Recent retreat of major outlet glaciers on Novaya Zemlya, Russian Arctic, influenced by fjord geometry and sea-ice conditions
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ABSTRACT. Substantial ice loss has occurred in the Russian High Arctic during the past decade, predominantly on Novaya Zemlya, yet the region has been studied relatively little. Consequently, the factors forcing mass loss and the relative contribution of ice dynamics versus surface melt are poorly understood. Here we evaluate the influence of atmospheric/oceanic forcing and variations in fjord width on the behaviour of 38 glaciers on the northern ice cap, Novaya Zemlya. We compare retreat rates on land- versus marine-terminating outlets and on the Kara versus Barents Sea coasts. Between 1992 and 2010, 90% of the study glaciers retreated and retreat rates were an order of magnitude higher for marine-terminating outlets (52.1 m a\(^{-1}\)) than for land-terminating glaciers (4.8 m a\(^{-1}\)). We identify a post-2000 acceleration in marine-terminating glacier retreat, which corresponded closely to changes in sea-ice concentrations. Retreat rates were higher on the Barents Sea coast, which we partly attribute to lower sea-ice concentrations, but varied dramatically between individual glaciers. We use empirical data to categorise changes in along-flow fjord width, and demonstrate a significant relationship between fjord width variability and retreat rate. Results suggest that variations in fjord width exert a major influence on glacier retreat.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Glaciers and ice caps have dominated the recent cryospheric contribution to sea-level rise, and losses are forecast to continue during the 21st century (Meier and others, 2007; Solomon and others, 2007; Gardner and others, 2013). In recent years, substantial mass deficits have been documented in the major Arctic archipelagos, including the Russian Arctic (Sharov and others, 2009; Kotlyakov and others, 2010; Moholdt and others, 2012); Svalbard (Moholdt and others, 2010; Nuth and others, 2010) and the Canadian Arctic (Gardner and others, 2011, 2012; Lenaerts and others, 2010). Here we evaluate the influence of atmospheric/oceanic forcing and variations in fjord width on the behaviour of 38 glaciers on the northern ice cap, Novaya Zemlya. We compare retreat rates on land- versus marine-terminating outlets and on the Kara versus Barents Sea coasts. Between 1992 and 2010, 90% of the study glaciers retreated and retreat rates were an order of magnitude higher for marine-terminating outlets (52.1 m a\(^{-1}\)) than for land-terminating glaciers (4.8 m a\(^{-1}\)). We identify a post-2000 acceleration in marine-terminating glacier retreat, which corresponded closely to changes in sea-ice concentrations. Retreat rates were higher on the Barents Sea coast, which we partly attribute to lower sea-ice concentrations, but varied dramatically between individual glaciers. We use empirical data to categorise changes in along-flow fjord width, and demonstrate a significant relationship between fjord width variability and retreat rate. Results suggest that variations in fjord width exert a major influence on glacier retreat.
the GrIS, where thinning rates were far higher on marine-terminating outlets than their land-terminating counterparts (Sole and others, 2008). Assessment of NVZ glacier behaviour in relation to atmospheric and oceanic forcing has also been limited in comparison with other Arctic regions, although evidence suggests that reduced retreat between the 1960s and 1990s coincided with decreased winter air temperatures, increased precipitation and elevated sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in the Barents Sea (Zeeberg and Forman, 2001). Large uncertainties therefore remain over the magnitude of contemporary glacier retreat on NVZ, its contribution to mass loss and the factors driving this behaviour.

Here we investigate frontal position variations on 38 outlet glaciers, located on the northern ice cap, NVZ (Fig. 1). We focus specifically on the northern ice cap because it contains all NVZ’s major marine-terminating outlet glaciers and represents 95% of its total ice-covered area (Dowdeswell and Williams, 1997; Sharov, 2005). Our study glaciers comprise 10 land-terminating and 28 marine-terminating outlets, which enables us to explore the influence of terminus type on retreat rates (Figs 1 and 2). Furthermore, we assess differences between the Barents and Kara Sea coasts (Figs 1 and 2), which are characterized by different climatic, oceanic and topographic conditions (Kotlyakov, 1978, 2006; Zeeberg and Forman, 2001). The three glaciers previously observed during the active surge phase (Grant and others, 2009) were excluded from the assessment and represent ~6% of the total number of marine-terminating outlet glaciers on the northern ice cap \((n=38)\). We first quantify NVZ outlet glacier retreat rates between 1992 and 2010, and assess changes in relation to terminus type and location. We then evaluate the influence of atmospheric and oceanic controls on frontal position change (the term ‘oceanic’ includes forcing associated with sea ice and SSTs). Subsurface ocean temperature data are very limited for NVZ and are therefore only discussed briefly. Finally, we investigate the influence of variations in fjord width and provide a new empirical framework for assessing its influence on glacier frontal position change.

### 2. METHODS

#### 2.1. Frontal position data

Outlet glacier frontal positions were obtained primarily from synthetic aperture radar (SAR) image mode precision data. Imagery was supplied by the European Space Agency (ESA), as part of the European Remote-sensing Satellite-1 (ERS-1), ERS-2 and Envisat missions. Following Carr and others (2013b), data were processed by applying precise orbital state vectors, and radiometric calibration was applied. Images were then multi-looked to reduce speckle and terrain-corrected using version 2 of the 30 m resolution Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) global digital elevation model (GDEM). Owing to the higher geolocation accuracy of the Envisat data, ERS images were co-registered with corresponding Envisat scenes. SAR imagery was supplemented with visible Landsat imagery where possible, which was provided by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Global Visualization Viewer (http://glovis.usgs.gov/). For both imagery types, scenes were selected as close as possible to the end of the calendar month, to allow for comparison with monthly means of atmospheric and oceanic data. Landsat imagery was provided at a spatial resolution of 30 m and the SAR imagery was output with a cell size of 37.5 m.

