method errors (Wuite and others, 2009). In order to evaluate the errors, we randomly selected 200 fixed points, which were often identified with well-defined SAR surface features such as naked rocks or ridges by spanning the SAR images around the glacier area and seeking the best-correlated templates using the same size adopted to extract the ice velocity field. Table 2 shows the maximum, minimum and mean errors along different aspects are 12.03, 0.39 and 4.03 m a\(^{-1}\) respectively. Figure 5 further indicates that there is no evident relationship between error and aspect, range or azimuth direction.

After the SRFT processing, a final filtering was performed to eliminate the bad vectors. The filter was based on the minimum and maximum modulus thresholds, exclusion of
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Fig. 4. Horizontal velocity and actual velocity of the glacier surface.
isolated vectors and omission of vectors that differ significantly in modulus or direction from the average vector at a given radius.

RESULTS

Three-dimensional glacier flow patterns

The available SAR image pairs (Table 1) were successfully used to estimate the surface velocity patterns in the YZB. Here we investigate the potential of the SRFT method to estimate the glacier flow. The glacier boundaries were derived from the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space (GLIMS) database (http://www.glims.org/) and were modified by visual interpretation of the SAR images. To aid in their presentation and interpretation, the flow patterns were superimposed onto ALOS/PALSAR intensity images in Figure 6. Figure 6 provides a map of the magnitude of the 3-D flow velocity vectors, and the arrows in Figure 10 denote the magnitude and direction projection of the 3-D flow velocity vectors in 2-D plane. Many topographic features of the glaciers are evident from the DEMs of both Figures 1 and 6; these features confirm certain basic lateral patterns of the motion of the valley glaciers and provide a better understanding of the glacier motion. Mountains are present on both sides of the glaciers and limit the movement of the glaciers in a particular direction. Immediately adjacent to the side-walls of the valley glaciers, the ice is nearly motionless owing to lateral and bottom friction. Far from the side-walls, the flow velocity increases to a maximum around the central flowlines. The glacier surface velocity distributions throughout the YZB display strong spatial variations with changes in elevation. There are six glaciers (Nos. 1–6) in the north slope and six glaciers (Nos. 7–12) in the south slope. Due to the constraint of valley geometry and terrain complexity, the six glaciers in the north slope show different flow directions, with glaciers Nos. 1–4 flowing north, No. 5 northwest and No. 6 northeast. By contrast, six glaciers in the south slope all flow southeast. Along the central flowlines of the glaciers, there are multiple local maxima or minima, indicating that the velocity of the glacier flow down-valley is not constant (Fig. 7). The mean velocities of the 12 glaciers fall between 15 and 206 m a\(^{-1}\) (Table 3), with Glacier No. 5a showing a maximum velocity of 423 m a\(^{-1}\). Because all the studied glaciers are oceanic-type, their velocities are generally greater than those of continental-type glaciers which are affected by continental-type climate (Huang and Sun, 1982).

Along-axis glacier flow patterns

To provide a more quantitative analysis, the velocity profiles of the glaciers along the approximate central flowlines (Fig. 6 for positions) are shown in Figure 7, which also includes glacier surface elevations. The velocity values (m a\(^{-1}\)) and distance (m) are shown along the central flowlines, starting from the accumulation zone (starting point) down to the terminal zone of the glaciers. Although the glacier surface elevation continually decreases down-valley, the derived velocity profiles display significant variations along the central flowlines of the glaciers. The 12 glaciers behave similarly in terms of their spatial variation. Most of the glaciers have high velocities in their upper sections and low velocities in their lower sections, and in general, a larger glacier size corresponds to a higher velocity.

Glacier area and length, derived from visual interpretation of the ALOS/PALSAR images with the support of the GLIMS database, are shown in Table 3. The velocity displays a positive relationship with the glacier area and length (Figs 8 and 9). This result is consistent with the conclusions of Huang and Sun (1982). In the upper section of Glacier No. 8, the longest of the glaciers examined in this study, the velocity was \(\sim 402\) m a\(^{-1}\) at an elevation of 5012 m and then

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Errors (m a(^{-1})) of aspect, azimuth and range directions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspect error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std dev.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increased to a maximum of \(\sim 405 \text{ m a}^{-1}\) at a distance of 2395 m and elevation of \(\sim 4795 \text{ m}\). Between distances of 2395 and 14 300 m, the flow gradually decreased to a local minimum of \(\sim 173 \text{ m a}^{-1}\); the velocity then fluctuated down-glacier and reached another local maximum of 273 m a\(^{-1}\). The glacier motion in the lower section is of great interest due to its large-scale spatial variations. Beyond a distance of 14 300 m, the velocity abruptly decreased to a local minimum of \(\sim 80 \text{ m a}^{-1}\), and the flow subsequently increased suddenly and reached a local maximum of \(\sim 359 \text{ m a}^{-1}\) at a distance of 16 044 m and elevation of \(\sim 3929 \text{ m}\). Below this elevation, the flow decreased abruptly to a minimum of 12 m a\(^{-1}\). The velocity profile pattern exhibited small-scale spatial variations with a further decrease in elevation towards the glacier terminus, and the flow velocity reached 159 m a\(^{-1}\) at a distance of 20 793 m and elevation of \(\sim 3305 \text{ m}\). Subsequently, the velocity decreased gradually to 73 m a\(^{-1}\) at the glacier terminus. The flow field of Glacier No. 8 is shown in Figure 10, and the uppermost layer includes the glacier flow vectors (indicated as arrows). As shown in Figure 10, the spatial pattern of the derived velocities and directions appears to be consistent with the glacial and topographic features.