Frontal position variations were measured using a previously employed method, whereby the glacier terminus was repeatedly digitized within a fixed reference box (e.g. Moon and Joughin, 2008; Howat and others, 2010; McFadden and others, 2011; Carr and others, 2013b). The box was aligned approximately parallel to the ice-flow direction at the glacier terminus and extended from an arbitrary upstream reference line. The terminus was then digitized from successive images and the change in area was divided by the width to calculate the change in frontal position. Retreat rates were calculated relative to the frontal position between 24 June and 8 July 1992, with the exact date depending on data availability. Glaciers for which frontal positions were available for multiple images during
this time period showed no discernible change. We first calculated total retreat rates for the study period (1992–2010). We then divided the period into three approximately equal portions, within the constraints of data availability, in order to investigate changes in retreat rates over time. Retreat rates were therefore calculated for the time periods 1992–2000, 2000–05 and 2005–10. Frontal position data were obtained at a monthly to annual resolution, as image availability varied between glaciers.

The mean error in marine-terminating outlet glacier frontal position was evaluated by repeatedly digitizing 16 sections of rock coastline from a subsample of five ERS, five Envisat and five Landsat images, where there should be no discernible change in coastline position between scenes (Carr and others, 2013b). The resultant total mean error in frontal position was 25.3 m for marine outlets, which can be primarily attributed to manual digitizing errors and accounts for errors in image geolocation and co-registration. Frontal positions for land-terminating outlets are subject to an additional error source, which results from the comparative difficulty in identifying land-based termini from radar imagery, as the land–ice boundary is less distinct than the ocean–ice interface. Consequently, we assessed this additional error source by repeatedly digitizing the same termini from the same image, for a subsample of five ERS and five Envisat images. The resultant additional error was 67.8 m and the total error for land-terminating outlets was 72.4 m.

2.2. Atmospheric and oceanic data

Atmospheric and oceanic data were obtained from a variety of sources and used to calculate seasonal and annual mean values for individual glaciers and for each coast. Surface air temperature data were obtained from Malye Karmakuly (72°20′50″N, 52°43′34″E) and Im. E.K. Fedorova (70°27′8″N, 59°3′13″E) meteorological stations (Fig. 1). Data were provided at a monthly temporal resolution by the Hydrometeorological Information, World Data Center Baseline Climatological Data Sets (http://meteo.ru/english/climate/cl_data.php). Meteorological station data are sparse.
on NVZ, and Malye Karmakuly and Im. E.K. Fedorova are the only stations with sufficient data to assess interannual air temperature trends during the study period. However, these stations are located ~400 and ~525 km, respectively, from the study glaciers, and therefore we also used monthly air temperature data products from the US National Centers for Environmental Prediction/US National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis 1 (Kalnay and others, 1996) and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Interim Re-analysis (ERA-Interim) data (Dee and others, 2011).

NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data have a spatial resolution of 2.5° (about 230 km x 280 km at 76° N) and were provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)/Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR)/Earth and Space Research Laboratory (ESRL) Physical Sciences Division (PSD), Boulder, CO, USA (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html). ERA-Interim data were produced by the ECMWF and have a spatial resolution of 0.75° (about 70 km x 80 km at 76° N). In both cases, we used air temperature data from the 700 hPa geopotential height, as opposed to 2 m height, as these values correlate better with ground station data elsewhere in the Arctic (personal communication from A. Gardener, 2013) and limit the influence of SSTS on surface temperatures (Moholdt and others, 2012). Air temperature values were extracted from all grid squares containing the study glaciers, and mean annual and mean summer (June–August) values were calculated. The pattern of air temperature variation was very similar between the two data products, which were strongly correlated (r = 0.90, p < 0.01), and differences in absolute values most likely result from their differing spatial resolution. Owing to the location of meteorological stations and the spatial resolution of the reanalysis data, differences in air temperatures between the Barents Sea and Kara Sea coasts could not be assessed.

Sea-ice data were obtained from the US National/NavaI Ice Centre charts (http://www.natice.noaa.gov/), which are compiled from a range of data sources and have a spatial resolution of up to 50 m. Data were sampled at each glacier terminus, within a polygon extending 50 m perpendicular to the terminus and along its entire width. Mean seasonal values were calculated for each coast by averaging data from all study glaciers on that coast. The standard deviation in mean monthly sea-ice concentrations was 0.67% on the Barents Sea coast and 2.34% on the Kara Sea coast. Coastal averages were also used to calculate the number of ice-free months per year.

SST data were obtained from version 2 of the Reynolds SST analysis dataset (Reynolds and others, 2007). The SST products have been developed using optimum interpolation of satellite, ship and buoy data, with correction for biases between in situ and satellite data. We use the monthly resolution product, which has a spatial resolution of 0.25° (~23 km x 28 km at 76° N) (Reynolds and others, 2007). SST values were extracted from the grid squares closest to the study glacier termini, to ensure that data were as representative as possible of conditions at the calving front. The data are used to investigate surface ocean temperatures and are not necessarily representative of deeper ocean conditions. The sea-ice field within the dataset was used to identify months with minimal sea-ice concentrations, as significant sea-ice coverage would result in incorrect SST values. Mean values were therefore calculated for July–September, as these months had minimal sea-ice concentrations on both coasts for all years.

### 2.3. Glacier width, fjord geometry, catchment size and bathymetry

Fjord width was measured perpendicular to the glacier flowline: lines were drawn perpendicular to the flowline, at intervals of 100 m from the upstream reference line, and width was measured where the lines intersected with the fjord walls at sea level, as determined from satellite imagery. Fjord width variability was quantified by digitizing both fjord walls at sea level from the most recent satellite image and calculating the length of each fjord wall between the least and most extensive frontal positions. These lengths were divided by the straight-line distance between their respective start and end points to give the width variation for each wall, and these values were used to calculate the mean fjord width variability. Consequently, a fjord width variability value of 1 would indicate a fjord with straight walls, while higher values indicate a fjord with greater variability in width. Width variability was only calculated for glaciers with continuous fjord walls and not for those that retreated across sections of open water (e.g. between two islands).

Qualitative categories of along-flow variation in fjord width during retreat were defined using satellite imagery and frontal position data from all our study glacier fjords. We identified eight different categories of fjord shape on NVZ, which are shown in the top panel of Figure 3. The penultimate category gives the percentage of the glacier front that terminates on land. The final category identifies glaciers that appear to have bathymetric pinning points, either in the form of rock islands visible at the terminus or a pattern of retreat which suggests that bathymetric highs are present. This initial assessment has been carried out on the basis of visible satellite imagery and is not discussed extensively because of the lack of detailed bathymetric data.

Catchesments were provided by G. Moholdt and form part of the global Randolph Glacier Inventory project (Arendt and others, 2012). Catchesments were manually digitized from satellite imagery obtained between 2000 and 2010 during the summer. Spot 5 stereoscopic survey of Polar Ice: Reference Images and Topographies (SPIRIT) scenes (Korona and others, 2009) were the primary data source for NVZ and were supplemented with Landsat data. We verified the catchment data against Landsat and radar imagery, and catchments containing multiple termini (e.g. KRA1 and KRA2) were not included when testing for a statistical relationship between catchment size and retreat rate.

Regional bathymetry was assessed using 1:200 000 scale topographic maps dating from 1974 and provided by www.topmap.narod.ru. Maps were georeferenced for comparison with other data sources.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Regression analysis was used to assess whether there was a significant difference between mean retreat rates on marine- and land-terminating outlets and between the Kara and Barents Sea coasts for the period 1992–2010. The data were divided into four groups: (1) land-Kara, (2) marine-Kara, (3) land-Barents and (4) marine-Barents. For each group, we plotted relative frontal position against time (Fig. 4) and fitted a series of curves of varying complexity to each group of data: quadratic, fractional polynomial, cubic spline and lowess smoothing. This was done to assess whether the
The choice of curve resulted in a significant change in the goodness fit of the curve to the data. The goodness of fit varied little with the choice of curve, and a quadratic curve was therefore used. To further assess the goodness of fit, the residuals for each group were plotted and no pattern was apparent, suggesting that the quadratic functions adequately describe the curve of the data.

In the first regression model, we regressed frontal position against time for each of the four groups using a quadratic function (Fig. 4). The overall $R^2$ value for the model was 0.51 and the root-mean-square error (RMSE) was 318 m. These values apply to the model as a whole and include all four curves: the $R^2$ value is a measure of how well the four curves together describe their respective groups of data, and the RMSE value describes how far, on average, a given point would lie from its curve. Output from the model, specifically the $t$ and $p > |t|$ values, were used to compare the curve for land-Kara with the curves for the other three groups for each component of the quadratic equation (Table 1). The quadratic equation can be written in the form

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 X^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Following this, the first set of $t$ and $p > |t|$ values refer to $b_0$ (i.e. the intercept), the second set to $b_1$ (i.e. the slope) and the third to $b_2$ (i.e. the curvature). The $t$ value is calculated by dividing the coefficient by the standard error, and tests
whether the coefficient is significantly different from zero, given the variability in the data. The value $p > |t|$ tests the probability of obtaining a value that is at least as extreme as the observed value if the null hypothesis is true (i.e. the coefficient value is zero). We use a $p > |t|$ value of 0.05 (i.e. a 95% confidence interval), meaning that a given coefficient is significantly different from zero when the $p > |t|$ value is <0.05. An additional regression model was then used to compare marine-Barents with marine-Kara data, in order to assess whether there was a significant difference in marine-terminating outlet glacier retreat rates between the two coasts (Table 2).

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Frontal position

Between 1992 and 2010, 90% of the study glaciers underwent net retreat (Fig. 2). During this period, retreat rates were an order of magnitude greater on marine-terminating outlets (51.2 m a$^{-1}$) than on land-terminating outlets (4.8 m a$^{-1}$) (Figs 4 and 5). Retreat rates on land-terminating outlets were therefore comparable with error values: the mean frontal position error was 72.4 m, which equates to an error in retreat rate of 4.0 m a$^{-1}$ for the period 1992–2010. Our results also show that mean retreat rates for marine-terminating outlets were significantly higher on the Barents Sea coast (61.7 m a$^{-1}$) than on the Kara Sea coast (40.8 m a$^{-1}$) during the study period (Figs 2 and 4). Although the pattern of retreat was similar for glaciers located on the same coast, the magnitude and rate of retreat varied markedly between individual glaciers (Figs 2 and 4). Indeed, neighbouring glaciers demonstrated very different retreat rates. This was most marked on Vil’kitskogo Sev. (VIS) and Vil’kitskogo Juz (VII), where retreat rates for the period 2005–10 averaged 343.9 m a$^{-1}$ on VIS compared with 84.6 m a$^{-1}$ on neighbouring VII (Fig. 2).