**DISCUSSION**

The equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) of a glacier is very sensitive to climate change. The ELA is tightly correlated with solid precipitation and air temperature (Cui and Wang, 2013). According to the theory of glacier kinematics, glacial surface velocity reaches the maximum near the ELA (Jiang and others, 2012). Therefore, the elevation where maximum

Table 3. Flow velocity of glaciers in the YZB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier No.</th>
<th>Maximum velocity m a(^{-1})</th>
<th>Distance from S(^*) m</th>
<th>Mean velocity m a(^{-1})</th>
<th>ELA m a.s.l.</th>
<th>Elevation range m a.s.l.</th>
<th>Area km(^2)</th>
<th>Length km</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5105</td>
<td>5275–4122</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1086</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4890</td>
<td>5171–4082</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5045</td>
<td>5230–4570</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>2727</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4655</td>
<td>4927–4180</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>4216</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>4670</td>
<td>5276–3964</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4885</td>
<td>5293–4209</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4520</td>
<td>4680–3625</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>2396</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>4795</td>
<td>5012–3056</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6982</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4330</td>
<td>4813–4053</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10c</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>5865</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4390</td>
<td>4936–3181</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4420</td>
<td>4436–3796</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4465</td>
<td>4498–3849</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: S\(^*\) denotes the starting point.
Glacial surface velocity occurs will, to some extent, indicate the location of the ELA. We can infer the locations of the ELA (black dashed curves in Fig. 6) from the maximum velocity in the central flowline of each glacier. Figure 6 and Table 3 indicated that ELA locations of all glaciers are between 4300 and 5200 m, identical to results from other studies (Korup and Montgomery, 2008; Yao and others, 2010). Table 3 indicates that the ELAs on the north slope are higher than on the south slope, and all are higher than 4600 m. The highest ELA is ~5100 m on Glacier No. 1. The ELAs on the south slope are lower than 4600 m except for Glacier No. 8, and the lowest ELA is ~4330 m on Glacier No. 9. We can understand the mass balance if we know the interannual ELA change: an upward-moving ELA indicates negative glacial mass balance, and the converse.

Little is known regarding the behaviour of the YZB glaciers, and few field studies have been performed in this glacial area owing to the harsh weather and difficult topographic conditions. There are no ground measurements of glacier flow velocity in the YZB, and glacier movement studies based on remote-sensing methods have not previously been reported. However, ground measurements of the glacier flow velocity on Hengdun mountain can account for the results presented in this paper. Hengdun mountain, which contains oceanic-type glaciers and is adjacent to the YZB, is also located in the eastern Tibetan Plateau and is similarly impacted by the Indian Ocean monsoon. Because the ALOS/PALSAR images were acquired on 3 July and 18 August of 2007, the annual mean velocity was calculated using summer data only. However, the glacier velocities during the summer are typically greater than those during the other seasons in western China (Jing and others, 2010). Therefore, the annual mean velocity calculated in this paper for the YZB glaciers is slightly greater than the values reported for Hailuogou glacier (Xie and Liu, 2009).

Jiang and others (2012) analysed the surface velocities of Yengisogat glacier, Karakoram, Tibetan Plateau, using ALOS/PALSAR data from 2007 to 2009 using the feature-tracking method. Their results indicated that the velocities along the central flowlines of the various tributaries ranged between 10 and 400 m a$^{-1}$ during the summer. These significant variations in derived velocity are similar to those found in this study and demonstrate that our results are reasonable.

Glacier retreat is pronounced in the YZB (Yao and others, 2012). Glaciers in the YZB are expected to be especially sensitive to present-day atmospheric warming. Glacier dynamics and variations are believed primarily to reflect the monsoon dynamics (Yao and others, 2012). As glacier size decreases, glacier velocity also gradually decreases; this phenomenon is significant in the YZB (Jing and others, 2010). This trend is observed not only in the YZB but also in most other glaciated areas in China. On the whole, glacier velocities in the Tien Shan have decreased slowly, there has been a very small decrease in glacier movement in the Himalaya since 1990 and there has been a significant decrease in glacier velocity on Hengdun mountain (Jing and others, 2010), which is similar to that observed in the YZB. In addition to the glacier size, the topographic slope, basal rock and other factors all play important roles in the glacier velocity. There is an urgent need for a comprehensive investigation of the surface, internal and basal movement of glaciers in the near future.

Owing to their spatial and temporal detail, SAR images are likely to become an increasingly important glaciological tool in both the synoptic analysis of ice surface velocities and identification and explanation of ice motion events. The SRFT methodology represents a useful tool for obtaining glacier velocity measurements using optical or microwave imagery, especially under difficult geographical conditions (Kääb, 2005; Jiang and others, 2012; Scherler and Strecher, 2012). SRFT can be used to obtain glacier velocity fields not
only over a large scale but also with a high spatio-temporal resolution. However, the results should be validated using site measurements. Field measurement records of glaciers in the Tibetan Plateau are rare, which hinders research on glacier motion even when remote-sensing methods are used. The integration of remote-sensing and continuous in situ measurements of representative glaciers in the Tibetan Plateau is therefore highly recommended for future glaciological research in the context of climate warming.

CONCLUSION

This study provides ice-velocity mapping for several glaciers in the YZB using the feature-tracking methods, based on ALOS/PALSAR images acquired on 3 July and 18 August 2007. The results demonstrate that SAR feature tracking is a suitable method for retrieving glacier surface flow velocities, especially in cold- and snow-covered areas where visible optical imagery is problematic. The observation of flow maxima as well as several local maxima and minima at consistent locations suggests that valley geometry and local topography affect the overall spatial pattern of the flow velocities. A large set of SAR data is required to detect seasonal changes in the glacier motion patterns and to estimate the mass balance, while routine monitoring of valley glacier movement in the YZB is needed to provide such valuable information under current climate-change scenarios.
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