Seasonal frontal position variations on marine-terminating outlets were of the order of 100 m and were only distinguishable where high temporal resolution data were available (Fig. 6a). Seasonal variations were comparable

### Table 1. Regression model of glacier retreat over time using quadratic curves and grouping data according to coast and terminus type. The first three rows show the model output for the land-Kara group for each component of the quadratic equation. The subsequent outputs compare the curves for each data group with land-Kara for each component of the regression model ($B_0$, $B_1$, and $B_2$). The ‘coefficient’ gives the value for predicting the dependent variable from the independent variable, and ‘standard error’ provides the standard errors associated with the coefficients. ‘$t$’ tests whether the coefficient is significantly different from zero and is calculated by (coefficient/standard error). $p > |t|$ gives two-tailed $p$-values which test the probability of getting a value as great as, or greater than, the observed value if the null hypothesis is true (i.e. the coefficient value is zero). A $p > |t|$ value of 0.05 was used to identify results that were statistically significant, which are in bold

| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| Intercept ($B_0$) | -51.69      | 33.39     | -1.55| 0.12    |
| Date ($B_1$)    | -0.01       | 0.01      | -1.28| 0.20    |
| Date$^2$ ($B_2$) | $-2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.52 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.00 | 0.99    |
| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| land-Barents   | 33.00       | 48.04     | 0.69 | 0.49    |
| marine-Barents | -246.35     | 39.12     | 6.3  | 0.00    |
| marine-Kara    | -116.36     | 45.63     | -2.55| 0.01    |
| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| land-Barents   | 0.015       | 0.02      | 0.78 | 0.43    |
| marine-Barents | -0.012      | 0.01      | -8.59| 0.00    |
| marine-Kara    | -0.09       | 0.02      | -6.11| 0.00    |
| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| land-Barents   | $-2.10 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.47 \times 10^{-6}$ | -0.25| 0.81    |
| marine-Barents | $-2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.60 \times 10^{-5}$ | -3.34| 0.00    |
| marine-Kara    | $-1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.33 \times 10^{-5}$ | -2.46| 0.01    |

#### Table 2. Same as Table 1, but including only the marine-Kara and marine-Barents groups in the regression model

| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| Intercept ($B_0$) | -168.05     | 37.93     | -4.43| 0.00    |
| Date ($B_1$)    | -0.11       | 0.01      | -8.31| 0.00    |
| Date$^2$ ($B_2$) | $-0.00 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.92 \times 10^{-6}$ | -3.06| 0.0002  |
| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| marine-Barents | -129.00     | 45.36     | -2.87| 0.004   |
| marine-Kara    | -0.02       | 0.02      | -1.44| 0.15    |
| Group          | Coefficient | Std error | $t$  | $p > |t|$ |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|---------|
| marine-Barents | $-3.94 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.38 \times 10^{-6}$ | -0.53| 0.593   |
| marine-Kara    | $-3.94 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.38 \times 10^{-6}$ | -0.53| 0.593   |
with interannual retreat rates on certain study glaciers, but were significantly less on rapidly retreating outlets such as VIS. Intra-annual changes in the frontal position on land-terminating outlets were indistinguishable from the errors in frontal position.

In addition to spatial variations, the temporal pattern of retreat also differed according to terminus type and coast. Comparison of retreat rates for three time periods (1992–2000, 2000–05 and 2005–10) showed little change on land-terminating glaciers, whereas retreat rates on marine-terminating glaciers increased substantially between each interval (Fig. 5). For the period 1992–2000, the difference in retreat rates between the four groups was small (Fig. 5). Subsequently, retreat rates increased substantially on marine-terminating outlets and this was particularly marked on the Barents Sea coast, where retreat rates for the period 2000–05 were three times greater than those for 1992–2000 (Fig. 5). Mean retreat rates then increased further by \( \sim 30 \text{ m a}^{-1} \) on

---

**Fig. 6.** Relative glacier frontal position and atmospheric/oceanic forcing factors for the Barents Sea coast (left-hand column) and Kara Sea coast (right-hand column). (a) Frontal position for all glaciers, relative to July/August 1992, colour-coded according to glacier and ordered south to north. (b) Mean seasonal sea-ice concentrations for the periods December–February (DJF), March–May (MAM), June–August (JJA) and September–November (SON). (c) Number of months of ice-free conditions. (d) Mean SSTs for July–September (JAS). (e) Mean annual and mean summer (JJA) air temperatures from Malye Karmakuly and Im. E.K. Fedorova meteorological stations (location shown in Fig. 1a). (f) Mean annual and mean summer (JJA) air temperatures from NCEP/NCAR and ERA-Interim reanalysis data at 700 hPa geopotential height.
3.2. Atmospheric and oceanic forcing

On the Barents Sea coast, sea-ice concentrations during all seasons were high between 1997 and 1999 (Fig. 6b). Sea-ice concentrations decreased markedly in 2000 and 2001 and remained close to 100% throughout the study period. SSTs in the Barents Sea peaked in 1991 and 1995, followed by a comparatively cool period between 1997 and 1999 (Fig. 6d). SSTs increased again by 2000, decreased substantially in 2003 and increased again by 2004. Temperatures then increased gradually until 2007 and decreased slowly thereafter. On the Kara Sea coast, SSTs varied considerably between 1990 and 1998, with peaks occurring in 1991, 1995 and 1997 (Fig. 6d). Temperatures were comparatively high in 2000 and then decreased until 2002. Thereafter, SSTs increased gradually until 2007 and decreased slightly in 2008 and 2010 (Fig. 6d). SSTs generally varied in a similar pattern to summer and autumn sea-ice concentrations (Fig. 6).

Air temperatures showed no statistically significant inter-annual trend at Malye Karmakuly and Im. E.K. Fedorova or in the reanalysis data (Fig. 6e and f). Furthermore, no trend was apparent in summer (June–August) mean values in any of the datasets. Using both reanalysis datasets, a paired t-test was used to evaluate whether there was a significant difference in mean annual air temperature before and after the onset of retreat on the Barents Sea coast in 2000 and on the Kara Sea coast in 2003 (Fig. 6e and f). Results demonstrate that there was no significant difference in mean annual air temperature for either period.

3.3. Catchment area and fjord width variation

We found no correlation between outlet glacier retreat rate and catchment area ($R^2 = 0.08$). The relationship between fjord width variation and glacier retreat was assessed by comparing the value for fjord width variability (Section 2.3) with total retreat rate (1992–2010) for all marine-terminating glaciers with continuous fjord walls ($n = 20$) (Fig. 7). First, we calculated the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between width variability and total retreat rate. This gave a value of $r = 0.80$ at a confidence level of $>0.01$ (99%) and demonstrates a strong positive correlation between the two variables. Simple linear regression of width variability versus total retreat rate gave an $R^2$ value of 0.65, and polynomial regression, using a quadratic curve, resulted in an $R^2$ value of 0.75 (Fig. 7). Together these results show a statistical relationship between fjord width variability and glacier retreat rates within the study region.
4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Glacier retreat

Our data demonstrate that the vast majority (90%) of outlet glaciers on NVZ retreated between 1992 and 2010 (Figs 2 and 5). This concurs with the substantial mass deficit recently reported by Moholdt and others (2012) and highlights the potential contribution of glacier retreat to mass loss from NVZ. The vast majority of retreat occurred on marine-terminating outlets, and losses increased over time (Figs 4 and 5), in contrast to land-terminating glaciers where retreat rates were comparable with frontal position errors. The order-of-magnitude difference in retreat rates between marine- and land-terminating outlets is consistent with previous results from the GrIS (Moon and Joughin, 2008; Sole and others, 2008; Pritchard and others, 2009) and Austfonna ice cap, Svalbard (Dowdeswell and others, 2008). However, it contrasts with the pattern of surface elevation change recently reported for NVZ using ICESat laser altimetry data (Fig. 8), which found no significant difference in frontal thinning rates between marine- and land-terminating glaciers (Moholdt and others, 2012). This difference may reflect (1) the spatial coverage of the surface elevation data and/or (2) a delay between terminus retreat and dynamic thinning on marine-terminating outlets. The location of the ICESat tracks results in comparatively sparse data coverage close to the termini of marine-terminating outlets (Fig. 8) where we would expect dynamic thinning in response to recent frontal retreat to be greatest. Consequently, the data may not fully account for near-terminus thinning and may thus underestimate thinning rates on marine-terminating outlets. Alternatively, recent glacier retreat may not yet have initiated dynamic thinning on marine-terminating glaciers, potentially due to slower glacier response times on NVZ in comparison with areas such as the GrIS. If so, recent retreat may result in substantial near-future mass loss from NVZ once the dynamic response begins. This longer-term dynamic component has been highlighted as a potential primary source of future mass loss from the GrIS, where it may account for >75% of 21st-century losses (Price and others, 2011), although dynamic changes may be self-limiting on 200-year timescales (Goelzer and others, 2013). Our data therefore suggest that we may be underestimating the contribution of ice dynamics to recent and/or near-future mass losses on NVZ.

4.2. Glacier response to atmospheric and oceanic forcing

4.2.1. Sea-ice controls

The marked difference in retreat rates between land- and marine-terminating glaciers suggests that factors operating at the calving front are the primary control on glacier retreat rates on NVZ. Our data show a close correspondence between NVZ glacier frontal position, sea-ice concentrations and the number of ice-free months (Fig. 6). On the Barents Sea coast, outlet glaciers advanced from 1997 until 2000, when sea-ice concentrations were high during all seasons in comparison with the rest of the study period, and the number of ice-free months was small (Fig. 6). Subsequent retreat between 2000 and 2002 was coincident with sea-ice decline, and retreat slowed once again between 2002 and 2004, when sea-ice concentrations were high during all seasons in comparison with the rest of the study period, and the number of ice-free months was small (Fig. 6). Subsequent retreat between 2000 and 2002 was coincident with sea-ice decline, and retreat slowed once again between 2002 and 2004, when sea-ice concentrations increased, particularly during the summer (June–August) (Fig. 6). The main period of retreat occurred between 2004 and 2008, when fjords were largely ice-free in summer and autumn (September–November), and sea-ice concentrations in winter (December–February) and spring (March–May) also declined markedly. Thereafter, retreat rates reduced from 2008, concurrent with an upward trend in winter and spring sea-ice concentrations. A similar correspondence between sea-ice concentrations and frontal position is apparent on the Kara Sea coast, where a brief reduction in sea-ice concentrations in 2000 was coincident with the first phase of marked glacier retreat (Fig. 6). In 2001 and 2002, summer sea-ice concentrations increased markedly and the glaciers underwent limited retreat or even advance. The main retreat phase from 2003 onwards began with a substantial reduction in summer and autumn sea-ice concentrations and was concurrent with an increase in the number of ice-free months (Fig. 6).
Sea-ice concentrations have been identified as a key control on outlet glacier retreat rates in Greenland (Joughin and others, 2008; Amundson and others, 2010; Howat and others, 2010; Carr and others, 2013a,b) and Antarctica (Miles and others, 2013) via their control on calving rates. Formation of winter sea ice is thought to suppress calving by up to a factor of six, whereas seasonal disintegration allows high summer calving rates to commence (Sohn and others, 1998; Joughin and others, 2008; Amundson and others, 2010). Consequently, we suggest that years characterized by late formation and/or early disintegration of sea ice, resulting in a longer seasonal duration of ice-free conditions, promoted higher summer calving rates and net retreat on NVZ. Conversely, years of higher sea-ice concentrations and/or shorter duration of open-water conditions will reduce calving rates, thus lowering retreat rates. On this basis, we suggest sea-ice concentrations are an important control on outlet glacier retreat rates on NVZ. Furthermore, sea-ice conditions may partly account for the difference in retreat rates between the two coasts: on the Barents Sea coast, fjords become seasonally ice-free for up to 6 months of the year, in comparison with a maximum of 3 months of the year on the Kara Sea (Fig. 6). Consequently, higher summer calving rates can persist for longer on the Barents Sea coast and could therefore produce higher mean retreat rates.

4.2.2. Ocean temperatures

Changes in SSTs corresponded both with variations in sea-ice concentrations and the number of ice-free months (Fig. 6). This was particularly marked on the Barents Sea coast, where comparatively low SSTs in 1998–99 and 2003 were concurrent with increased sea-ice concentrations during all months. Conversely, periods of higher SSTs were characterized by lower sea-ice concentrations, as observed in 2000 and 2004 (Fig. 6). This indicates a relationship between SSTs and sea-ice concentrations: higher SSTs may cause sea-ice melt, and lower sea-ice concentrations may promote higher SSTs. Together these factors may facilitate retreat, along with reduced sea-ice concentrations (Joughin and others, 2008; Amundson and others, 2010) and/or undercutting at the waterline due to increased SSTs (Vieli and others, 2002; Benn and others, 2007), which may increase calving rates. Thus, periods of higher SSTs are likely to promote glacier retreat on NVZ. Previous studies have documented mass gains on NVZ during periods of higher Barents SSTs due to increased accumulation, which has been linked to positive phases of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and increased winter precipitation (Zeeberg and Forman, 2001). However, our data suggest that higher SSTs may also promote retreat, which may partly offset the surface mass-balance gains during positive phases of the NAO.

In addition to surface changes, higher SSTs during positive phases of the NAO are thought to reflect the increased advection of warm Atlantic water (AW) into the Barents Sea (Loeng, 1991; Hurrell, 1995). This has important implications for submarine melt rates and glacier behaviour on NVZ: SSTs are unlikely to cause significant mass loss through glacial melt, whereas warming at depth can result in rapid submarine melting (Motyka and others, 2003, 2011; Rignot and others, 2010). As outlined above, oceanic warming may also cause retreat via waterline melting and undercutting of the terminus (Vieli and others, 2002; Benn and others, 2007). Topographic maps indicate that fjord depths around NVZ are of the order of 100–200 m deep near the glacier termini, meaning that they are considerably shallower than major outlet glacier fjords in Greenland and likely to only be close to flotation at the calving front. Furthermore, calving generally occurs via small icebergs (<200 m), rather than large tabular icebergs, further indicating that the glaciers do not have extensive floating sections. As a consequence of the limited floating sections and comparatively shallow grounding-line depths, the relative contribution of undercutting at the waterline to ocean-induced mass loss may be more significant on NVZ than in areas with deeper fjords, such as the GrIS.

Previous studies have highlighted the distribution and properties of AW as a potentially key control on Greenland glacier dynamics and have demonstrated that it can penetrate to the calving front (Holland and others, 2008; Murray and others, 2010; Christoffersen and others, 2011; Straneo and others, 2011; Andresen and others, 2012). On the Barents Sea coast, modified AW is present on the Novaya Zemlya Current (Pfirman and others, 1994; Ivanov and Shapiro, 2005; Årthun and others, 2011), and the glacier fjords of our study are comparatively short and open to the ocean (Fig. 2). Very few direct measurements of oceanographic conditions are available from NVZ glacier fjords, meaning that little is known about fjord circulation and/or the potential for the offshore AW to reach the glacier termini. However, subsurface ocean temperatures have been measured in Russkaya Gavan’ Bay (Fig. 2), at points located 3.5 and 9.6 km from the terminus of Shokalskogo glacier (SH) (Politova and others, 2012). Water temperatures of almost 3.5°C were recorded between depths of 30 and 65 m, providing empirical evidence that warm water can access at least some Barents Sea fjords. These temperatures are higher than those recorded at the same depth in the fjords of Helheim, Kangerdlugssuag and Jakobshavn Isbrae, Greenland, and are comparable with values recorded in deeper water masses (>200 m) within these fjords, which are thought to be of Atlantic origin (Holland and others, 2008; Straneo and others, 2010; Christoffersen and others, 2011). In the Kara Sea, Atlantic-derived water masses enter at three points: via the Kara Strait in the south, via the passage between Franz Josef Land and NVZ, and through the St Anna Trough in the north (Fig. 1) (Pavlov and Pfirman, 1995; Karcher and others, 2003). Near the Kara Strait, surface ocean temperatures of up to 9°C have been recorded during late summer, with warming thought to extend to depths of up to 60 m (Pavlov and Pfirman, 1995). In the northern Kara Sea, water temperatures of ~1.5°C have been measured at the St Anna Canyon (depth ~300 m) (Hanzlick and Aagaard, 1980) and offshore of the northern tip of NVZ (depth ~125 m) (Karcher and others, 2003). The latter area is characterized by late freezing and thin sea ice, in comparison with the rest of the Kara Sea, and previous studies have highlighted the potential link between AW and sea-ice conditions in the region (Hanzlick and Aagaard, 1980). This evidence suggests that Atlantic-derived water has the potential to influence glacier behaviour on the Kara Sea coast, via submarine melting and/or sea-ice controls, and that differences in oceanographic conditions may contribute to the coastal difference in glacier retreat rates. However, detailed oceanographic measurements are required on both coasts to assess the extent to which oceanic changes are transmitted to the glacier front and their influence on glacier
behaviour. We therefore highlight this as an important area for future research, given the rapid recent retreat of marine-terminating outlets on NVZ, their apparent sensitivity to changes at the ocean boundary and the potential for rapid connections between the glacier termini and warm continental shelf waters.

4.2.3. Atmospheric forcing

Previous studies have identified a number of different mechanisms by which air temperatures may drive marine-terminating outlet glacier retreat: (1) hydrofracture of crevasses at the terminus/lateral margins (Sohn and others, 1998; Andersen and others, 2010; Vieli and Nick, 2011); (2) sea-ice melting; and/or (3) enhanced submarine melting due to subglacial meltwater plumes (Sohn and others, 1998; Motyka and others, 2003, 2011). From visual inspection of satellite imagery, we see no evidence of significant areas of water-filled crevasses during the melt season, and calving generally occurs via small icebergs (<200 m) rather than large tabular icebergs. This indicates that the glacier termini do not have extensive floating sections and will therefore be less vulnerable to full-thickness fracture via meltwater-enhanced crevassing. Moreover, our data show no clear correspondence between variations in air temperature and sea ice (Fig. 6). Instead, sea-ice variability corresponded with changes in SSTs, suggesting that they may be a more significant influence on sea-ice concentrations than air temperatures. Meltwater plumes have been highlighted as a potentially important control on outlet glacier behaviour elsewhere in the Arctic (Motyka and others, 2003, 2011; Christoffersen and others, 2011; Seale and others, 2011; Straneo and others, 2011), and turbid meltwater plumes are evident at the glacier termini. However, very limited oceanographic data are available from NVZ glacial fjords, which precludes a detailed assessment of this mechanism.

Our results show limited correspondence between air temperatures and frontal position variations on NVZ. During the study period, no statistically significant trend was evident in any of our air temperature datasets, in contrast to the acceleration in marine-terminating glacier retreat, and we find no statistical difference in air temperatures before and after the onset of retreat on either coast (Figs 4 and 6). Although previous findings from the GrIS suggest that the response of marine-terminating glaciers to forcing at the terminus is rapid (Vieli and Nick, 2011), we also calculated air temperature trends from the 1950s to the present using reanalysis data, in order to identify any longer-term forcing to which glacier dynamics might be responding. During this time period, we found no significant trend in mean annual or mean summer (June–August) air temperatures in any of the datasets, and mean annual values showed marked interannual and interdecadal variability. Although comparison of meteorological station data with retreat rates is limited by data availability, the pattern of retreat on the Kara Sea coast showed little correspondence to air temperature variations at either meteorological station (Fig. 6). On the Barents Sea coast, the onset of retreat in 2000 followed 2 years of atmospheric warming, but temperatures were equally warm at other points during the study period when retreat rates were lower (Fig. 5). Previous studies have suggested that a longitudinal temperature gradient exists across NVZ (Zeeberg and Forman, 2001), which could potentially contribute to the difference in retreat rates between the Barents and Kara Sea coasts. This potential coastal difference cannot be assessed because of lack of data. However, our results provide no evidence for a change in air temperatures that coincided with glacier retreat, suggesting that they are not a primary driver of marine-terminating glacier retreat on NVZ.

4.3. Fjord width variation

Although mean retreat rates were somewhat higher on the Barents Sea coast than on the Kara Sea coast (Figs 4 and 5), there were large variations in retreat rates between glaciers located on the same coast and even between neighbouring glaciers (Figs 2 and 4), despite being subject to comparable forcing. Together, this evidence suggests that factors specific to each glacier can modulate the glacier’s response to forcing. A number of potential glacier-specific controls have been identified to date, including catchment area, glacier width and basal topography (Carr and others, 2013a). We found no correlation between outlet glacier retreat rate and catchment area ($R^2 = 0.08$). However, our data suggest that along-flow variations in fjord width are an important control, and we demonstrate a statistical relationship between fjord width variability and glacier retreat across the study region. We suggest that along-flow width variations may influence retreat rates via two mechanisms: (1) owing to the principle of mass conservation, widening of the fjord would mean that the glacier needs to thin and the surface slope needs to reduce in order to maintain the same ice flux, which would make the ice more vulnerable to thinning and eventually to flotation, thus increasing calving rates and promoting retreat (O’Neel and others, 2005); and (2) lateral resistive stresses tend to decrease with increasing width, which would reduce resistance to flow and promote further dynamics thinning and retreat (Raymond, 1996).

In addition to the relationship between retreat rates and width variability, we assessed the relative importance of specific types of width variation (Fig. 3). Based on the hypothesis outlined above, widening of the fjord in the along-flow direction, either rapidly (class I) or gradually (class II), is likely to promote retreat and acceleration (Table 3). Conversely, narrowing of the fjord, either at pinning points (class III) or progressively (class IV), would be expected to reduce retreat rates and ice velocities (Table 3). These changes are likely to occur more rapidly where pinning points are present (classes I and IV) than where changes in fjord width are gradual (classes II and III). Glaciers undergoing minimal along-flow width variation (class VI) will experience limited changes in surface slope, thickness and/or resistive stresses over time, meaning that these factors will have a minimal effect on glacier retreat rates and/or ice velocities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Theoretical influence of widening/narrowing of a fjord in the along-flow direction on outlet glacier dynamics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral stress and resistance to flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability to fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calving rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retreat rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our results demonstrate that rapid retreat was associated with widening fjords and was particularly marked where glaciers retreated from pinning points (Fig. 3). This was exemplified by VIS, located on the Barents Sea coast (Fig. 2), which exhibited the highest mean retreat rate between 1992 and 2010 and the fjord width of which varied by 16% between the most and the least extended frontal positions. Between January 1996 and August 2001, the glacier front occupied a very similar position at a comparatively narrow point of the fjord and its southern margin was attached to a prominent pinning point (Fig. 9, point I). The glacier then retreated rapidly from April 2002, as the southern margin retreated from the pinning point and the front moved into a wider section of the fjord (Fig. 9, point II). Retreat persisted until May 2009, when the fjord narrowed (Fig. 9, point III). This relationship between frontal retreat, pinning points and variations in fjord width is consistent with previous empirical results from Greenland (Warren and Glasser, 1992; Carr and others, 2013b) and numerical modelling studies (Jamieson and others, 2012).

Our results also demonstrate that the glaciers exhibiting the lowest retreat rates have a relatively uniform width along their retreat path and their termini were generally located at narrow points within the fjord (Fig. 3). This is exemplified by Brounova (BRO), which underwent the smallest retreat during the study period. The fjord width varied very little (2.5%) between the minimum and maximum frontal positions, and the terminus occupied a comparatively narrow section of fjord throughout this period (Fig. 10). However, the fjord widens upstream of the current terminus position (Fig. 10), which may facilitate retreat in the future if forcing is sufficient to move the front into this wider section. BRO is located on the Barents Sea coast 195 km north of VIS. Despite this latitudinal difference, sea-ice concentrations at these two glaciers varied by only 4%, whereas the absolute change in frontal position was 48 times greater on VIS than BRO, with VIS retreating by 190 m a⁻¹ and BRO advancing by 4 m a⁻¹ during the study period (Fig. 3). A similar pattern is evident along the Barents Sea coast, where the variation in mean monthly sea-ice concentrations was small (SD = 0.67%), but total retreat rate varied markedly, ranging between +4 and −190 m a⁻¹ (SD = 47.35 m a⁻¹) (Figs 3 and 5). On the Kara Sea coast, total retreat rates also showed substantial variation (SD = 25.75 m a⁻¹) and variability in sea-ice concentrations was limited, although slightly higher than on the Barents Sea coast (SD = 2.34%). Thus, evidence indicates that there is high variation in retreat rates on both coasts between individual glaciers, but limited variation in forcing, and we suggest that variations in fjord width contribute substantially to these differences.

In addition to the two extreme cases described above, a number of study glaciers experienced retreat only at the central portion of the terminus, while the margins remained on lateral pinning points (Fig. 3). This occurred mainly on the relatively wide outlet glaciers located on the Kara Sea coast, from Moshnyij (MG) northwards (Fig. 2), and is exemplified by the pattern of retreat on MG (Fig. 11). Although detailed bathymetric data are unavailable, topographic maps indicate that the area immediately offshore of these glaciers is shallow and gently sloping, and previous studies suggest that glaciers on the Kara Sea coast terminate in shallow water (Kotlyakov, 2006). Owing to the comparatively shallow and wide fjords, ice close to the lateral margins is more likely to be grounded and retreat may therefore be limited to the central portion, where water depths are sufficient to bring the termini close to flotation. Consequently, contemporary forcing may be insufficient to dislodge the glacier termini from their lateral pinning points.
This contrasts with fjords located on the Barents Sea coast and further south on the Kara Sea, which are narrower and possibly deeper, as indicated by previous results (Kotlyakov, 2006) and bathymetric data from the topographic maps. Narrower fjords are likely to result in a greater contribution of lateral stresses to the force budget, and deeper fjords may allow the terminus to reach near-flotation, which could then facilitate rapid retreat via a series of positive feedbacks once the terminus has moved beyond a pinning point. As a result, differences in fjord geometry may also contribute to the coastal difference in mean retreat rates, as the majority of the wide, shallow fjords are located on the northern Kara Sea coast. A number of the marine-terminating study glaciers also have a portion of their ice front that is land-terminating and this is particularly notable within the northern section of the Kara Sea (Fig. 3). However, this
characteristic appears to bear little relationship to glacier retreat rate during the study period (Fig. 3).

At present, no data are available on the subglacial topography of NVZ outlet glaciers, and bathymetric information within the fjords is limited. Our results suggest that fjord bathymetry may influence the pattern and magnitude of glacier retreat on the northern section of the Kara Sea coast, where fjords may be comparatively shallow. Retreat rates vary spatially along the fronts of these glaciers (Fig. 11), which may reflect local variations in basal topography and/or bathymetry. Furthermore, rock islands are visible at the calving of a number of the study glaciers (e.g. Krivosheina (KRI) and Chernysheva (CHE)), which may promote retreat as the terminus recedes and ungrounds from these pinning points. It has been suggested that loss of contact with basal pinning points contributed to the dramatic retreat of Jakobshavn Isbrae, West Greenland (Thomas and others, 2003). Basal topography has been identified as a potentially important control on outlet glacier dynamics in other Arctic regions (Meier and Post, 1987; Nick and others, 2009; Thomas and others, 2009) and our results underscore the influence of fjord geometry on glacier retreat rates. Thus, basal topographic and bathymetric data in NVZ are urgently needed to fully understand the factors controlling outlet glacier behaviour and modulating their response to forcing.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Major outlet glaciers on NVZ have retreated rapidly between 2000 and 2010. Retreat rates were an order of magnitude greater on marine-terminating outlets than on land-terminating glaciers. Marine-terminating glacier retreat has accelerated over time, and the temporal pattern of retreat corresponded closely to changes in sea-ice concentration. Retreat rates were significantly higher on the Barents Sea coast than on the Kara Sea coast, most likely because of the differences in sea-ice concentration and duration. Despite a consistent overall retreat trend, however, there was a large range in retreat rates between outlet glaciers located on the same coast, which far exceeded variations in forcing. We identify fjord width variability as a key factor modulating glacier response to forcing and show a significant relationship between this factor and total glacier retreat rates. Using empirical evidence, we categorize the influence of fjord width and highlight lateral pinning points as an important control. We suggest that these qualitative criteria encompass the primary classes of glacier response to fjord width variation and may therefore provide a useful framework for interpreting and assessing observations of marine-terminating outlet glacier retreat in other regions. Future work should measure subsurface ocean conditions (temperature and salinity) within outlet glacier fjords, given the apparent sensitivity of NVZ glaciers to changes at the calving front. Information on fjord bathymetry and subglacial topography is also required, as fjord geometry appears to be a key control on NVZ outlet glacier retreat rates, but the influence of basal topography in this region has yet to be quantified. Our data indicate that variations in fjord width can strongly influence the behaviour of a large sample of study glaciers and we highlight the danger of extrapolating retreat rates without due consideration of these local factors. We underscore the need to consider the dynamic component of mass loss from NVZ in order to accurately forecast near-future losses.
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